

## Supplementary Materials

### **Variability among *Festuca arundinacea* cultivars for tolerance to and recovery from waterlogging, salinity and their combination**

*F. E. Menon-Martínez*<sup>A,B</sup>, *A. A. Grimoldi*<sup>A,B</sup>, *G. G. Striker*<sup>A,C</sup> and *C. E. Di Bella*<sup>A,B,D</sup>

<sup>A</sup>IFEVA, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Av. San Martín 4453, C1417DSE Buenos Aires, Argentina.

<sup>B</sup>Cátedra de Forrajicultura, Departamento de Producción Animal, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Av. San Martín 4453, C1417DSE Buenos Aires, Argentina.

<sup>C</sup>Cátedra de Fisiología Vegetal, Departamento Biología Aplicada y Alimentos, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Av. San Martín 4453, C1417DSE Buenos Aires, Argentina.

<sup>D</sup>Corresponding author. Email: [dibella@agro.uba.ar](mailto:dibella@agro.uba.ar)

Supplementary material

**Fig. S1.** Dead leaves number per plant of seven *Festuca arundinacea* cultivars subjected to control (C: aerated – non saline), waterlogged (W: stagnant – non saline), saline (S: aerated – 150 mM NaCl) and waterlogged plus saline (WS: stagnant – 150 mM NaCl) treatments for 14 d (a) and after 14 d of recovery at control conditions (b). Lowercase and uppercase letters allow comparing treatments and cultivars (respectively) based on Fisher’s protected least significant difference tests (LSD,  $P = 0.05$ ).  $LSD_{\text{cultivar}}$ : a = 0.3377, b = 0.4240.  $LSD_{\text{treatment}}$ : a = 0.2549, b = 0.3200. Values are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 5).

