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#### Abstract

Simple methods for determining and systematically labelling the $S$-wave colour singlet states of multiquark configurations are developed and results are given for the quark configurations $Q^{N}$ $(N=0(\bmod 3))$ and for the quark-antiquark configurations $Q^{2} \bar{Q}^{2}, Q^{4} \bar{Q}$ and $Q^{3} \bar{Q}^{3}$. The masses of the colour singlet states associated with the $Q^{6}$ and $Q^{9}$ configurations are calculated using the MIT bag model and a number of conclusions concerning these mass spectra are drawn.


## Introduction

An important part of current theories of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is the hypothesis that the only observable states are those corresponding to colour singlets under the colour group $S U_{3}^{C}$. In QCD the hadrons are described in terms of confined coloured quarks of various flavours and massless vector gluons. The MIT bag model of hadrons (Chodos et al. 1974a, 1974b; DeGrand et al. 1975; DeGrand and Jaffe 1976) has provided a practical model for giving a phenomenological description of hadrons within the framework of QCD. Jaffe (1977a, 1977b, 1977c) has recently endeavoured to extend the bag model to the description of the $S$-wave colour-singlet multiquark hadrons.

In this paper we describe some simple methods for determining the colour singlets that arise in multiquark configurations and give a systematic method of labelling the $S$-wave colour singlet states. Results are given for the quark configurations $Q^{N}(N=0(\bmod 3))$ and for the quark-antiquark configurations $Q^{2} \bar{Q}^{2}, Q^{4} \bar{Q}$ and $Q^{3} \bar{Q}^{3}$. A group-theoretical description of multiquark hadrons is developed and the matrix elements of the gluon interaction term are calculated for the $Q^{N}$ configurations. The masses of the states associated with the $Q^{6}$ and $Q^{9} S$-wave colour singlets are then calculated on the basis of the MIT bag model. A number of conclusions concerning these mass spectra are drawn.

## Special Unitary Groups $\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

The special unitary groups $S U_{n}$ play a fundamental role in the classification of multiquark hadron states. Here we label the irreducible representations (irreps) of $S U_{n}$ by ordered partitions ( $\lambda$ ) of integers into not more than $n-1$ parts with the understanding that the irreps corresponding to $n$-part partitions are equivalent to irreps corresponding to fewer than $n$ parts via the equivalence

$$
\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\} \equiv\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{n}, \ldots, 0\right\}
$$

The partitions

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n-1}, \ldots\right)
$$

are said to correspond to irreps of $S U_{n}$ that are contragredient to one another. If the equivalence

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \equiv\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n-1}, \ldots\right)
$$

holds then the corresponding irreps are said to be self-contragredient (Wybourne and Bowick 1977).

## Antisymmetric Multiquark Hadron States

The quarks are fermions and as such multiquark states must be totally antisymmetrized. However, the colour hypothesis gives a stronger condition: not only must the states be antisymmetric but they must also be colour singlets if they are to correspond to observable states.

For maximal generality we shall at this stage assume $N$ flavours of quarks are associated with a flavour group $S U_{N}^{\mathrm{fl}}$ and that the basic set of coloured quarks spans the $\{1\}\{1\}^{\prime}$ irrep of the direct product group $S U_{N}^{\mathrm{fI}} \times S U_{6}^{C S}$, where $S U_{6}^{C S}$ is the colour-spin group. The coloured antiquarks will span the contragredient irrep $\left\{1^{N-1}\right\}\left\{1^{5}\right\}^{\prime}$ of $S U_{N}^{\mathrm{f} 1} \times S U_{6}^{C S}$.

The direct product group $S U_{N}^{f 1} \times S U_{6}^{C S}$ may be embedded in the larger group $S U_{6 N}$, with the coloured quarks spanning the $\{1\}$ irrep and the antiquarks the $\left\{1^{6 N-1}\right\}$ irrep. The antisymmetric $S$-wave states of the quark configuration $Q^{x}$ will span the $\left\{1^{x}\right\}$ irrep and those of the antiquark configuration $\bar{Q}^{x}$ the contragredient $\left\{1^{6 N-x}\right\}$ irrep of $S U_{6 N}$.

The irreps of $S U_{6 N}$ may be decomposed into those of $S U_{N}^{f 1} \times S U_{6}^{C S}$ by noting the S-function result (King 1975)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\lambda\} \rightarrow \sum_{\xi}(\{\lambda\} \circ\{\xi\})\{\xi\}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol o denotes the inner S-function multiplication (Wybourne 1970, 1974). For the special case of the $\left\{1^{n}\right\}$ irreps we have the simpler result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{1^{n}\right\} \rightarrow \sum_{\xi}\{\tilde{\xi}\}\{\xi\}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{\xi\}$ is an irrep of $S U_{6}^{C S}$ involving a partition ( $\xi$ ) of $n$ into not more than six parts and $\{\tilde{\xi}\}$ is the irrep of $S U_{N}^{\mathrm{fl}}$ involving a partition of $n$ into not more than $N$ parts and conjugate to ( $\xi$ ). The tables of Wybourne (1970) permit rapid evaluation of these branching rules.

