OESTRUS SYNCHRONISATION AND FERTILITY IN DAIRY HEIFERS USING COMBINATIONS OF CIDR INSERTS AND PROSTAGLANDIN INJECTIONS

J. FAHEY^A and K.L. MACMILLAN^B

^A Department of Veterinary Science, University of Melbourne, VIAS, 600 Sneydes road, Werribee, Vic 3030 ^B Department of Veterinary Science, University of Melbourne, 250 Princes Highway, Werribee, Vic 3030

Luteal status (Xu et al. 1997) and the interval between prostaglandin (PGF_{2 α}) injections (Watts and Fuquay 1985) can affect oestrus response rate and conception rate to $PGF_{2\alpha}$ -based synchrony treatments. The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of an oestrus synchrony protocol with 2 injections of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ given 11 or 14 days apart with or without progesterone supplementation.

This study included 631 dairy heifers of approximately 13 months of age from 3 spring-calving herds (n=413, 57 and 161). All heifers were treated with 2 intramuscular injections of PGF_{2a} (Lutalyse; Pharmacia Australia) either 11 (D11; n=328) or 14 (D14; n=303) days apart. The heifers were randomly assigned to a progesterone (CIDR; n=325) or untreated control group (control; n=306). Heifers in the CIDR group were treated for 5 days prior to the second PGF_{2a} injection with an intravaginal progesterone-releasing device containing 1.38 g of progesterone (CIDR, Genetics Australia). Effects of treatment, farm and treatment by farm interactions on submission rate (SR1), conception rate (CR1) and pregnancy rate (PR1) to first artificial insemination (AI) were tested using the PROC LOGISTIC procedure of SAS (1996). Selected Odds ratios (OR) and confidence intervals (CI) and significant interactions are presented.

Table 1. Submission rate, conception rate and pregnancy rate to first AI in dairy heifers treated with combinations of PGF_{2a} and CIDR inserts (see text for details of treatments).

	$PGF_{2\alpha}D11$	$PGF_{2\alpha}D11$	$PGF_{2\alpha}D14$	$PGF_{2\alpha}D14$	Treatment	Farm	Treatment
	+Control	+CIDR	+Control	+CIDR			by Farm
Number	156	172	150	153		P Value	
Submission rate to $1^{st} AI^{A}$ (%)	71	74	80	80	NS	< 0.01	< 0.05
Conception rate to $1^{\text{st}} \text{AI}^{\text{B}}$ (%)	45	51	48	62	< 0.05	NS	NS
Pregnancy rate to 1 st AI ^C (%)	32	38	39	50	< 0.01	< 0.05	NS

^A Number that received at least 1 AI within 120 h of the $2^{nd} PGF_{2\alpha}$ injection/number treated. ^B Number pregnant to AI within 120 h of the $2^{nd} PGF_{2\alpha}$ injection/number artificially inseminated.

^C Number pregnant to AI within 120 h of the 2^{nd} PGF_{2 α} injection/number treated.

Results of the study are given in Table 1. Farm had a significant effect on SR1, with variation in SR1 between farms: Farm 1 = 78%, Farm 2 = 95% and Farm 3 = 66%. The CIDR treatment did not affect SR1. The PGF_{2a} interval did not affect SR1, however, there was a significant interaction between $PGF_{2\alpha}$ interval and farm for SR1. The CIDR treatment increased CR1 relative to controls, while $PGF_{2\alpha}$ interval had no significant effect on CR1. Farm also had no significant effect on CR1. Conception rate to the first AI was greater for PGF_{2a}D14+CIDR relative to PGF_{2a}D14+Control (OR 1.76, CI 1.06-2.94, P<0.05), and also for PGF_{2α}D14+CIDR compared with PGF_{2α}D11+Control (OR 0.50, CI 0.30-0.85, P<0.01). The CIDR treatment increased PR1 relative to controls. Heifers treated with PGF_{2g} 14 days apart had higher PR1 than heifers treated 11 days apart. Farm also had a significant effect on PR1. Pregnancy rate to the first AI was greater for PGF_{2a}D14+CIDR versus PGF_{2a}D14+Control (OR 1.59, CI 1.01-2.52, P<0.05), for PGF_{2a}D14+CIDR versus PGF_{2a}D11+CIDR (OR 0.59, CI 0.38-0.93, P<0.05), and for PGF_{2a}D14+CIDR versus PGF_{2a}D11+Control (OR 0.45, CI 0.28-0.72, P<0.01).

In conclusion, CIDR treatment for 5 days prior to a second PGF_{2a} injection significantly increased conception rates and pregnancy rates to first AI in dairy heifers.

XU, Z.Z., BURTON, L.J. and MACMILLAN, K.L. (1997). Theriogenology 57, 687-701. WATTS, T.L. and FUQUAY, J.W. (1985). Theriogenology 23, 655-661. SAS (1996). 'Statistical Analysis System.' (SAS Inst. Inc.: Cary, NC.)

Email: jfahey@unimelb.edu.au