

ANIMAL PRODUCTION SCIENCE

CONTENTS Volume 58, Issue 1, 2018, 1–205

MATERNAL PRODUCTIVITY IN TEMPERATE BEEF CATTLE

Foreword i–ii

A review of factors influencing key biological components of maternal productivity in temperate beef cattle

B. J. Walmsley, S. J. Lee, P. F. Parnell and W. S. Pitchford 1–19

Genesis, design and methods of the Beef CRC Maternal Productivity Project

W. S. Pitchford, J. M. Accioly, R. G. Banks, A. L. Barnes, S. A. Barwick, K. J. Copping, M. P. B. Deland, K. A. Donoghue, N. Edwards, M. L. Hebart, R. M. Herd, F. M. Jones, M. Laurence, S. J. Lee, W. A. McKiernan, P. F. Parnell, E. J. Speijers, G. D. Tudor and J. F. Graham 20–32

Divergent breeding values for fatness or residual feed intake in Angus cattle. 1. Pregnancy rates of heifers differed between fat lines and were affected by weight and fat

F. M. Jones, J. M. Accioly, K. J. Copping, M. P. B. Deland, J. F. Graham, M. L. Hebart, R. M. Herd, M. Laurence, S. J. Lee, E. J. Speijers and W. S. Pitchford 33–42

Divergent genotypes for fatness or residual feed intake in Angus cattle. 2. Body composition but not reproduction was affected in first-parity cows on both low and high levels of nutrition

M. Laurence, J. M. Accioly, K. J. Copping, M. P. B. Deland, J. F. Graham, M. L. Hebart, R. M. Herd, F. M. Jones, S. J. Lee, E. J. Speijers and W. S. Pitchford 43–54

Divergent genotypes for fatness or residual feed intake in Angus cattle. 3. Performance of mature cows

K. J. Copping, J. M. Accioly, M. P. B. Deland, N. J. Edwards, J. F. Graham, M. L. Hebart, R. M. Herd, F. M. Jones, M. Laurence, S. J. Lee, E. J. Speijers and W. S. Pitchford 55–66

Divergent breeding values for fatness or residual feed intake in Angus cattle. 4. Fat EBVs' influence on fatness fluctuation and supplementary feeding requirements

J. M. Accioly, K. J. Copping, M. P. B. Deland, M. L. Hebart, R. M. Herd, S. J. Lee, F. M. Jones, M. Laurence, E. J. Speijers, B. J. Walmsley and W. S. Pitchford 67–79

Divergent breeding values for fatness or residual feed intake in Angus cattle. 5. Cow genotype affects feed efficiency and maternal productivity

M. L. Hebart, J. M. Accioly, K. J. Copping, M. P. B. Deland, R. M. Herd, F. M. Jones, M. Laurence, S. J. Lee, D. S. Lines, E. J. Speijers, B. J. Walmsley and W. S. Pitchford 80–93

Divergent breeding values for fatness or residual feed intake in Angus cattle. 6. Dam-line impacts on steer carcass compliance

M. P. B. Deland, J. M. Accioly, K. J. Copping, J. F. Graham, S. J. Lee, P. McGilchrist and W. S. Pitchford 94–102

Divergent genotypes for fatness or residual feed intake in Angus cattle. 7. Low-fat and low-RFI cows produce more liveweight and better gross margins than do high-fat and high-RFI cows when managed under the same conditions

L. Anderton, J. M. Accioly, K. J. Copping, M. P. B. Deland, M. L. Hebart, R. M. Herd, F. M. Jones, M. Laurence, S. J. Lee, E. J. Speijers, B. J. Walmsley and W. S. Pitchford 103–116

Maternal body composition in seedstock herds. 1. Grazing management strategy influences perspectives on optimal balance of production traits and maternal productivity

S. J. Lee, I. K. Nuberg and W. S. Pitchford 117–124

Maternal body composition in seedstock herds. 2. Relationships between cow body composition and BREEDPLAN EBVs for Angus and Hereford cows

S. J. Lee, K. A. Donoghue and W. S. Pitchford 125–134

continued on next page

Maternal body composition in seedstock herds. 3. Multivariate analysis using factor analytic models and cluster analysis

J. De Faveri, A. P. Verbyla, S. J. Lee and W. S. Pitchford 135–144

Maternal body composition in seedstock herds. 4. Genetic parameters for body composition of Angus and Hereford cows

K. A. Donoghue, S. J. Lee, P. F. Parnell and W. S. Pitchford 145–155

Maternal body composition in seedstock herds. 5. Individual-trait selection direction aligns with breeder perspectives on maternal productivity

S. J. Lee, I. K. Nuberg and W. S. Pitchford 156–163

Genetic divergence in residual feed intake affects growth, feed efficiency, carcass and meat quality characteristics of Angus steers in a large commercial feedlot

R. M. Herd, P. F. Arthur, C. D. K. Bottema, A. R. Egarr, G. H. Geesink, D. S. Lines, S. Piper, J. P. Siddell, J. M. Thompson and W. S. Pitchford 164–174

Selection for residual feed intake affects appetite and body composition rather than energetic efficiency

D. S. Lines, W. S. Pitchford, C. D. K. Bottema, R. M. Herd and V. H. Oddy 175–184

Selection for increased muscling is not detrimental to maternal productivity traits in Angus cows

L. M. Cafe, W. A. McKiernan and D. L. Robinson 185–192

Modelling systems to describe maternal productivity, with the aim of improving beef production efficiency by eliciting practice change

B. J. Walmsley and V. H. Oddy 193–205