In the cases of present interest it suffices to identify $S U_{N}^{\mathrm{f} 1}$ with $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1}$, though there is no difficulty in extending the classification of the multiquark hadrons for larger flavour groups. The branching rules for $S U_{18} \rightarrow S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f1}} \times S U_{6}^{c S}$ are given for the irreps $\left\{1^{x}\right\}(x=0,1, \ldots, 9)$ in Table 1. The branching rules for the irreps $\left\{1^{18-x}\right\}$ may be obtained from those for $\left\{1^{x}\right\}$ by replacing the $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1} \times S U_{6}^{\text {cS }}$ irreps by their contragredient partners.

As it stands, Table 1 gives a classification of the antisymmetric states of the multiquark configurations $Q^{x}$ and $\bar{Q}^{x}$. However, the colour hypothesis is stronger than just antisymmetrization and we should exclude from the $Q^{x}$ and $\bar{Q}^{x}$ antisymmetric states all those states that do not transform as colour singlets. This means we must

Table 1. Branching rules for $S U_{18} \rightarrow S U_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{f1}} \times S U_{6}{ }^{c s}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dimensions } \\ & D_{\{\lambda\}} \end{aligned}$ | Irreps for $S U_{18}$ | Branching to $S U_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{fI}} \times S U_{6}{ }^{c s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \{0\} | \{0\} 00$\}$ |
| 18 | \{1\} | \{1\} 11$\}$ |
| 153 | $\left\{1^{2}\right\}$ | $\{2\}\left\{1^{2}\right\}+\left\{1^{2}\right\}\{2\}$ |
| 816 | $\left\{1^{3}\right\}$ | $\{21\}\{21\}+\{3\}\left\{1^{3}\right\}+\{0\}\{3\}$ |
| 3060 | \{14\} | $\{4\}\left\{1^{4}\right\}+\{31\}\left\{21^{2}\right\}+\{1\}\{31\}+\left\{2^{2}\right\}\left\{2^{2}\right\}$ |
| 8568 | \{15 ${ }^{5}$ | $\{5\}\left\{1^{5}\right\}+\{41\}\left\{21^{3}\right\}+\{32\}\left\{2^{2} 1\right\}+\left\{1^{2}\right\}\{32\}+\{2\}\left\{31^{2}\right\}$ |
| 18564 | $\left\{1^{6}\right\}$ | $\begin{aligned} \{6\}\{0\} & +\{51\}\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\{42\}\left\{2^{2} 1^{2}\right\}+\{3\}\left\{31^{3}\right\}+\left\{3^{2}\right\}\left\{2^{3}\right\}+\{21\}\{321\} \\ & +\{0\}\left\{3^{2}\right\} \end{aligned}$ |
| 31824 | $\left\{1^{7}\right\}$ | $\begin{aligned} \{61\}\{1\} & +\{52\}\left\{2^{2} 1^{3}\right\}+\{4\}\left\{31^{4}\right\}+\{43\}\left\{2^{3} 1\right\}+\{31\}\left\{321^{2}\right\}+\left\{2^{2}\right\}\left\{32^{2}\right\} \\ & +\{1\}\left\{3^{2} 1\right\} \end{aligned}$ |
| 43758 | $\left\{1^{8}\right\}$ | $\begin{aligned} \{62\}\left\{1^{2}\right\} & +\{5\}\{2\}+\{53\}\left\{2^{3} 1^{2}\right\}+\{41\}\left\{321^{3}\right\}+\left\{4^{2}\right\}\left\{2^{4}\right\}+\{32\}\left\{32^{2} 1\right\} \\ & +\{2\}\left\{3^{2} 1^{2}\right\}+\left\{1^{2}\right\}\left\{3^{2} 2\right\} \end{aligned}$ |
| 48620 | $\left\{1^{9}\right\}$ | $\begin{aligned} \{63\}\left\{1^{3}\right\} & +\{54\}\left\{2^{4} 1\right\}+\{51\}\{21\}+\{42\}\left\{32^{2} 1^{2}\right\}+\{3\}\left\{3^{2} 1^{3}\right\}+\left\{3^{2}\right\}\left\{32^{3}\right\} \\ & +\{21\}\left\{3^{2} 21\right\}+\{0\}\left\{3^{3}\right\} \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. $S$-wave colour singlet states in $Q^{3 x}$ quark configurations

