

10.1071/CP19078_AC

© CSIRO 2019

Supplementary Material: *Crop & Pasture Science*, 2019, **70**, 1015–1032.

Variation in root morphology and P acquisition efficiency among *Trifolium subterraneum* genotypes

Jonathan W. McLachlan^{A,B,C}, *Rebecca E. Haling*^B, *Richard J. Simpson*^B, *Xiaoxi Li*^B, *Richard J. Flavel*^A and *Chris N. Guppy*^A

^AUniversity of New England, School of Environmental and Rural Science, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia.

^BCSIRO Agriculture and Food, GPO Box 1700, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia.

^CCorresponding author. Email: jmclach7@une.edu.au

Supplementary Table S1. The one-hundred seed weight of 26 genotypes of *Trifolium subterraneum* and one cultivar of *Ornithopus sativus*, and the planting density that was achieved when 50 mg seed pot⁻¹ was sown in the experiment

Genotype	100 seed weight (g)	Planting density (plants pot⁻¹)
Antas	0.934	6
Antillo	0.624	8
APG 36094	0.423	12
Enfield	0.699	8
Esperance	0.785	7
Forbes	0.757	7
Goulburn	0.587	9
Green Range	0.810	7
Howard	1.136	5
June	0.812	7
Karridale	0.796	7
Larisa	0.752	7
Lofty	0.918	6
Losa	0.538	10
Mawson	1.346	4
Monti	0.716	7
Napier	1.177	5
Northam	0.983	5
Nuba	0.611	9
Riverina	1.159	5
Rouse	0.461	11
Seaton Park	0.796	7
Tarlee	0.472	11
Trikkala	0.754	7
Uniwager	0.863	6
Yanco	0.985	5
<i>O. Sativus</i> cv. Margurita	0.296	17