Supplementary material for

Population decline of the noisy scrub-bird is not correlated with territory size, marginal declines in rainfall or fire impacts

J. Dale Roberts^{A,F}, Alan Danks^B, Abby Berryman^B, Nadisha Sidhu^{C,D}, Allan H. Burbidge^E and Sarah Comer^B

^ASchool of Biological Sciences, and, Centre for Evolutionary Biology, University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley WA 6009, Australia.

^BDepartment of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Parks and Wildlife Service, 120 Albany Highway, Albany, WA 6330, Australia.

^cSchool of Agriculture and Environment, University of Western Australia, PO Box 5771, Albany, WA 6332, Australia.

^DBristol Veterinary School, University of Bristol, Langford House, Langford, Bristol BS40 5DU, United Kingdom.

^EDepartment of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Biodiversity and Conservation Science, Locked Bag 104, Bentley, WA 6983, Australia.

FCorresponding author. Email: dale.roberts@uwa.edu.au

Table S1. Piecewise regression results for scrub-bird counts at Mt Gardner over time.

	Estimate	s.e.	t value	P value
Intercept	10370	1276	8.123	< 0.001
YEAR – 1996	-5.105	0.636	-8.03	< 0.001
YEAR – 1996	-20530	1508	-13.611	< 0.001
YEAR:YEAR – 1996	10.290	0.754	13.642	< 0.001

Table S2. Piecewise regression results for scrub-bird counts at Lake Gardner over time.

	Estimate	s.e.	t value	P value
Intercept	1392.273	400.203	3.479	0.001
YEAR	-0.694	0.200	-3.466	0.001
YEAR – 1986	-9169.464	857.560	-10.693	< 0.001
YEAR:YEAR – 1986	4.638	0.433	10.722	< 0.001

Table S3. Linear regression results for scrub-bird counts over time at Mt Gardner from 2011 to 2015.

	Estimate	s.e.	t value	P value
Intercept	28891.1	8649.658	3.34	0.044
Year	-14.3	4.297	-3.328	0.045

Figure S1. Decline of scrub birds post 2015 wildfire. Numbers post-fire (X) fall within the 95% confidence intervals (dotted black lines) of the pre-fire regression line (dashed black line) defined by five pre-fire counts (black circles).