| Quark <br> configuration | $S U_{3}{ }^{\text {f }}$ <br> irrep | $S U_{6}{ }^{\text {cs }}$ <br> irrep | Spin <br> $S$ | Casimir <br> operator $C_{6}$ | Ratio <br> $\Delta / \bar{M}$ | $M^{\mathrm{A}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Q^{3}$ | $\{3\}$ | $\left\{1^{3}\right\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 42 | 8 | 1237 |
|  | $\{21\}$ | $\{21\}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 66 | -8 | 941 |
| $Q^{6}$ | $\{6\}$ | $\{0\}$ | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2799 |
|  | $\{51\}$ | $\left\{21^{4}\right\}$ | 1 | 48 | $80 / 3$ | 2507 |
|  | $\{42\}$ | $\left\{2^{2} 1^{2}\right\}$ | 2,0 | 80 | 8 | 2242 |
|  | $\left\{3^{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{2^{3}\right\}$ | 3,1 | 96 | $8 / 3$ | 2164 |
|  | $\{3\}$ | $\left\{31^{3}\right\}$ | 1 | 96 | $8 / 3$ | 2164 |
|  | $\{21\}$ | $\{321\}$ | 2,1 | 120 | $-28 / 3$ | 1986 |
|  | $\{0\}$ | $\left\{3^{2}\right\}$ | 0 | 144 | -24 | 1761 |
|  | $\{63\}$ | $\left\{1^{3}\right\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 42 | 56 | 3715 |
|  | $\{54\}$ | $\left\{2^{4} 1\right\}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 66 | 40 | 3518 |
|  | $\{51\}$ | $\{21\}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 66 | 40 | 3518 |
|  | $\{42\}$ | $\left\{32^{2} 1^{2}\right\}$ | $\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ | 99 | 24 | 3321 |
|  | $\left\{3^{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{32^{3}\right\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 114 | 20 | 3266 |
|  | $\{3\}$ | $\left\{3^{2} 1^{3}\right\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 114 | 20 | 3266 |
|  | $\{21\}$ | $\left\{3^{2} 21\right\}$ | $\frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ | 138 | 4 | 3060 |
|  | $\{0\}$ | $\left\{3^{3}\right\}$ | $\frac{9}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ | 162 | -4 | 2954 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ These masses are computed assuming $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0$ and are given for the smallest values of $S$ for a given colour-spin multiplet.
immediately exclude all configurations of nonzero triality and then examine the $S U_{6}^{C S} \rightarrow S U_{2}^{S} \times S U_{3}^{C}$ decompositions-discarding all except the colour singlet states. These branching rules again follow from equation (1) where now the S -functions arising in $\{\lambda\} \circ\{\xi\}$ involve partitions into not more than two parts and those of $\{\xi\}$ not more than three parts. The spin $S$ associated with a given irrep $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}$ of $S U_{2}^{S}$ is deduced by noting the equivalence

$$
\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\} \equiv\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}, 0\right\}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 S=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S$-wave colour singlet states in the $Q^{3 x}$ quark configurations for $x=1,2,3$ are given in Table 2 along with the eigenvalues of the quadratic Casimir operator $C_{6}$ for the appropriate $S U_{6}^{C S}$ irreps. The Casimir operator $C_{6}$ has been defined to agree with that used by Jaffe (1977b), though the eigenvalues were more readily deduced using the standard result (Judd 1963) for an $n$-part partition ( $\lambda$ ) of weight $m$ corresponding to the irrep $\{\lambda\}$ of $S U_{6}^{C S}$ to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 C_{6}\{\lambda\}=12 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}+7-2 i\right)-2 m^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues of the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=8 N-\frac{1}{2} C_{6}\left(\{\mu\}^{C S}\right)+\frac{4}{3} S(S+1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given in the penultimate column of Table 2. The eigenvalues of $\Delta$ for $Q^{12}$ may be found by adding 48 to the corresponding eigenvalues found for $Q^{6}$.

## Colour Singlet States for $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}$ Configurations

Table 1 provides a basis for obtaining antisymmetric states of the multiquark hadron configurations $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}(x-y=0(\bmod 3))$ and hence their colour singlet states. Antisymmetrization need only be carried out explicitly within the configurations $Q^{x}$ and $\bar{Q}^{y}$. There remains the specification of the coupling between the states of these two configurations to form the final states of the composite. While it is possible to construct a grandiose scheme where all the $S$-wave states of all possible $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}$ configurations span a single irrep and then reduce this irrep through a chain of subgroups exploiting quasi-spin type concepts etc., it is probably best to use a scheme that does not obscure the genealogical origin of the final states too much. With this in mind we shall use the group scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
S U_{18} \times S U_{18} & \rightarrow\left(S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1} \times S U_{6}^{C S}\right) \times\left(S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1} \times S U_{6}^{C S}\right) \\
& \rightarrow S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f1}} \times S U_{6}^{C S} \rightarrow S U_{2}^{S} \times S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f1}} \times S U_{3}^{C} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The antisymmetric states of the $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}$ configuration will span the $\left\{1^{x}\right\}\left\{1^{18-y}\right\}$ irrep of $S U_{18} \times S U_{18}$. The reduction $S U_{18} \rightarrow S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f}} \times S U_{6}^{C S}$ is already accomplished in Table 1. The reduction of

$$
\left(S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1} \times S U_{6}^{C S}\right) \times\left(S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1} \times S U_{6}^{C S}\right) \rightarrow S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1} \times S U_{6}^{c S}
$$

follows from the evaluation of the appropriate S-function outer products (Wybourne 1970) to give the results shown in Table 3 relevant to the classification of the states of the quark configurations $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}$. The classification of colour singlet states is completed by identifying those irreps of $S U_{6}^{C S}$ that contain an $S U_{3}^{C}$ singlet under the reduction $S U_{6}^{C S} \rightarrow S U_{2}^{S} \times S U_{3}^{C}$. The values of the spin $S$ associated with the colour singlets can be found from the results given in Table 2 together with the additional results:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{421^{3}\right\} & \supset S=\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; & & \left\{42^{4}\right\} \supset S=2,0 ; \\
\left\{52^{3} 1\right\} & \supset S=2,1 ; & & \left\{63^{4}\right\} \supset S=3,1 . \\
\left\{432^{2} 1\right\} & \supset S=3,2,1(2), 0 ; & &
\end{aligned}
$$

The spins $S$ associated with the colour singlets of the above $S U_{6}^{C S}$ irreps were determined by a method based on equation (1) together with equation (47) of Wybourne (1970). In this way it was possible to arrive at the values of $S$ without decomposing irreps of $S U_{6}^{C S}$ completely.

