10.1071/MF22216

Marine and Freshwater Research

Supplementary Material

Age and growth of *Pomatomus saltatrix* in the south-western Pacific Ocean (eastern Australia), with a global comparison

Hayden T. Schilling^{A,B,F,*}, John Stewart^C, Lenore Litherland^D, James A. Smith^{A,E}, Jason D. Everett^{A,B}, Julian M. Hughes^C, and Iain M. Suthers^{A,B}

^ACentre for Marine Science & Innovation, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia.

^BSydney Institute of Marine Science, Building 19, Chowder Bay Road, Mosman, NSW 2088, Australia.

^cNew South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Sydney Institute of Marine Science, Chowder Bay Road, Mosman, NSW 2088, Australia.

^DFishery Monitoring, Fisheries Queensland, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Ecosciences Precinct, Dutton Park, Qld 4102, Australia.

^EInstitute of Marine Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA.

^FPresent address: New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Port Stephens Fisheries Institute, Taylors Beach, NSW 2316, Australia.

^{*}Correspondence to: Hayden T. Schilling Centre for Marine Science & Innovation, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia Email: hayden.schilling@dpi.nsw.gov.au



Figure S1. A comparison of a single otolith showing the a) whole and b) sectioned methods of reading *Pomatomus saltatrix* otoliths from eastern Australia. This is the same otolith in both images (6 increments). From the core to the edge, the red marks indicate the core, each increment and the edge of the otolith. Interpretation of the otolith increment macrostructure were done according to the fish ageing protocols for the Fisheries Queensland Fishery Monitoring program (Fisheries Queensland 2017, 2018).



Figure S2. A comparison of the otolith from the exceptionally large *P. saltatrix* from St George's Basin showing the a) whole and b) sectioned methods of reading *Pomatomus saltatrix* otoliths. From the core to the edge, the red marks indicate the core, each increment and the edge of the otolith. Note the thickness of the whole otolith making the increments very hard to observe.



Figure S3. Fitted Schnute growth curves (variation 1) for *Pomatomus saltatrix* in eastern Australia by sex. The red line shows the fitted growth curve for only female fish (a = -0.04 (95% CI: -0.10-0.03), b = 2.25 (95% CI: 2.11-2.41), size at age 1 = 24.41 (95%CI: 24.09-24.75), size at age 4 = 47.71 (95% CI: 47.21 - 48.20)), and the blue line shows the fitted line for only the male fish (a = -0.02 (95% CI: -0.09-0.05), b = 2.26 (95% CI: 2.11-2.41), size at age 1 = 23.58 (95% CI: 23.24-23.92), size at age 4 = 45.54 (95% CI: 44.98-46.09)). Note the male points have been offset by 0.5 months to the right to reduce overlapping points. The ribbons show the 95% credible intervals for the median size at each age.



Figure S4. Estimated birth months for all *P. saltatrix* that were captured with fork lengths 5–20 cm. Birth dates were estimated from the logistic growth equation based upon daily increment counts of a subset of fish. Note this distribution is strongly biased by sampling effort for juveniles, which was primarily conducted in February–April.

Growth Model	Equation	Parameters	Full Model	Full Model	Juvenile Model	Juvenile Model
			looic	ΔΕΓΡΟ	looic	ΔΕΓΡΟ
Von Bertalanffy	$Y(t) = L_{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\kappa(t-t_0)} \right)$	Y(t) =length at age t	20481.2	-71.8	230.9	-2.7
(Beverton and Holt 1957)		k = Brody growth coefficient				
		t =age				
		$t_0 = age at size 0$				
		L_{∞} = average maximum length				
Linear	Y(t) = mt + b	Y(t) =length at age t	23536.8	-1599.6	231.9	-6.3
		t =age				
		b = intercept				
	. ,	m = gradient of slope				
Logistic	$Y(t) = \frac{L_{\infty}}{(1 + e^{-k(t-t_{0})})}$	Y(t) =length at age t	20448.7	-55.5	225.6	0
	/(1+0 ((- 10))	t =age				
		L_{∞} = average maximum length				
_		$t_0 = age at size 0$				
Power	$Y(t) = d_0 + dx^c$	Y(t) =length at age t	20375.8	-19.1	232.3	-3.4
		t =age				
		<i>c</i> = curvilinear parameter				
		d = growth parameter				
	17	$a_0 = \text{intercept}$	20227.0		220 7	2.2
Schnute variation 1 ($a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$)	$\left[\begin{array}{c} h \\ h $	Y(t) = length at age t	20337.6	0	230.7	-2.3
(Schnute 1981)	$Y(t) = \left[y_1^{D} + (y_2^{D} - y_1^{D}) \frac{1}{1 - e^{-a(T_2 - T_1)}} \right]$	t =age				
		<i>a</i> = growth parameter				
		<i>b</i> = growth parameter				
Schnute variation 2 (a≠0, b=0)	$\left[\log(\frac{y_2}{2}) - 1 - e^{-a(t-T_1)} \right]$	$I_1 = \text{Arbitrary age 1}$	20437.3	-49.9	230.2	-2.3
(Schnute 1981 equivalent to	$Y(t) = y_1 e^{\left[\log(y_2) \int_{1-e^{-a(T_2 - T_1)}} \right]}$	$I_2 = \text{Arbitrary age 2}$				
Gompertz 1825)		$y_1 = \text{size at } T_1$				
Schnute variation 3 (a=0, b \neq 0)	$T_{1} = \frac{1}{2}$	$y_2 = size at T_2$	20360 4	-11 4	234.2	-4 5
(Schnute 1981)	$Y(t) = \left[y_1^b + (y_2^b - y_1^b) \frac{t - I_1}{t} \right]^{7b}$		20000.1		20112	1.5
(56111412 1901)	$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & y_1 & y_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_1 & y_2 \\ y_1 & y_1 & y_2 & y_1 \end{bmatrix}$					
	$f \rightarrow t - T$					
Schnute variation 4 (a=0, b=0)	$Y(t) = v_1 e^{\left[\log\left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)\frac{t-T_1}{T_2-T_1}\right]}$		20441.8	-52.1	267.7	-21.1
(Schnute 1981)						

