

# ANIMAL PRODUCTION SCIENCE

**CONTENTS** Volume 56, Issue 2–3, 2016, 153–654

GGAA2016

6th GREENHOUSE GAS AND ANIMAL AGRICULTURE CONFERENCE

Foreword iii

## Global perspectives and policy

Greenhouse gas emissions of Canadian beef production in 1981 as compared with 2011

*G. Legesse, K. A. Beauchemin, K. H. Ominski, E. J. McGeough, R. Kroebel, D. MacDonald, S. M. Little and T. A. McAllister* 153–168

A universal equation to predict methane production of forage-fed cattle in Australia

*E. Charmley, S. R. O. Williams, P. J. Moate, R. S. Hegarty, R. M. Herd, V. H. Oddy, P. Reyenga, K. M. Staunton, A. Anderson and M. C. Hannah* 169–180

## Improvements in the measurement of methane and nitrous oxide

The GreenFeed system for measurement of enteric methane emission from cattle

*K. J. Hammond, G. C. Waghorn and R. S. Hegarty* 181–189

Using airborne technology to quantify and apportion emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> from feedlots

*Jorg M. Hacker, Deli Chen, Mei Bai, Caecilia Ewenz, Wolfgang Junkermann, Wolfgang Lieff, Barry McManus, Bruno Neining, Jianlei Sun, Trevor Coates, Tom Denmead, Thomas Flesch, Sean McGinn and Julian Hill* 190–203

Intra-ruminal gas-sensing in real time: a proof-of-concept

*Gregory J. Bishop-Hurley, David Paull, Philip Valencia, Leslie Overs, Kourosh Kalantar-zadeh, André-Denis G. Wright and Chris McSweeney* 204–212

Repeatability of methane emission measurements in Australian beef cattle

*K. A. Donoghue, T. Bird-Gardiner, P. F. Arthur, R. M. Herd and R. S. Hegarty* 213–217

Assessing individual differences in enteric methane emission among beef heifers using the GreenFeed Emission Monitoring system: effect of the length of testing period on precision

*G. Renand and D. Maupetit* 218–223

Dose-response effect of nitrate on hydrogen distribution between rumen fermentation end products: an *in vitro* approach

*J. Guyader, M. Tavendale, C. Martin and S. Muetzel* 224–230

Proxies to adjust methane production rate of beef cattle when the quantity of feed consumed is unknown

*R. M. Herd, J. I. Velazco, P. F. Arthur and R. S. Hegarty* 231–237

Repeatability of enteric methane determinations from cattle using either the SF<sub>6</sub> tracer technique or the GreenFeed system

*M. Arbre, Y. Rochette, J. Guyader, C. Lascoux, L. M. Gómez, M. Eugène, D. P. Morgavi, G. Renand, M. Doreau and C. Martin* 238–243

Mathematical formulae for accurate estimation of *in vitro* CH<sub>4</sub> production from vented bottles

*M. C. Hannah, P. J. Moate, P. S. Alvarez Hess, V. M. Russo, J. L. Jacobs and R. J. Eckard* 244–251

Measuring methane from grazing dairy cows using GreenFeed

*G. C. Waghorn, A. Jonker and K. A. Macdonald* 252–257

Milk mid-infrared spectra enable prediction of lactation-stage-dependent methane emissions of dairy cattle within routine population-scale milk recording schemes

*Amélie Vanlierde, Marie-Laure Vanrobays, Nicolas Gengler, Pierre Dardenne, Eric Froidmont, Hélène Soyeyurt, Sinead McParland, Eva Lewis, Matthew H. Deighton, Michaël Mathot and Frédéric Dehareng* 258–264

## **Advances in understanding biology and biochemistry of non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from livestock**

