

[10.1071/AN22011](https://doi.org/10.1071/AN22011)

Animal Production Science

Supplementary Material

Similar grazing mechanisms explain contrasting intake and sward-height dynamics under different grazing management

Arthur Pontes-Prates^{A,B,}, Paulo César de Faccio Carvalho^A, Anderson Michel Soares Bolzan^A, Jean Víctor Savian^C, Gentil Félix da Silva Neto^A, Ángel Sánchez Zubieta^A, and Emilio Andrés Laca^B*

^AGrazing Ecology Research Group, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS 91540-000, Brazil.

^BDepartment of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

^CInstituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIA), Programa Pasturas y Forrajes, Estación Experimental INIA Treinta y Tres, Ruta 8 km 281, Treinta y Tres 33000, Uruguay.

*Correspondence to: Arthur Pontes-Prates Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA Email: pontesprates@ucdavis.edu

Supplementary Table S1. Organization of the grazing focal observations: numbers and letters indicate the identity of animals and observers, respectively. Each one of the four observers monitored only one focal animal per day in a different paddock. The focal animals remained in the same paddock over the three experimental periods. Bold font indicates the audio recordings that were corrupted and therefore discarded from the analysis.

		High intensity – Low frequency		Low intensity – High frequency	
		Paddock 1	Paddock 3	Paddock 2	Paddock 4
Period 1	Day 1	13 – R	31 – P	21 – G	42 – A
	Day 2	14 – G	33 – A	23 – R	43 – P
	Day 3	11 – P	32 – R	22 – A	41 – G
Period 2	Day 1	13 – A	32 – G	21 – P	42 – R
	Day 2	14 – R	33 – P	23 – A	42 – G
	Day 3	11 – A	32 – G	22 – R	74 – P
Period 3	Day 1	13 – P	33 – R	21 – G	74 – A
	Day 2	11 – G	31 – A	22 – P	43 – R