Table S1. Growth models used in the model selection process to find the best fitting model for *Pomatomus saltatrix* growth in eastern Australia.

 Δ ELPD is the difference in expected log pointwise predictive density from the best model.

Growth Model	Parameter	Prior (full model)	Prior (juvenile model)
	К	Normal(0.1,0.1)	Normal(0.5,1)
Von Bertalanffy	to	Normal(0,0.5)	Normal(0,5)
(Beverton and Holt 1957)	L∞	Normal(100,20)	Normal(25,5)
	m	Normal(10.10)	Normal(0.8.1)
Linear	b	Normal(0,10)	Normal(0.8,1)
	L∞	Normal(80,10)	Normal(20.5,0.1)
Logistic	k	Normal(0.5,0.2)	Normal(0.02,0.001)
	To	Normal(3,5)	Normal(95,5)
	С	Normal(0.5,1)	Normal(0.5,1)
Power	d	Normal(25,10)	Normal(1.2,1)
	do	Normal(0,5)	Normal(-5,1)
	а	Normal(0,1)	Normal(0.5,1)
Schnute variation 1 (a≠0,	b	Normal(2.3,0.5)	Normal(0.5,1)
(Schnute 1981)	Y ₁	Normal(25,5)	Normal(5,3) (age 1 =50)
(,	Y ₂	Normal(48,5)	Normal(20,5) (age 2 = 180)
Schnute variation 2 (a≠0,	а	Normal(0.2,0.05)	Normal(0.5,1)
b=0) (Schnute 1981, equivalent	Y ₁	Normal(25,2)	Normal(5,3) (age 1 =50)
to Gompertz 1825)	Y ₂	Normal(48,3)	Normal(20,5) (age 2 = 180)
Schnute variation 3 (a=0,	b	Normal(2.2,0.1)	Normal(0.5,1)
b≠0)	Y ₁	Normal(24,1)	Normal(5,3) (age 1 =50)
(Schnute 1981)	Y ₂	Normal(47,1)	Normal(20,5) (age 2 = 180)
Schnute variation 4 (a=0,	Y ₁	Normal(5,3)	Normal(5,3) (age 1 =50)
b=0) (Schnute 1981)	Y ₂	Normal(20,5)	Normal(20,5) (age 2 = 180)

 Table S2. Summary of priors used to fit the Bayesian growth models.

					Age				
Length (FL									
cm)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	14
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0.988	0.012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0.971	0.029	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0.992	0.008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0.981	0.019	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0.882	0.118	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0.794	0.206	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0.214	0.786	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0.214	0.429	0.357	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0.029	0.779	0.176	0.015	0	0	0	0	0
28	0.007	0.707	0.287	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0.003	0.685	0.291	0.022	0	0	0	0	0
32	0.002	0.604	0.376	0.018	0	0	0	0	0
34	0	0.390	0.561	0.049	0	0	0	0	0
36	0	0.199	0.710	0.091	0	0	0	0	0
38	0	0.138	0.683	0.167	0.013	0	0	0	0
40	0	0.080	0.577	0.310	0.033	0	0	0	0
42	0	0.012	0.418	0.510	0.056	0.004	0	0	0
44	0	0.009	0.336	0.514	0.131	0.009	0	0	0
46	0	0	0.258	0.555	0.188	0	0	0	0
48	0	0	0.108	0.591	0.280	0.022	0	0	0
50	0	0	0.145	0.364	0.455	0.036	0	0	0
52	0	0	0.056	0.333	0.528	0.083	0	0	0
54	0	0	0	0.429	0.381	0.143	0.048	0	0
56	0	0	0	0.273	0.591	0.136	0	0	0
58	0	0	0.071	0	0.643	0.286	0	0	0
60	0	0	0	0	0.538	0.462	0	0	0
62	0	0	0	0	0.200	0.700	0.100	0	0
64	0	0	0	0	0.400	0.200	0.400	0	0
66 60	0	0	0	0	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.250	0
68	0	0	0	0	0	0.500	0	0.500	0
70	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
72	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
74	0	0	0	0	0	0.500	0.250	0.250	0
76	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Table S3 Age-length key used to convert the length frequency data to age composition data.

