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#### Abstract

The influence of "parallel" viscosity on the resistive "tearing" mode in a cylindrical model of a plasma is investigated for a hard-core pinch. Iterative solutions of the basic equation indicate that the destabilization suggested by a previous cartesian model is not substantiated, and in fact under extreme shear there is slight stabilization. It is clear that geometrical effects must be properly included in order to obtain an accurate description of the role of parallel viscosity.


There has been widespread investigation into plasma behaviour in pinch-type devices where the simple geometries make for relatively straightforward theoretical analyses. Interest is still maintained in suppressing the resistive instabilities (Furth et al. 1963), which are not stabilized by strong magnetic field shear. In this note, the influence of "parallel" viscosity (Stringer 1970) on the resistive "tearing" mode in a cylindrical geometry is considered for a hard-core pinch. The tearing mode is the long wavelength instability which is particularly noticeable in hard-core pinch experiments (Aitken et al. 1964). One is interested in the mode number $m=1$ since it is well known that this corresponds to the fastest growing mode. It is to be noted that the $m=0$ mode is precluded as its wavelength would be much greater than laboratory dimensions (Aitken et al. 1965), and that higher $m$ modes may be important in larger diameter vessels (Furth et al. 1972). Growth rates have been computed previously for a cartesian model (Marinoff 1971) and for an inviscid cylindrical model (Hosking 1967).

The equilibrium configuration adopted here is exactly as described by Hosking (1967), with a magnetic field

$$
\boldsymbol{H}_{0}=H_{0 \theta}(r) \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+H_{0 z} \boldsymbol{e}_{z},
$$

where

$$
H_{0 \theta}(r)=A r+C / r \quad \text { and } \quad H_{0 z}=\text { const. }
$$

The notation and parameters used are essentially those of Hosking, but a range of resistivity values appropriate to a temperature range from $10^{4}$ to $10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ has been included, rather than the single value $\eta=50 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. As before, gravity and resistivity gradients are neglected and hydromagnetic stability is assumed.
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For perturbation quantities of the form

$$
f_{1}(r, \theta, z, t)=f_{1}(r) \exp (\omega t+i m \theta+\mathrm{i} k z)
$$

and adopting the Lagrangian displacement vector

$$
\boldsymbol{\xi}(r) \equiv \boldsymbol{v}_{1}(r) / \omega
$$

the linearized perturbation equations, including parallel viscosity, for an incompressible plasma are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho \omega^{2} \xi_{r}+D \pi_{1}=\mu\left\{\mathrm{i} F H_{1 r}-2\left(H_{0 \theta} / r\right) H_{1 \theta}\right\}-\left(3 \rho v f_{2}^{2} / r\right) s  \tag{1a}\\
\rho \omega^{2} \xi_{\theta}+(\mathrm{i} m / r) \pi_{1}=\mu\left\{\mathrm{i} F H_{1 \theta}+\left(\mathrm{D}^{*} H_{0 \theta}\right) H_{1 r}\right\}+3 \rho v f_{2}\left(\mathrm{i} F / H_{0}\right) s  \tag{1b}\\
\rho \omega^{2} \xi_{z}+\mathrm{i} k \pi_{1}=\mu\left\{\mathrm{i} F H_{1 z}+\left(\mathrm{D} H_{0 z}\right) H_{1 r}\right\}+3 \rho v f_{3}\left(\mathrm{i} F / H_{0}\right) s  \tag{1c}\\
H_{1 r}=\mathrm{i} F \xi_{r}+(\eta / \omega)\left\{\left(\mathrm{DD}^{*}-K^{2}\right) H_{1 r}-\left(2 \mathrm{i} m / r^{2}\right) H_{1 \theta}\right\}  \tag{2a}\\
H_{1 \theta}=\mathrm{i} F \xi_{\theta}-\left(\mathrm{D} H_{0 \theta}-H_{0 \theta} / r\right) \xi_{r}+(\eta / \omega)\left\{\left(\mathrm{DD}^{*}-K^{2}\right) H_{1 \theta}+\left(2 \mathrm{i} m / r^{2}\right) H_{1 r}\right\}  \tag{2b}\\
H_{1 z}=\mathrm{i} F \xi_{z}-\left(\mathrm{D} H_{0 z}\right) \xi_{r}+(\eta / \omega)\left(\mathrm{DD}^{*}-K^{2}\right) H_{1 z}  \tag{2c}\\
\mathrm{D}^{*} \xi_{r}+(\mathrm{i} m / r) \xi_{\theta}+\mathrm{i} k \xi_{z}=0  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{D}^{*} H_{1 r}+(\mathrm{i} m / r) H_{1 \theta}+\mathrm{i} k H_{1 z}=0 \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
s & =\omega\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} F}{H_{0}} \frac{\xi \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{0}}{H_{0}}+\frac{f_{2}^{2} \xi_{r}}{r}\right), & & K=\left(m^{2} / r^{2}+k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
F & =\boldsymbol{K} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{0}=(m / r) H_{0 \theta}+k H_{0 z}, & & \pi_{1}=p_{1}+\mu \boldsymbol{H}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{1}+\rho_{0} v s, \\
f_{2} & =H_{0 \theta} / H_{0}, & f_{3} & =H_{0 z} / H_{0}, \\
\mathrm{D} & \equiv \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} r, & \mathrm{D}^{*} \equiv \mathrm{D}+r^{-1} .
\end{array}
$$

