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## Abstract

Contrary to statements in the current literature the gravitational potential energy of the polytrope of index 5 is finite. With $\xi_{n}$ denoting the least positive zero of the Emden function of index $n$, the value of the limit as $n \rightarrow 5$ of $(5-n) \xi_{n}$ is determined and made the basis of a simple rational approximation to $\xi_{n} q u a$ function of $n$.

It is well known that the gravitational potential energy $\Omega$ of a polytrope $S_{n}$ of index $n$, mass $M$ and radius $R$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Omega=3 G M^{2} /(5-n) R, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is Newton's constant. Chandrasekhar (1957) comments on this result as follows: 'We see that $-\Omega$ is "infinite" for $n=5$; the reason for this is that the polytrope of index $n=5$ of finite radius is infinitely "concentrated" toward the centre'. Cox and Giuli (1968) comment on equation (1) in similar terms. Now, in the first place it is difficult to understand the reference to a 'polytrope of index 5 of finite radius' since the radius of $S_{5}$ is, after all, infinite. In any event, the potential energy of $S_{5}$ is in fact finite. The mistaken conclusion referred to above is absent from the older literature (see e.g. Emden 1907). Nevertheless it may be worth while to obtain in this note the correct explicit result which amounts to finding the limiting value, as $n \rightarrow 5$, of the product $q_{n}=(5-n) \xi_{n}$, where $\xi_{n}$ is the least positive zero of $\theta_{n}(\xi)$, the Emden function of index $n$. Since not only $\theta_{5}$ but also $\theta_{0}$ and $\theta_{1}$ are elementary functions, knowledge of $q_{0}, q_{1}$ and $q_{5}$ allows one to construct a simple elementary rational approximation to $\xi_{n} q u a$ function of $n$ without recourse to numerical solutions of Emden's equation.

Where not otherwise indicated the notation is that of Chandrasekhar (1957) and the equations of Chapter IV of this work will be distinguished by the prefix C. Then

$$
-\Omega=4 \pi G \int_{0}^{R} r \rho M(r) \mathrm{d} r
$$

and using the first of equations (C8) (with $\lambda=\rho_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) as well as (C67), (C69) and (C10) this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=G M^{2} \omega_{n}^{-2} \alpha^{-1} \int_{0}^{\xi_{n}} \xi^{3} \theta_{n}{ }^{n} \dot{\theta}_{n} \mathrm{~d} \xi, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a dot indicates differentiation with respect to $\xi$ and

$$
\omega_{n}=\left[\xi^{2} \dot{\theta}_{n}(\xi)\right]_{\xi=\xi_{n}} .
$$

Since $R=\alpha \xi_{n}$, comparison of equation (2) with (1) immediately gives the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}=-3 \omega_{n}^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\xi_{n}} \xi^{3} \theta_{n}^{n} \dot{\theta}_{n} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right)^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking now $n=5$,

$$
\theta_{5}=\left(1+\frac{1}{3} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

so that $\omega_{5}=-\sqrt{ } 3$ (cf. equation C 71 ), whilst the integral on the right-hand side of equation (3) becomes (bearing in mind that $\xi_{5}=\infty$ )

$$
-\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi^{4}\left(1+\frac{1}{3} \check{\xi}^{2}\right)^{-4} \mathrm{~d} \xi .
$$

Its value is $-3 \pi \sqrt{3} / 32$. It thus follows that $q_{5}$ (regarded as the limit of $q_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow 5$ ) is given by

Accordingly

$$
q_{5}=32 \sqrt{ } 3 / \pi
$$

$$
\Omega=-(\pi \sqrt{ } 3 / 32) G M^{2} / \alpha .
$$

In view of equations (C38) and (C45), $q_{0}=5 \sqrt{ } 6$ and $q_{1}=4 \pi$. Evidently $q_{n}$ is a slowly increasing function of $n$ over the whole range, and a rational approximation to $\xi_{n}$ of the kind

$$
\xi_{n}=a(1+b n) /(5-n)(1+c n) \quad(a, b, c \text { const. })
$$

suggests itself. The values of the three constants are determined by the known values of $q_{0}, q_{1}$ and $q_{5}$. In a crude approximation of this kind it suffices to retain three significant figures. Then

$$
a=12 \cdot 3, \quad b=-0 \cdot 128, \quad c=-0 \cdot 150
$$

To this degree of accuracy the approximation is very satisfactory.
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