Production, nitrogenous compounds in manure, and serum chemistry of laying hens provided multi-species probiotics

Sadia Naseem $^{\rm A,C},$ Neil Willits $^{\rm B}$ and Annie J. King $^{\rm A}$

^ADepartment of Animal Science, University of California, Davis, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

^BDepartment of Statistics, University of California, Davis, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

^cCorresponding author. Email: sanaseem@ucdavis.edu

Table 1. Effects of probiotics by weeks on various nitrogenous compounds in pooled manure¹ of hens

(32-week-old) for eight weeks.

Diets	Week 0	Week 4	Week 6	Week 8		
NH_3^2 concentration (ppm) ³						
Control ⁴	39.57	49.32	49.96	41.73		
SD	4.33	4.56	2.09	1.12		
Probiotics ⁵	39.57	42.22	46.48	42.91		
SD	4.33	1.89	2.83	1.51		
$NH_4-N^2 (ppm)^3$						
Control ⁴	2513.33	2713.33	2640.00	1706.67		
SD	240.07	81.45	28.28	193.48		
Probiotics ⁵	2513.33	2613.33	2932.50	1850.00		
SD	240.07	81.45	53.03	105.36		
TKN^{2} (%) ³						
Control ⁴	4.60	4.64	4.63	4.71		
SD	0.13	0.05	0.06	0.08		
Probiotics ⁵	4.60	4.64	4.66	4.56		
SD	0.13	0.10	0.02	0.01		
$TN^{2}(\%)^{3}$						
Control ⁴	4.95	4.87	5.01	4.91		
SD	0.08	0.03	0.01	0.03		
Probiotics ⁵	4.95	4.87	5.07	5.01		
SD	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.04		

¹Based on Proc GLM, means were calculated but no p-value was provided.

¹NH₃, ammonia; NH₄-N, ammonium nitrogen; TKN, total Kjeldahl nitrogen; TN, total nitrogen.

²Means are of 3-4 subsamples within the treatment.

⁴Control, commercial feed (16% Hi-Energy Layer Crumble manufactured by BAR ALE, Williams, CA).

⁵Bacteria (*Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus paracasei*, and *Lactobacillus plantarum*) each at 3.33×10^{11} cfu/kg feed for a total of 1.0×10^{12} cfu/kg in feed.

Table 2. Effects of probiotics by weeks on pooled serum measurements¹ of hens (32-week-old) for eight weeks.

Diets	Week 0	Week 4	Week 8		
$NH_3^1 (mg/dl)^2$					
Control ⁴	1.05 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.01 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.14 x 10 ⁻⁴		
SD	6.80 x 10-6	1.83 x 10-6	3.56 x 10-6		
Probiotics ⁵	1.05 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.43 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.10 x 10 ⁻⁴		
SD	6.80 x 10-6	1.98 x 10-6	4.22 x 10-6		
$UA^1 (mg/dl)^2$					
Control ⁴	2.03	2.79	1.98		
SD	0.25	0.14	0.03		
Probiotics ⁵	2.03	2.72	1.69		
SD	0.25	0.07	0.04		
$TP^1 (g/dl)^2$					
Control ⁴	4.83	5.15	5.15		
SD	0.17	0.10	0.12		
Probiotics ⁵	4.83	5.14	5.20		
SD	0.17	0.10	0.14		
$ALB^1 (g/dl)^2$					
Control ⁴	1.87	2.03	2.04		
SD	0.08	0.04	0.01		
Probiotics ⁵	1.87	2.02	2.07		
SD	0.08	0.04	0.04		
CK ¹ (U/L) ²					
Control ⁴	612.00	710.75	688.25		
SD	78.30	54.64	14.34		
Probiotics ⁵	612.00	922.75	688.25		
SD	78.30	16.80	19.34		

¹Based on Proc GLM, means were calculated but no p-value was provided.

²NH₃, ammonia; UA, uric acid; TP, total protein; ALB, albumin; CK, creatine kinase.

³Means are of 3 subsamples within treatment for NH₃ and 4 subsamples within treatment for UA, TP, ALB, CK, and UA in liver. ⁴Control, commercial feed (16% Hi-Energy Layer Crumble manufactured by BAR ALE, Williams, CA).

⁵Bacteria (*Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus paracasei*, and *Lactobacillus plantarum*) each at 3.33×10^{11} cfu/kg feed for a total of 1.0×10^{12} cfu/kg in feed.