The above equations apply in the whole plasma region, but the relations (2) may be replaced in the "outer" region by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1 r} \simeq \mathrm{i} F \xi_{r} \\
& H_{1 \theta} \simeq \mathrm{i} F \xi_{\theta}-\left(\mathrm{D} H_{0 \theta}-H_{0 \theta} / r\right) \xi_{r} \\
& H_{1 z} \simeq \mathrm{i} F \xi_{z}-\left(\mathrm{D} H_{0 z}\right) \xi_{r}
\end{align*}
$$

which are asymptotically valid as $S=\tau_{\mathrm{R}} / \tau_{H} \rightarrow \infty$ except in the vicinity of $F=0$, that is, in the "inner" or resistive region. The system of equations in the outer region
may be replaced by the equivalent differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D}\left(\frac{R(1+N-G)}{K^{2}} \mathrm{D}^{*} \xi_{r}\right)-\{R & -\frac{Q^{2} k^{2} m^{2} I}{R K^{2} r^{2} G}+\left(D-\frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{Q m^{2}(1+I-G)}{K^{2} r^{2}} \\
& \left.-\frac{2 \mu H_{0 \theta}}{r}\left(\mathrm{D} H_{0 \theta}-\frac{H_{0 \theta}}{r}\right)+\frac{m^{2} H_{0 \theta}^{2} Q(I-G)}{r^{3} F^{2}}\right\} \xi_{r}=0 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\rho \omega^{2}+\mu F^{2}, & Q & =2 \mu F H_{0 \theta} / m, \\
G(r) & =\frac{1}{1-K^{2} H_{0 z} / k F}, & N(r) & =\frac{G\left(1+r f_{2} J / m\right)}{1-\left(f_{3}-k r f_{2} / m\right) k J / K^{2}}, \\
J(r) & =\frac{3 \rho v \omega}{R G}\left(\frac{F}{H_{0}}\right)^{3}, & I(r) & =\frac{G\left(1+r f_{2}^{2} H_{0} J R / m^{2} Q F\right)}{1-\left(f_{3}-k r f_{2} / m\right) k J / K^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the inviscid limit $v \rightarrow 0$, equation (5) reduces to equation (11) of Hosking (1967) for $\rho \omega^{2} \ll \mu F^{2}$.

From the approximation ( $2 a^{\prime}$ ), equation (5) may be re-expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{2} H_{1 r}+f(r) \mathrm{D} H_{1 r}+g(r) H_{1 r}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r)= & \frac{1}{r}-\frac{2 \mathrm{D} F}{F}+\frac{\mathrm{D}(R M)}{R M}+\frac{2 m^{2}}{r^{2} K^{2}} \\
g(r)= & -\frac{\mathrm{D}^{2} F}{F}+\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\mathrm{D} F}{F}\right)\left(f(r)-\frac{2}{r}\right)-\frac{K^{2}}{M} \\
& +\frac{K^{2}}{R M}\left\{\frac{Q^{2} k^{2} m^{2} I}{R K^{2} r^{2} G}-\left(\mathrm{D}-\frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{Q m^{2}(1+I-G)}{K^{2} r^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 \mu H_{0 \theta}}{r}\left(\mathrm{D} H_{0 \theta}-\frac{H_{0 \theta}}{r}\right)-\frac{m^{2} H_{0 \theta}^{2} Q(I-G)}{r^{3} F^{2}}\right\} \\
M(r)= & 1+N(r)-G(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