- Disentangling the effect of sheep urine patch size and nitrogen loading rate on cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions  
*Karina A. Marsden, Davey L. Jones and David R. Chadwick* 265–275
- Efficacy of methane-reducing supplements in beef cattle rations  
*M. Caetano, M. J. Wilkes, W. S. Pitchford, S. J. Lee and P. I. Hynd* 276–281
- The red macroalgae *Asparagopsis taxiformis* is a potent natural antimethanogenic that reduces methane production during *in vitro* fermentation with rumen fluid  
*Robert D. Kinley, Rocky de Nys, Matthew J. Vucko, Lorena Machado and Nigel W. Tomkins* 282–289
- Use of dietary nitrate to increase productivity and reduce methane production of defaunated and faunated lambs consuming protein-deficient chaff  
*S. H. Nguyen, M. C. Barnett and R. S. Hegarty* 290–297
- Genetic and genomic relationship between methane production measured in breath and fatty acid content in milk samples from Danish Holsteins  
*J. Lassen, N. A. Poulsen, M. K. Larsen and A. J. Buitenhuis* 298–303
- Milk production and composition, and methane emissions from dairy cows fed lucerne hay with forage brassica or chicory  
*S. R. O. Williams, P. J. Moate, M. H. Deighton, M. C. Hannah, W. J. Wales and J. L. Jacobs* 304–311
- Effect of dietary crude protein and forage contents on enteric methane emissions and nitrogen excretion from dairy cows simultaneously  
*M. Niu, J. A. D. R. N. Appuhamy, A. B. Leytem, R. S. Dungan and E. Kebreab* 312–321
- New advances in methane mitigation of emissions from ruminant livestock**
- Variation of greenhouse gas emissions and identification of their drivers during the fattening of Belgian Blue White bulls based on a LCA approach  
*Michaël Mathot, Eric Elias, Edouard Reding, Amélie Vanlierde, Werne Reuter, Viviane Planchon and Didier Stilmant* 322–329
- Mitigation of methane and nitrous oxide from excreta and manure management**
- Swine wastewater treatment technology to reduce nitrous oxide emission by using an aerobic bioreactor packed with carbon fibres  
*Takahiro Yamashita, Makoto Shiraishi, Ryoko Yamamoto-Ikemoto, Hiroshi Yokoyama, Akifumi Ogino and Takashi Osada* 330–336
- Dung and farm dairy effluent affect urine patch nitrous oxide emissions from a pasture  
*J. Li, J. Luo, Y. Shi, Y. Li, Y. Ma, S. Ledgard, L. Wang, D. Houlbrooke, L. Bo and S. Lindsey* 337–342
- Acidification with sulfur of the separated solid fraction of raw and co-digested pig slurry: effect on greenhouse gas and ammonia emissions during storage  
*F. Gioelli, E. Dinuccio, D. Cuk, L. Rollè and P. Balsari* 343–349
- Effect of dicyandiamide (DCD) on nitrous oxide emissions from cow urine deposited on a pasture soil, as influenced by DCD application method and rate  
*J. Luo, S. Ledgard, B. Wise and S. Lindsey* 350–354
- Gas emissions from dairy barnyards  
*J. M. Powell and P. A. Vadas* 355–361
- A novel and effective technology for mitigating nitrous oxide emissions from land-applied manures  
*Jaye Hill, Matthew Redding and Chris Pratt* 362–369
- Mitigation in practice**
- The concordance between greenhouse gas emissions, livestock production and profitability of extensive beef farming systems  
*Matthew T. Harrison, Brendan R. Cullen, Nigel W. Tomkins, Chris McSweeney, Philip Cohn and Richard J. Eckard* 370–384
- An evaluation of carbon offset supplementation options for beef production systems on coastal speargrass in central Queensland, Australia  
*D. Cottle, R. Eckard, S. Bray and M. Sullivan* 385–392

*continued on next page*

Adoptability and effectiveness of livestock emission reduction techniques in Australia's temperate high-rainfall zone <i>Adrian R. James and Matthew T. Harrison</i>	393–401
Effect of rhubarb ( <i>Rheum</i> spp.) root on <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> ruminal methane production and a bacterial community analysis based on 16S rRNA sequence <i>Kyoung Hoon Kim, Selvaraj Arokiyaraj, Jinwook Lee, Young Kyooh Oh, Ho Young Chung, Gwi-Deuk Jin, Eun Bae Kim, Eun Kyoung Kim, Yoonseok Lee and Myunggi Baik</i>	402–408
Quantifying effects of grassland management on enteric methane emission <i>A. Bannink, D. Warner, B. Hatew, J. L. Ellis and J. Dijkstra</i>	409–416
Carbon-neutral wool farming in south-eastern Australia <i>Natalie A. Doran-Browne, John Ive, Phillip Graham and Richard J. Eckard</i>	417–422
Carbon footprint of milk production under smallholder dairying in Anand district of Western India: a cradle-to-farm gate life cycle assessment <i>M. R. Garg, B. T. Phondba, P. L. Sherasia and H. P. S. Makkar</i>	423–436
Effects of <i>Tithonia diversifolia</i> on <i>in vitro</i> methane production and ruminal fermentation characteristics <i>S. A. Terry, R. S. Ribeiro, D. S. Freitas, G. D. Delarota, L. G. R. Pereira, T. R. Tomich, R. M. Maurício and A. V. Chaves</i>	437–441
What is the best use of oil from cotton ( <i>Gossypium</i> spp.) and canola ( <i>Brassica</i> spp.) for reducing net greenhouse gas emissions: biodiesel, or as a feed for cattle? <i>C. I. Ludemann, S. M. Howden and R. J. Eckard</i>	442–450
Forage brassica: a feed to mitigate enteric methane emissions? <i>Xuezhao Sun, David Pacheco and Dongwen Luo</i>	451–456
Effects of tea seed saponin supplementation on physiological changes associated with blood methane concentration in tropical Brahman cattle <i>C. A. Ramirez-Restrepo, C. J. O'Neill, N. López-Villalobos, J. Padmanabha, J. K. Wang and C. McSweeney</i>	457–465
Effect of short-term infusion of hydrogen on enteric gas production and rumen environment in dairy cows <i>D. W. Olijhoek, A. L. F. Hellwing, M. R. Weisbjerg, J. Dijkstra, O. Højberg and P. Lund</i>	466–471
Effects of plants containing secondary metabolites as feed additives on rumen metabolites and methanogen diversity of buffaloes <i>L. Samal, L. C. Chaudhary, N. Agarwal and D. N. Kamra</i>	472–481
Comparative analysis of greenhouse gas emissions from three beef cattle herds in a corporate farming enterprise <i>Chris Taylor and Richard Eckard</i>	482–494
<b>Whole farm systems modelling of mitigation options</b>	
How can grass-based dairy farmers reduce the carbon footprint of milk? <i>D. O'Brien, A. Geoghegan, K. McNamara and L. Shalloo</i>	495–500
Effects of ruminal digesta retention time on methane emissions: a modelling approach <i>P. Huhtanen, M. Ramin and E. H. Cabezas-Garcia</i>	501–506
Sheep greenhouse gas emission intensities under different management practices, climate zones and enterprise types <i>D. J. Cottle, M. T. Harrison and A. Ghahramani</i>	507–518
Smart livestock feeding strategies for harvesting triple gain – the desired outcomes in planet, people and profit dimensions: a developing country perspective <i>Harinder P. S. Makkar</i>	519–534
Prediction of the methane conversion factor ( $Y_m$ ) for dairy cows on the basis of national farm data <i>A. L. F. Hellwing, M. R. Weisbjerg, M. Brask, L. Alstrup, M. Johansen, L. Hymøller, M. K. Larsen and P. Lund</i>	535–540