Table 3. Classification of states of quark configurations $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}$

| Config. | $\left(S U_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{f1}} \times S U_{6}{ }^{c s}\right) \times\left(S U_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{f1}} \times S U_{6}{ }^{\text {cs }}\right)$ | $S U_{3}{ }^{\text {f1 }} \times S U_{6}{ }^{\text {cs }}$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q \bar{Q}$ | $(\{1\}\{1\}) \times\left(\left\{1^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{5}\right\}\right)$ | $[\{0\}+\{21\}] \times\left[\{0\}+\left\{21^{4}\right\}\right]$ |
| $Q^{2} \bar{Q}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\{2\}\left\{1^{2}\right\}\right) \times\left(\left\{2^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{4}\right\}\right) \\ & \left(\{2\}\left\{1^{2}\right\}\right) \times\left(\{1\}\left\{2^{5}\right\}\right) \\ & \left(\left\{1^{2}\right\}\{2\}\right) \times\left(\left\{2^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{4}\right\}\right) \\ & \left(\left\{1^{2}\right\}\{2\}\right) \times\left(\{1\}\left\{2^{5}\right\}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\{0\}+\{21\}+\{42\}] \times\left[\{0\}+\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{2^{2} 1^{2}\right\}\right]} \\ & {[\{21\}+\{3\}] \times\left[\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{3^{2} 2^{3}\right\}\right]} \\ & {\left[\{21\}+\left\{3^{2}\right\}\right] \times\left[\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{31^{3}\right\}\right]} \\ & {[\{0\}+\{21\}] \times\left[\{0\}+\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{42^{4}\right\}\right]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $Q^{4} \bar{Q}$ | $\begin{aligned} &\left(\{4\}\left\{1^{4}\right\}\right) \times\left(\left\{1^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{5}\right\}\right) \\ &\left(\{31\}\left\{21^{2}\right\}\right) \times\left(\left\{1^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{5}\right\}\right) \\ &(\{1\}\{31\}) \times\left(\left\{1^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{5}\right\}\right) \\ &\left(\left\{2^{2}\right\}\left\{2^{2}\right\}\right) \times\left(\left\{1^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{5}\right\}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\{3\}+\{51\}] \times\left[\left\{1^{3}\right\}+\left\{2^{4} 1\right\}\right]} \\ & {[\{21\}+\{3\}+\{42\}] \times\left[\left\{1^{3}\right\}+\{21\}+\left\{32^{2} 1^{2}\right\}\right]} \\ & {[\{0\}+\{21\}] \times\left[\{21\}+\{3\}+\left\{421^{3}\right\}\right]} \\ & {\left[\{21\}+\left\{3^{2}\right\}\right] \times\left[\{21\}\left\{3^{2} 1^{3}\right\}\right]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $Q^{3} \bar{Q}^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\{21\}\{21\}) \times\left(\{21\}\left\{2^{4} 1\right\}\right) \\ & (\{21\}\{21\}) \times\left(\left\{3^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{3}\right\}\right) \\ & (\{21\}\{21\}) \times\left(\{0\}\left\{3^{5}\right\}\right) \\ & \left(\{3\}\left\{1^{3}\right\}\right) \times\left(\{21\}\left\{2^{4} 1\right\}\right) \\ & \left(\{3\}\left\{1^{3}\right\}\right) \times\left(\left\{3^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{3}\right\}\right) \\ & \\ & \left(\{3\}\left\{1^{3}\right\}\right) \times\left(\{0\}\left\{3^{5}\right\}\right) \\ & (\{0\}\{3\}) \times\left(\{21\}\left\{2^{4} 1\right\}\right) \\ & (\{0\}\{3\}) \times\left(\left\{3^{2}\right\}\left\{1^{3}\right\}\right) \\ & (\{0\}\{3\}) \times\left(\{0\}\left\{3^{5}\right\}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\{0\}}\left.+2\{21\}+\{3\}+\left\{3^{2}\right\}+\{42\}\right] \times\left[\{0\}+2\left\{21^{4}\right\}\right. \\ &\left.+\left\{2^{2} 1^{2}\right\}+\left\{31^{3}\right\}+\left\{3^{2} 2^{3}\right\}+\left\{42^{4}\right\}+\left\{432^{2} 1\right\}\right] \\ & {[\{21\}}\left.+\left\{3^{2}\right\}+\{42\}+\{54\}\right] \\ & \times\left[\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{2^{2} 2^{2}\right\}+\left\{31^{3}\right\}+\{321\}\right] \\ & {[\{21\}] } \times\left[\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{3^{2} 2^{3}\right\}+\left\{42^{4}\right\}+\left\{543^{3}\right\}\right] \\ & {[\{21\}}+\{3\}+\{42\}+\{51\}] \\ & \times\left[\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{2^{2} 1^{2}\right\}+\left\{3^{2} 2^{3}\right\}+\left\{3^{3} 21\right\}\right] \\ & {[\{0\}}+\{21\}+\{42\}+\{63\}] \\ & \times\left[\{0\}+\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{2^{2} 1^{2}\right\}+\left\{2^{3}\right\}\right] \\ & {[\{3\}] \times\left[\left\{3^{2} 2^{3}\right\}+\left\{4^{3} 3^{2}\right\}\right] } \\ & {[\{21\}] \times\left[\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{31^{3}\right\}+\left\{42^{4}\right\}+\left\{52^{3} 1\right\}\right] } \\ & {\left[\left\{3^{2}\right\}\right] \times\left[\left\{31^{3}\right\}+\left\{41^{2}\right\}\right] } \\ & {[\{0\}] \times\left[\{0\}+\left\{21^{4}\right\}+\left\{42^{4}\right\}+\left\{63^{4}\right\}\right] } \end{aligned}$ |