All these expressions are valid for $H_{0 z} \equiv H_{0 z}(r)$.
Following Marinoff (1971), equation (6) was solved as two initial value problems by the Gill-modified Runge-Kutta technique and the logarithmic derivative $\Delta^{\prime}$ so obtained was matched in an iterative procedure to that value of $\Delta^{\prime}$ in the inviscid inner region derived by Furth et al. (1963). Only the estimated maximum growth rates for the viscous problem are presented in Table $1(a)$ as the inviscid results agree to the two significant figures shown, except that slightly larger values of $R_{0}$, the radial coordinate at $F=0$, are applicable. Again retention of $\rho \omega^{2}$ relative to $\mu F^{2}$ was necessary.
Table 1(a)

| $\begin{gathered} R_{0} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $J_{0}$ <br> (A) | $\begin{aligned} & H_{0 \theta} \text { at } R_{0} \\ & \left(\mathrm{Am}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S= \\ & \tau_{\mathrm{R}} / \tau_{H} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{b-a}{R_{0}}$ | $\begin{gathered} k \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n_{i} \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \eta \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} v \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\delta$ | $\Delta^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega_{\max } \\ & \left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0. 1084 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.21 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.46 \times 10^{5}$ | 0.184 | $-1.04$ | $10^{6}$ | $10^{21}$ | $1 \cdot 27$ | $9 \cdot 21 \times 10^{3}$ | $1 \cdot 1 \times 10^{-1}$ | 32 | $3.0 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 0.1087 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $9.88 \times 10^{3}$ | $3.90 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.183 | -0.846 | $10^{7}$ | $10^{21}$ | $4.78 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.45 \times 10^{6}$ | $3 \cdot 8 \times 10^{-2}$ | 95 | $1 \cdot 0 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 0•1090 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $7.59 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.06 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.183 | -0.648 | $10^{8}$ | $10^{21}$ | $1.75 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.72 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | 290 | $3.4 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 0. 1068 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.45 \times 10^{4}$ | $6.24 \times 10$ | 0.187 | -2.13 | $10^{4}$ | $10^{18}$ | $9.67 \times 10^{2}$ | $1.21 \times 10^{2}$ | $3 \cdot 1 \times 10^{-1}$ | 11 | $2.7 \times 10^{7}$ |
| 0. 1084 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.21 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.41 \times 10^{3}$ | $0 \cdot 184$ | $-1.04$ | $10^{5}$ | $10^{18}$ | $4 \cdot 18 \times 10$ | $2 \cdot 81 \times 10^{4}$ | $1 \cdot 1 \times 10^{-1}$ | 32 | $9.7 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 0. 1088 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $9.12 \times 10^{3}$ | $3.62 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.183 | -0.779 | $10^{6}$ | $10^{18}$ | $1 \cdot 63$ | $7.21 \times 10^{6}$ | $3 \cdot 8 \times 10^{-2}$ | 94 | $3 \cdot 3 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 0. 1092 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $6.07 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.183 | -0.517 | $10^{7}$ | $10^{18}$ | $5.90 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.99 \times 10^{9}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | 280 | $1 \cdot 1 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 0.1095 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $3.78 \times 10^{3}$ | $2.80 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.182 | -0.321 | $10^{8}$ | $10^{18}$ | $2 \cdot 10 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5 \cdot 59 \times 10^{11}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | 870 | $3.6 \times 10^{5}$ |
| maximum growth rates for extreme shear$a=0.099 \mathrm{~m}, b=0.101 \mathrm{~m}, H_{0 z}=1.075 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{Am}^{-1}, \varepsilon=1, m=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} R_{0} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J_{0} \\ \text { (A) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & H_{0 \theta} \text { at } R_{0} \\ & \left(\mathrm{Am}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S= \\ & \tau_{\mathrm{R}} / \tau_{H} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{b-a}{R_{0}}$ | $\stackrel{k}{\left(\mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n_{i} \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \eta \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} v \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\delta$ | $\Delta^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega_{\max } \\ & \left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 0•1009 | $9.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $7 \cdot 56 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.06 \times 10^{5}$ | $0 \cdot 019$ | -0.697 | $10^{8}$ | $10^{21}$ | $1.75 \times 10^{3}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 6 \cdot 72 \times 10^{8} \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.75 \times 10^{-2} \\ & 5.77 \times 10^{-2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \cdot 0 \\ & 67 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.70 \times 10^{5} \\ & 1.71 \times 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ |
| 0•1009 | $9 \cdot 5 \times 10^{5}$ | $7.56 \times 10^{4}$ | $1 \cdot 30 \times 10^{5}$ | 0.019 | -6.97 | $10^{8}$ | $10^{21}$ | $1.75 \times 10^{3}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 6.72 \times 10^{8} \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.39 \times 10^{-2} \\ & 2.40 \times 10^{-2} \end{aligned}$ | $82 \cdot 3$ $85 \cdot 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.03 \times 10^{5} \\ & 5.17 \times 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ |

The destabilization suggested by the cartesian model (Marinoff 1971) is not substantiated here, and indeed in the extreme shear cases (expected maximum stabilization) recorded in Table $1(b)$ there is slight stabilization with about a $2 \%$ decrease in maximum growth rate. It is clear that geometrical effects must be properly included in order to obtain a more accurate description of the role of parallel viscosity. Finally it is emphasized that the present results are for an incompressible plasma and parallel viscosity has not been included in the inner region.
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