Lengths are in 2-cm bins.

Length (FL cm)	Percentage
22	0.013
24	0.04
26	1.26
28	6.11
30	11.93
32	20.55
34	19.57
36	14.41
38	9.56
40	6.71
42	3.36
44	2.78
46	1.04
48	0.83
50	0.58
52	0.30
54	0.14
56	0.19
58	0.23
60	0.10
62	0.09
64	0.00
66	0.00
68	0.06
70	0.02
74	0.04
76	0.04
80	0.04

Table S4.Length composition of the Southwest Pacific *Pomatomus saltatrix* harvest as estimatedby this study (Figure 6).

Table S5. Age composition of the southwest Pacific *Pomatomus saltatrix* harvest as estimated by this study (Figure 6).

Age	Percentage
0	0.16
1	38.36
2	47.58
3	11.22
4	2.15
5	0.43
6	0.25
7	0.08

Region	k (year-1)	<i>L</i> ∞ (cm)	t₀ (years)	References
Northwest Atlantic	0.311	81.5	-0.30	Robillard <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Mediterranean	0.150	88.3	-1.43	(Cengiz <i>et al.</i> 2013)
Eastorn Atlantic	0.214	104.3	-0.05	Recalculated from
Eastern Atlantic				Champagnat (1983)
West Indian	0.094	124.7	-2.09	Govender (1999)
East Indian	0.464	59.2	-0.10	Smith <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Southwest Atlantic	0.387	66.2	0.32	Haimovici and Krug (1996)
Southwest Pacific	NA	NA	NA	This study

Table S6. Summary of published von Bertalanffy growth curve estimates for the populations of *P. saltatrix*.

Von Bertalanffy growth curve parameter estimates are not given here for the south-west Pacific population due to this study finding that the growth model is not appropriate for this population. Some populations show large t_0 estimates ($|t_0| > 1$), which suggests that the model may also not be appropriate for the juvenile (< 1 year old) portion of the populations. k, L^{∞} and t_0 are parameters in the von Bertalanffy growth equation.

References

Beverton RJ, Holt SJ (1957) On the dynamics of exploited fish populations. Report number XIX, II, Ministry of Agriculture.

Cengiz Ö, Özekinci U, Öztekin A, Kumaova CA (2013) Growth parameters and mortality of bluefish (*Pomatomus saltatrix Linnaeus*, 1766) from Gallipoli peninsula and Dardanelles (northeastern Mediterranean, Turkey). *Marine Science and Technology Bulletin* **2**(1), 1–7.

Champagnat C (1983) 'Pêche, biologie et dynamique du tassergal (*Pomatomus saltator*, Linnaeus, 1766) sur les côtes sénégalo-mauritaniennes.' (IRD Editions)

Fisheries Queensland (2017) Fisheries Monitoring Program Sampling Protocol - Fish Ageing: Section 2: Tailor. Report, Fisheries Queensland, Brisbane, Qld, Australia.

Fisheries Queensland (2018) Fisheries Monitoring Program Sampling Protocol - Fish Ageing. Report, Fisheries Queensland, Brisbane, Qld, Australia.

Gompertz B (1825) On the nature of the function expressive of the law of human mortality, and on a new mode of determining the value of life contingencies. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* **115**, 513–583.

Govender A (1999) A statistical procedure for validating age estimates from otolith bands using mark–recapture data, with an illustration for *Pomatomus saltatrix*. *South African Journal of Marine Science* **21**(1), 1–8.

Haimovici M, Krug L (1996) Life history and fishery of the enchova, *Pomatomus saltatrix*, in southern Brazil. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **47**(2), 357–363.

Robillard E, Reiss CS, Jones CM (2009) Age-validation and growth of bluefish (*Pomatomus saltatrix*) along the East Coast of the United States. *Fisheries Research* **95**(1), 65–75.

Schnute J (1981) A versatile growth model with statistically stable parameters. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **38**(9), 1128–1140.

Smith K, Lewis P, Brown J, Dowling C, Howard A, Lenanton R, Molony B (2013) Status of nearshore finfish stocks in south-western Western Australia Part 2: Tailor. Report, WA Department of Fisheries, Fisheries Research Division.