## Exotic Multiquark Hadron Flavour Multiplets

The commonly observed hadrons, the mesons and baryons, are associated with the triality zero irreps $\{0\},\{21\},\{3\}$ and $\left\{3^{2}\right\}$ of the flavour group $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f}}$. Inspection of Tables 2 and 3 shows that the multiquark hadrons can lead to exotic triality zero irreps of $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{fl}}$. The isospin-hypercharge $(I, Y)$ content of these irreps may be found by noting that if $\{\lambda\}$ is an irrep of $S U_{3}^{f 1}$ of weight $m$ then under $S U_{3}^{f 1} \rightarrow S U_{2}^{I} \times U_{1}^{Y}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\lambda\} \rightarrow \sum_{n}\{\lambda / n\}\left\{\frac{1}{3} m-n\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is any integer compatible with the S -function division and $\{\lambda / n\}$ labels the irreps of the isospin group $S U_{2}^{I}$ involving partitions $(\mu)$ into at most two parts while $\left\{\frac{1}{3} m-n\right\}$ labels the irreps of the hypercharge group $U_{1}^{Y}$. Specifically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 I=\mu_{1}-\mu_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad Y=\frac{1}{3} m-n . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $(I, Y)$ associated with the exotic irreps of $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1}$ that arise in Tables 2 and 3 are given in Table 4. The eigenvalues of the $S U_{3}$ quadratic Casimir operator
may be readily evaluated by noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 C_{3}\left\{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\right\}=4\left[\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2}+3 \mu_{1}\right], \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have chosen our normalization to agree with that used by Jaffe (1977b).

Table 4. Exotic $S U_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{f} 1} \rightarrow S U_{2}^{I} \times U_{1}^{Y}$ decompositions

| $D_{\{\lambda\}}$ | $S U_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{f} 1}$ | Isospin-hypercharge $(I, Y)$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 27 | $\{42\}$ | $(1,2)+\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)+(2,0)+(1,0)+(0,0)+\left(\frac{3}{2},-1\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2},-1\right)+(1,-2)$ |
| 35 | $\{51\}$ | $(2,2)+\left(\frac{5}{2}, 1\right)+\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1\right)+(2,0)+(1,0)+\left(\frac{3}{2},-1\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2},-1\right)+(1,-2)$ <br>  <br> 28 |
|  | $\{6\}$ | $(0,-2)+\left(\frac{1}{2},-3\right)$ |

## Multiquark Hadron States

The colour singlet $S$-wave states of the quark configuration $Q^{N}$ with $N=0(\bmod 3)$ follow directly from Tables 1 and 4 with a typical state being written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{1^{N}\right\}\{\lambda\}^{f 1}\{\mu\}^{C S}\{0\}^{C} S S_{z} I I_{z} Y\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the trivial colour hypercharge and isospin numbers are suppressed.
The corresponding states of the quark-antiquark configuration $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}$ with $x-y=0(\bmod 3)$ follow from Tables 3 and 4 with a typical state being written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{1^{N}\right\}\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{\mathrm{fl}}\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{C S}\left\{\lambda_{2}\right\}_{Q}^{\mathrm{fl}}\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{c S} ; r_{\mathrm{f}}\left\{\lambda_{12}\right\}^{\mathrm{f1}} r_{\mathrm{c}}\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}^{c s}\{0\}^{C} S S_{z} I I_{z} Y\right\rangle, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $r_{\mathrm{c}}$ are multiplicity indexes.

## Diagonalization of Colour-Spin Operator

Jaffe (1977b) has shown that the diagonalization of the quark-gluon interaction for multiquark hadrons involves the evaluation of the matrix elements of the twoparticle colour-spin operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{\mathrm{g}}=-\sum_{a=1}^{8} \sum_{i<j} \sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j} \lambda_{i}^{a} \lambda_{j}^{a} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i}^{a}$ are the spin and colour vectors for the $i$ th quark. The operator $O_{\mathrm{g}}$ acts only on the colour-spin group $S U_{6}^{C S}$ and its subgroups and hence we can effectively suppress the flavour group representations and use the abbreviated kets
for $Q^{N}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N \alpha\{\mu\}^{c s}\{0\}^{C} S S_{z}\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N \alpha\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{C S}\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}_{Q}^{C S} ; r\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}^{C S}\{0\}^{c} S S_{z}\right\rangle \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}$, with $\alpha$ denoting the associated sets of flavour representations and $r$ being a multiplicity index.