## Advances in process level modelling of methane and nitrous oxide

- Relationships between milk fatty acid profiles and enteric methane production in dairy cattle fed grass- or grass silage-based diets  
*J. Dijkstra, S. van Gastelen, E. C. Antunes-Fernandes, D. Warner, B. Hatzew, G. Klop, S. C. Podesta, H. J. van Lingen, K. A. Hettinga and A. Bannink* 541–548
- Manure distribution as a predictor of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soil  
*S. O. Petersen, K. R. Baral and E. Arthur* 549–556
- Prediction and evaluation of enteric methane emissions from lactating dairy cows using different levels of covariate information  
*B. Santiago-Juarez, L. E. Moraes, J. A. D. R. N. Appuhamy, W. F. Pellikaan, D. P. Casper, J. Tricarico and E. Kebreab* 557–564
- Modelling enteric methane abatement from earlier mating of dairy heifers in subtropical Australia by improving diet quality  
*K. M. Christie, M. T. Harrison, L. M. Trevaskis, R. P. Rawnsley and R. J. Eckard* 565–573
- Predicting enteric methane emission in sheep using linear and non-linear statistical models from dietary variables  
*A. K. Patra, M. Lalhriatpuii and B. C. Debnath* 574–584
- Modelling the influence of soil carbon on net greenhouse gas emissions from grazed pastures  
*Rachelle Meyer, Brendan R. Cullen and Richard J. Eckard* 585–593
- Modelled greenhouse gas emissions from beef cattle grazing irrigated leucaena in northern Australia  
*Chris A. Taylor, Matthew T. Harrison, Marnie Telfer and Richard Eckard* 594–604
- ## Adaptation and mitigation
- Can adaptation to nitrate supplementation and provision of fermentable energy reduce nitrite accumulation in rumen contents *in vitro*?  
*V. de Raphélis-Soissan, J. V. Nolan, J. R. Newbold, I. R. Godwin and R. S. Hegarty* 605–612
- Methanogenic potential of forages consumed throughout the year by cattle in a Sahelian pastoral area  
*M. Doreau, H. Benhissi, Y. E. Thior, B. Bois, C. Leydet, L. Genestoux, P. Lecomte, D. P. Morgavi and A. Ickowicz* 613–618
- Supplementation with *Calliandra calothyrsus* improves nitrogen retention in cattle fed low-protein diets  
*D. Korir, J. P. Goopy, C. Gachuri and K. Butterbach-Bahl* 619–626
- Soybean oil suppresses ruminal methane production and reduces content of coenzyme F420 *in vitro* fermentation  
*Mengzhi Wang, Yujia Jing, Shimin Liu, Jian Gao, Liangfeng Shi and Phil Vercoe* 627–633
- In vitro* methane and gas production characteristics of *Eragrostis trichophora* substrate supplemented with different browse foliage  
*Abubeker Hassen, Jacobus Johannes Francois Theart, Willem Adriaan van Niekerk, Festus Adeyemi Adejoro and Belete Shenkute Gemeda* 634–640
- In vitro* fermentability and methane production of some alternative forages in Australia  
*Z. Durmic, P. J. Moate, J. L. Jacobs, J. Vadhanabhuti and P. E. Vercoe* 641–645
- Greenhouse-gas mitigation potential of agro-industrial by-products in the diet of dairy goats in Spain: a life-cycle perspective  
*G. Pardo, I. Martin-Garcia, A. Arco, D. R. Yañez-Ruiz, R. Moral and A. del Prado* 646–654