

AN EXACTLY SOLUBLE TWO-BODY PROBLEM WITH NON-CENTRAL FORCES*

By B. DAVIES^{†‡} and L. M. DELVES[†]

[Manuscript received April 11, 1963]

Summary

A class of local potentials is given which includes hard cores and a finite-range central, tensor, and LS part, and for which the lowest two neutron-proton states ($J = 0, \pi = +1$ and $J = 1, \pi = +1$) are exactly soluble. A numerical example is given in which the form factor for the potentials is a hard core plus square well.

I. A CLASS OF EXACTLY SOLUBLE POTENTIALS

There has been some interest recently (Regge 1959; Barut and Calogero 1962; Bethe and Kinoshita 1962; Bhattacharjie and Sudarshan 1962; Nicholson 1962) in the analytic properties of the scattering amplitude for the non-relativistic Schrodinger equation, in the hope that these properties may have some relevance to quantum field theory; and in this connexion there has been continuing interest in soluble two-body systems. We give here a class of potentials for which the $J = 0, \pi = +1$ and $J = 1, \pi = +1$ states of the neutron-proton system are exactly soluble. These potentials may include hard cores; they contain in addition finite range central, tensor, and LS parts.

The $J = 0, \pi = +1$ state is an uncoupled S state, and is immediately soluble for the potentials we consider. The radial equations for the $J = 1, \pi = +1$ state are, in the usual notation (Blatt and Weisskopf 1952),

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{M} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + E - V_C(r) \right] u(r) &= \sqrt{8} \cdot V_T(r) w(r), \\ \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{M} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + E - \frac{6\hbar^2}{Mr^2} - V_C(r) + 2V_T(r) - 3V_{LS}(r) \right] w(r) &= \sqrt{8} \cdot V_T(r) u(r). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

We consider a potential with the following form in the triplet state

$$\left. \begin{aligned} V_C(r) &= -V_C v(r), \\ V_T(r) &= -V_T v(r), \\ V_{LS}(r) &= +V_{LS} v(r) - 2\hbar^2/Mr^2, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \phi(r) &= u(r) + \beta w(r), \\ \beta^2 + \frac{3V_{LS} + 2V_T}{2\sqrt{2}V_T} \beta + 1 &= 0, \\ V_E(r) &= 2\sqrt{2}\beta V_T(r) + V_C(r). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3)$$

* This research was supported in part by United States Air Force Research Grant No. 26-400 to the University of New South Wales.

[†] Applied Mathematics Department, University of New South Wales, Kensington, N.S.W.

[‡] Present Address: Weapons Research Establishment, Salisbury, S. Aust.

In terms of these quantities, equation (1) becomes

$$\left\{ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{M}{\hbar^2} \left[E - V_E(r) \right] \right\} \phi = 0, \quad (4)$$

the solution of which is known if the Schrodinger equation for S states can be solved for the central potential $v(r)$. There are two solutions, corresponding to the two roots β_1 and β_2 of (3); these define $u(r)$ and $w(r)$.

TABLE I
PARAMETERS OF THE TWO-BODY SYSTEM FOR THE POTENTIAL OF EQUATION (5)

r_0 (fm)	r_1 (fm)	V_C (MeV)	V_T (MeV)	V_L (MeV)	P_D (%)	Q ($10^{-27}W^2$)	r_{0S} (fm)	a_{0T} (fm)	r_{0T} (fm)
0.4	2.4	24.0	16.5	36.2	3.6	2.1	2.94	5.67	2.12
0.4	2.6	19.7	14.8	31.8	4.0	2.4	3.16	5.77	2.49
0.4	2.8	16.4	13.4	28.2	4.4	2.74	3.36	5.86	2.25
0.5	2.2	33.5	18.7	38.2	3.4	1.8	2.83	5.61	1.92
0.5	2.4	26.6	16.5	33.3	3.8	2.2	3.05	5.71	2.17
0.5	2.6	21.7	14.8	29.3	4.2	2.5	3.27	5.87	2.54
0.5	2.72	19.3	13.9	27.3	4.5	2.74	3.40	5.86	2.31
0.5	2.8	17.9	13.4	26.1	4.7	2.9	3.49	5.90	2.36
0.6	2.2	37.9	18.9	35.3	3.6	2.0	2.95	5.65	1.98
0.6	2.4	29.8	16.7	30.9	4.1	2.3	3.16	5.75	2.23
0.6	2.6	23.9	15.0	27.2	4.5	2.6	3.39	5.85	2.59
0.6	2.8	19.7	13.4	24.3	4.9	3.0	3.61	5.94	2.36

II. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

The D -state component of the wave function, $w(r)$, will not in general have the usual asymptotic form for this potential due to the term $-2\hbar^2/Mr^2$ in $V_{LS}(r)$. This is of no concern for the deuteron bound state, but does affect the scattering states; for instance, the usual effective range expansion is inapplicable. This feature can be removed by truncating the potentials, without affecting the solubility of the equations. We give here a numerical example for such a truncated potential, with a hard core of radius r_0 and an attractive square well of radius r_1 . That is, we write

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u(r) &= w(r) = 0, & r < r_0, & \quad (i) \\ v(r) &= 1, & r_0 \leq r \leq r_1, & \quad (ii) \\ V_C(r) &= V_T(r) = V_{LS}(r) = 0, & r > r_1, & \quad (iii) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

the solutions being obtained by matching the solution of equation (4) for region (ii) with those of equation (1) for region (iii), on the boundary $r = r_1$.

The results are given in Table I for a spread of hard core radius r_0 and potential width r_1 . For a given choice of r_0 and r_1 , V_C and V_T have been somewhat arbitrarily fitted to the deuteron binding energy and singlet scattering length. The parameter V_{LS} has been fitted by demanding that the expectation value of $V_{LS}(r)$ be zero over the deuteron ground state. Table I then gives for each potential the deuteron state probability P_D %, and quadruple moment Q , and the singlet effective range r_{0S} and triplet

TABLE 2

E (MeV)	X_{00}	X_{02}	X_{22}
10^{-6}	-9.11×10^{-4}	-7.66×10^{-12}	-1.62×10^{-19}
10^{-4}	-9.11×10^{-3}	-7.67×10^{-9}	-1.62×10^{-14}
10^{-2}	-9.12×10^{-2}	-7.68×10^{-6}	-1.62×10^{-9}
1	-1.101	-9.47×10^{-3}	-1.78×10^{-4}
2	-1.95	-3.30×10^{-2}	-1.08×10^{-3}
3	-3.26	-8.28×10^{-2}	-3.49×10^{-3}
4	-6.01	-2.04×10^{-1}	-9.70×10^{-3}
5	-17.4	-7.40×10^{-1}	-3.62×10^{-2}
6	$+29.9$	$+1.53$	$+7.11 \times 10^{-2}$
7	$+8.87$	$+5.30 \times 10^{-1}$	$+2.14 \times 10^{-2}$
8	$+5.42$	$+3.71 \times 10^{-1}$	$+1.15 \times 10^{-2}$
9	$+3.99$	$+3.08 \times 10^{-1}$	$+5.72 \times 10^{-3}$
10	$+3.19$	$+2.74 \times 10^{-1}$	$+8.36 \times 10^{-4}$
12	$+2.33$	$+2.41 \times 10^{-1}$	$+8.75 \times 10^{-3}$
14	$+1.84$	$+2.25 \times 10^{-1}$	$+1.93 \times 10^{-2}$
16	$+1.54$	$+2.16 \times 10^{-1}$	$+3.11 \times 10^{-2}$
18	$+1.31$	$+2.11 \times 10^{-1}$	$+4.42 \times 10^{-2}$
20	$+1.15$	$+2.07 \times 10^{-1}$	$+5.84 \times 10^{-2}$
25	$+8.49 \times 10^{-1}$	$+2.02 \times 10^{-1}$	$+9.84 \times 10^{-2}$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} B.E. &= 2.226 \text{ MeV}, & P_D \% &\sim 5\%, & Q &= 2.74 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2, \\ a_{0S} &= -23.7 \text{ fm}, & r_{0S} &\sim 2.7 \text{ fm}, \\ a_{0T} &= 5.38 \text{ fm}, & r_{0T} &= 1.7 \text{ fm}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

III. REFERENCES

- BARUT, A. O., and CALOGERO, F. (1962).—*Phys. Rev.* **128**: 1383.
 BETHE, H. A., and KINOSHITA, T. (1962).—*Phys. Rev.* **128**: 1418.
 BHATTACHARJIE, A., and SUDARSHAN, E. C. G. (1962).—*Nuovo Cim.* **25**: 864.
 BLATT, J. M., and WEISSKOPF, V. (1952).—"Theoretical Nuclear Physics." (Wiley: New York.)
 DAVIES, B. (1962).—Thesis, University of New South Wales.
 DELVES, L. M. (1960).—*Nuclear Phys.* **20**: 275.
 NICHOLSON, A. F. (1962).—*Aust. J. Phys.* **15**: 169, 174.
 REGGE, T. (1959).—*Nuovo Cim.* **14**: 951.