If $O_{\mathrm{g}}$ acts on the ket (13) we obtain the eigenvalues given by equation (5) above, which are already listed in Table 2. The operation of $O_{\mathrm{g}}$ on the ket (14) yields both diagonal and off-diagonal elements, and in this case it can be useful to partially decouple (14) to give

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid N \alpha\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{C S} & \left.\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{C S} ; r_{\mathrm{c}}\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}^{C S}\{0\}^{c} S S_{z}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{\pi_{1}, S_{1}, S_{2}}\left\langle\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{C S}\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}^{c} S_{1},\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{C S}\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}^{* C} S_{2} \mid r_{\mathrm{c}}\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}^{C S}\{0\}^{C} S\right\rangle \\
& \quad \times\left|N \alpha\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{C S}\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}^{C} S_{1}\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{C S}\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}^{* C} S_{2} ;\{0\}^{C} S S_{z}\right\rangle \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}^{*}$ is contragredient to $\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}$. The matrix elements of $O_{\mathrm{g}}$ can then be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle N \alpha\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{C S}\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{C S} ; r_{\mathrm{c}}\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}^{C S}\{0\}^{C} S S_{z}\right| O_{\mathrm{g}}\left|N \alpha^{\prime}\left\{\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{C S}\left\{\mu_{2}^{\prime}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{C S} ; r_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}\left\{\mu_{12}^{\prime}\right\}^{\}^{C S}}\{0\}^{C} S^{\prime} S_{z}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\delta_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} \delta_{S S^{\prime}} \delta_{S_{z} S_{z^{\prime}}} \delta_{\mu_{1} \mu_{1}} \delta_{\mu_{2} \mu_{2}}, \\
& \times\left\{\delta_{\mu_{12} \mu_{12}}\left[8 N+\frac{1}{2} C_{6}\left(\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}\right)-C_{6}\left(\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}\right)-C_{6}\left(\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}\right)-\frac{4}{3} S(S+1)\right]\right. \\
& +2 \sum_{S_{1}, S_{2}, \pi_{1}}\left\langle r_{\mathrm{c}}\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}\{0\} S \mid\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}\left\{\pi_{1}\right\} S_{1}\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}^{*} S_{2}\right\rangle \\
& \times\left\langle\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}\left\{\pi_{1}\right\} S_{1}\left\{\mu_{2}\right\}\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}^{*} S_{2} \mid r_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}\{0\} S\right\rangle \\
& \left.\times\left[C_{3}\left(\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}\right)+\frac{4}{3}\left\{S_{1}\left(S_{1}+1\right)+S_{2}\left(S_{2}+1\right)\right\}\right]\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In the special case of the configurations $Q^{N-1} \bar{Q}$ the result (16) simplifies to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle N \alpha\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{C S}\left\{1^{5}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{C S} ;\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}^{C S}\{0\}^{C} S S_{z}\right| O_{\mathrm{g}}\left|N \alpha\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}_{Q}^{C S}\left\{1^{5}\right\}_{\bar{Q}}^{C S} ;\left\{\mu_{12}^{\prime}\right\}^{C S}\{0\}^{C} S S_{z}\right\rangle \\
=\delta_{\mu_{12} \mu_{12}}\left[8 N-\frac{32}{3}+\frac{1}{2} C_{6}\left(\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}\right)-C_{6}\left(\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}\right)-\frac{4}{3} S(S+1)\right] \\
+\frac{8}{3} \sum_{S_{1}} S_{1}\left(S_{1}+1\right)<\left\{\mu_{12}\right\}\{0\} S\left|\left\{\mu_{1}\right\}\{1\} S_{1}\left\{1^{5}\right\}\left\{1^{2}\right\} \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \\
\times \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $S U_{6} \supset S U_{2} \times S U_{3}$ isoscalar factors may be expressed in terms of $3 j m$ factors (Butler 1975); the relevant 3jm factors are all primitive (Butler and Wybourne 1976) and may be readily evaluated. Equation (17) involves two terms: a diagonal term that is dominant in all cases of interest and nondiagonal terms that require the use of equations (8) together with the appropriate isoscalar factors.

## Multiquark MIT Bag Model Mass Calculations

In the MIT bag model the quark and gluon fields are assumed to be permanently confined to a spherical bag of radius $R$ (we ignore questions of bag deformation) and the energy $E(R)$ of the $S$-wave hadrons is a function of the bag radius. This radius is fixed by the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(\partial E / \partial R)\right|_{R=R_{0}}=0, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which amounts to balancing the field pressures with the bag-confining pressure $B$.

The energy of the hadrons contains four terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(R)=E_{B}+E_{0}+E_{\mathrm{K}}+E_{\mathrm{g}} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{B}=\frac{4}{3} \pi B R^{3} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the energy associated with the confining pressure $B$, while the second term

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=-Z_{0} / R \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives a phenomenological estimate of the effects of zero-point fluctuations of the quark and gluon fields confined to a sphere of radius $R$.

