The Nappamerri Trough, Cooper Basin unconventional plays: proving a hypothesis

C Trembath, L Elliott, M Pitkin

Photo courtesy of Peter Morris

Disclaimer



- This presentation contains statements that are subject to risk factors associated with oil, gas, geothermal and related businesses. It is believed that the expectations reflected in these statements are reasonable but they may be affected by a variety of variables and changes in underlying assumptions which could cause actual results or trends to differ materially, including, but not limited to: price fluctuations, actual demand, currency fluctuations, drilling and production results, reserve estimates, loss of market, industry competition, environmental risks, physical risks, legislative, fiscal and regulatory developments, economic and financial market conditions in various countries and regions, political risks, project delays or advancements, approvals and cost estimates.
- References to "Beach" may be references to Beach Energy Limited or its applicable subsidiaries.

Outline



- Background
- Nappamerri Trough Geological setting
- Parameters for shale gas in place
- Parameters for shale deliverability
- Results of recent drilling campaign
- Forward program
- Summary



Background



- In 2007, Beach understanding the success of US shale gas developments, reviewed Australian basins for opportunities
- Beach identified technical key contributors to success
 - Shale thickness
 - Organic content
 - Mineralogy
 - Maturity
 - Over-pressure
- Nappamerri Trough came to the top of the list and was further high graded due to potential of low permeability sands being part of a basin centred gas play
- Beach farmed into two large permits in the trough in 2008 and 2009 and commenced exploration activities in 2010

Nappamerri Trough- geological setting

- The deepest and largest of the northeast-southwest trending troughs in the Cooper Basin
- Thick Permian section of coals, siltstones, sandstones and shales
- Roseneath Shale, Epsilon Formation and Murteree Shale (REM) were the initial focus for shale gas





Shale parameters



Parameters for gas in place

- Shale thickness
- Lateral continuity
- Organic content
- Maturity



Shale thickness and continuity



- Individual shale units of about 70-190m thick present across all of the permit area (PEL 218 ~1,600km²)
- Moonta-1ST1 recently intersected 269m of shale in the REM
- Average Epsilon Formation thickness of 130m



Organic content and maturity



Organic Content

- TOC range typically 2-4% in shales, can get up to 9%
- TOC considered very good given the maturity
- Type II/III kerogens dominate



Maturity

- Variable maturity gradients in Permian section
- Prospective REM section 2-4%
 Ro
- Dry gas window



Shale parameters



Parameters for gas in place

- Shale thickness
- Lateral continuity
- Organic content
- Maturity





Shale parameters



Parameters for gas in place

- Shale thickness
- Lateral continuity
- Organic content
- Maturity

Parameters for deliverability

- Maturity
- Overpressure
- Mineralogy



Pressure

Gradient

Mineralogy



- High silica and siderite content is beneficial for successful fracture stimulation
- Absence of swelling clays beneficial for drilling, stimulation and production
- Very little variation in composition between shales and between wells
- Illite 40-50%, Quartz 30-40%, Kaolinite 10-15%, Siderite 5-10%





Encounter-1. Clays and siderite (brown), silt size grains (white) and organic matter (opaque)



Maturity for deliverability

- With increasing maturity expect enhanced dewatering and dehydration of clays
- Loss of capillary water enhancing permeability
- Fluid viscosity is a function of maturity
- Methane is less viscous than wet gas and all things being equal, methane will flow better
- High level of maturity enables abundant gas generation creating over-pressure





Over-pressure



- Over-pressure created by hydrocarbon generation
- The pressure gradient in the Nappamerri Trough is ~0.72 psi/ft
- Over-pressure necessary for gas drive
- Preservation of pore throats during compaction enhancing permeability





2010-2012 drilling results



- Permian target section gas saturated and over-pressured
- Holdfast-1 fracture stimulated and flowed gas to surface at up to 2 MMcfd
- Encounter-1 Patchawarra
 Formation fracture stimulated and flowed up to 0.75 MMcfd
- Moonta-1ST1 preliminary
 results indicate gas saturated
 Patchawarra Formation









Basin centred gas confirmed

 Conventional exploration wells drilled in the Nappamerri Trough intersected gas saturated, low permeability sands in the Epsilon and Patchawarra Formations



Source: Amended from Schenk and Pollastro, 2002

- Lack of recovered formation water plus over-pressure indicated the Nappamerri Trough had the potential to be a large basin centred gas play¹
- Encounter-1, Holdfast-1 and Moonta-1ST1 intentionally drilled outside of structural closure to test the concept and intersected gas saturated sands in the Epsilon and Patchawarra Formations

1. HILLIS, R.R, et al, 2001 - Deep basin gas: a new exploration paradigm in the Nappamerri Trough, Cooper Basin, South Australia, APPEA Journal 41 (1), 185-200.



2012 exploration/appraisal

- A minimum of five vertical wells to assess the full extent of the gas saturation in the Patchawarra Formation
- Expand understanding of shale properties across large permit area
- Two horizontal wells to be drilled and fracture stimulated in PEL 218 in 2012 (one each next to Encounter-1 and Holdfast-1)
- Vertical wells to be sequentially fracture stimulated for individual zone assessment





Summary



- Decisive and aggressive exploration
- Confirmed shale gas and basin centred gas objectives
- Unique shales
- Substantial multi-level resource play
- Fast paced and comprehensive forward exploration program



Questions?

Ensign 916: Moonta-1ST1

Photo courtesy of Peter Morris