The third term in equation (19) is the quark kinetic energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{K}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i}\left(m_{i} R\right) / R, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}\left(m_{i} R\right)=\left(x\left(m_{i} R\right)^{2}+m_{i}^{2} R^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x\left(m_{i} R\right)$ being the dimensionless wave number of an $S$-wave quark of mass $m_{i}$ in a cavity of radius $R$. The quantity $x\left(m_{i} R\right)$ as a function of $m_{i} R$ has been evaluated by DeGrand et al. (1975). For a state involving $n_{0}$ non-strange quarks of equal mass $m_{0}$ and $n_{\mathrm{s}}$ strange quarks of mass $m_{\mathrm{s}}$ we may replace equation (22) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{K}}=\left(n_{0} \omega\left(m_{0} R\right)+n_{\mathrm{s}} \omega\left(m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right)\right) / R . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fourth term in equation (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{g}}=-\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{c}} / R\right) \sum_{a=1}^{8} \sum_{i<j} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} . \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j} \lambda_{i}^{a} \lambda_{j}^{a} M\left(m_{i} R, m_{j} R\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents the quark-gluon interaction to first-order in the quark-gluon coupling constant $\alpha_{\mathrm{c}}$. The integral $M\left(m_{i} R, m_{j} R\right)$ has been evaluated and plotted as a function of $m R$ by DeGrand et al. (1975). The calculation of the gluon interaction matrix elements can be enormously simplified for an $N$-quark state involving $n_{\mathrm{s}}$ strange quarks by making the replacement (Jaffe 1977a, 1977b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(m_{i} R, m_{j} R\right) \rightarrow M\left(\left(n_{\mathrm{s}} / N\right) m_{\mathrm{s}} R,\left(n_{\mathrm{s}} / N\right) m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n_{\mathrm{s}}=0$ or $N$ the replacement is exact while for the other values of $n_{\mathrm{s}}$ it is equivalent to making a linear interpolation. The introduction of this approximation amounts to ignoring splittings among states of the same strangeness but it does strongly break the $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1}$ multiplets as expected.

Within the approximation (26) it becomes possible to replace equation (25) by the much simpler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{c}} / R\right) O_{\mathrm{g}} M\left(\left(n_{\mathrm{s}} / N\right) m_{\mathrm{s}} R,\left(n_{\mathrm{s}} / N\right) m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mass calculations for the multiquark configurations $Q^{N}$ are now particularly simple as every state involves a well-defined number of strange quarks, and equation (27)
assumes the simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}=\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{c}} / R\right) \Delta M\left(\left(n_{\mathrm{s}} / N\right) m_{\mathrm{s}} R,\left(n_{\mathrm{s}} / N\right) m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right), \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is as defined by equation (5).
The evaluation of the masses of the multiquark hadrons is made in terms of the four parameters $B, \alpha_{\mathrm{c}}, Z_{0}$ and $m_{\mathrm{s}}$, which have been determined in the configurations $Q^{3}$ and $Q \bar{Q}$ as (DeGrand et al. 1975)

$$
B^{\frac{1}{4}}=0.146 \mathrm{GeV}, \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{c}}=0.55, \quad Z_{0}=1 \cdot 84, \quad m_{\mathrm{s}}=0.279 \mathrm{GeV}
$$

with the non-strange quarks being taken as massless. The calculation of the masses then proceeds by evaluating equation (19) for an assumed bag radius $R$ to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(R)=\frac{4}{3} \pi B R^{3}+P / R, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-Z_{0}+n_{0} \omega_{0}+n_{\mathrm{s}} \omega_{\mathrm{s}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{c}} \Delta M\left(\left(n_{\mathrm{s}} / N\right) m_{\mathrm{s}} R,\left(n_{\mathrm{s}} / N\right) m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary condition (18) may then be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}^{4}+\frac{n_{\mathrm{s}} m_{\mathrm{s}}}{4 \pi B}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{~d} \omega_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{~d}\left(m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{c}} \Delta}{N} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}\left(m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right)} M\left(\frac{n_{\mathrm{s}}}{N} m_{\mathrm{s}} R, \frac{n_{\mathrm{s}}}{N} m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right)\right\} R_{0}=\frac{P}{4 \pi B} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicit evaluation of the derivatives in equation (31) shows them to be remarkably constant with respect to $m_{\mathrm{s}} R$ and, to a very good approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \omega_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{~d}\left(m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right)}=0.69, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}\left(m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right)} M\left(\frac{n_{\mathrm{s}}}{N} m_{\mathrm{s}} R, \frac{n_{\mathrm{s}}}{N} m_{\mathrm{s}} R\right)=-0.038 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus equation (31) may be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}^{4}+\frac{n_{\mathrm{s}} R_{0}}{4 \pi B}\left(33 \cdot 036-\frac{\Delta}{N}\right) 5 \cdot 83 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{P}{4 \pi B} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quartic in $R_{0}$ may be solved to the first approximation in $R_{0}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}^{(1)}=3.6377 P^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{34a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}^{(1)}=366 \cdot 52 P^{\frac{3}{4}} \quad \mathrm{MeV} . \tag{34b}
\end{equation*}
$$

This value may be used then to give an improved value of $R_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{0}^{(2)}=R_{0}^{(1)}\left(1-1 \cdot 4575 n_{\mathrm{s}} R_{0}^{(1)}(33 \cdot 036-\Delta / N) 10^{-3} P^{-1}\right),  \tag{35a}\\
& E_{0}^{(2)}=\frac{4}{3} \pi B\left(R_{0}^{(2)}\right)^{3}+P / R_{0}^{(2)} . \tag{35b}
\end{align*}
$$

The procedure adopted to compute the masses of the $Q^{6}$ and $Q^{9}$ hadrons was to choose an initial value of $R$ and evaluate $P$ using equation (30). The resulting value of $P$ was used in equations (34) and thence in (35) and the process continued until convergence was obtained.


Figs $1 a$ and 1b. Calculated masses for the $S$-wave colour singlet states of the quark configurations (a) $Q^{6}$ and (b) $Q^{9}$. The strangeness number $\mathscr{S}$ associated with each state is indicated. The approximations represented are (see text):
A, no quark-gluon interaction;
B, quark-gluon interaction present, all quarks massless;
C, quark-gluon interaction present, strange quarks mass 279 MeV .

## Application to $Q^{6}$ and $Q^{9}$

The results for the quark configurations $Q^{6}$ and $Q^{9}$ are displayed in Figs $1 a$ and $1 b$ respectively. In each case the first column A shows the mass obtained by neglecting the quark-gluon interaction. In this instance the states of the given configuration are completely degenerate. The second column B shows the effect of switching on the quark-gluon interaction assuming all the quarks are massless. In this approximation the $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1}$ symmetry is exact. In the third column C the quark-gluon interaction is included with the strange quarks being given a mass of 279 MeV . This has the


Fig. 1b
effect of strongly breaking the $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1}$ symmetry down to $S U_{2}^{I} \times U_{1}^{Y}$ with states of the same strangeness being degenerate within a given $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{fI}}$ multiplet. The strangeness number $\mathscr{S}$ associated with each of these levels is indicated. It is emphasized that the calculations displayed in Figs $1 a$ and $1 b$ show only the states associated with the lowest spin $S$ for a given $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{fi}}$ multiplet.

The magnetostatic quark-gluon interaction is primarily responsible for the mass splittings associated with a given quark configuration and may make positive or negative contributions to the masses of the colour singlet states. The states having negative contributions will tend to have greater stability especially if the resultant mass falls below the threshold for multibaryon decays. The quark-gluon interaction is necessarily positive for all the colour singlet $S$-wave states of the $Q^{N}(N=0(\bmod 3))$
configurations with $N=12,15$ or 18 and thus no stable states are expected for $N>9$. Any resonances or enhancements that did occur would necessarily be very weak and of great width.

The low mass states of the $Q^{6}$ and $Q^{9}$ configurations are of greatest interest as they have the possibility of exhibiting bound states and resonances. We consider first the states of $Q^{6}$.

The lowest mass state corresponds to a flavour singlet, with strangeness $\mathscr{S}=-2$ and $J^{\mathbf{p}}=0^{+}$, and involves the quark configuration uuddss. This state occurs approximately 85 MeV below twice the mass of the hyperon $\Lambda$ and thus suggests the existence of a stable dihyperon $(\mathrm{H})$ at $\sim 2140 \mathrm{MeV}$. It is, however, important to realize that in the bag model this state is not simply a weakly bound state of two hyperons but rather a hadron in its own right corresponding to a six-quark colour singlet. It may be viewed as involving the combination of coloured and colourless three-quark states to produce the final colour singlet state. However, when the sixquark bag deforms and fissions, the decay products must involve only colourless objects. The possibility of a dihyperon resonance was suggested by Jaffe (1977c) but searches by Guy and Kadyk (1977) have failed to find it. Problems associated with the experimental production and observation of such resonances have recently been discussed by Lipkin (1977a, 1977b).

The lowest member of the flavour octet $\{21\}$ has strangeness $\mathscr{S}=-1$ with $J^{\mathrm{p}}=1^{+}$and $I=\frac{1}{2}$. This level occurs $\sim 100 \mathrm{MeV}$ above the combined mass of $\mathrm{p} \Lambda$. The experimental situation is by no means clear (Beilliere et al. 1976): enhancement in the $\Lambda$ p invariant mass has been observed at 2127 MeV but it is not clear whether it is due to a $B=2, \mathscr{S}=-1$ resonance or a kinematic effect just below the $\Sigma \mathrm{n}$ threshold. It is tempting to interpret the observed enhancement as being associated with the $Q^{6}$ configuration but this must await more conclusive experimental evidence.

The second member of the flavour octet has $\mathscr{S}=-2$ and $I=1$ and 0 and could be expected to decay strongly into $\mathrm{N} \Xi$ or $\Lambda \Sigma$. The flavour octet also has $J^{\mathrm{p}}=2^{+}$. These states all occur $\sim 100 \mathrm{MeV}$ above the corresponding $J^{\mathrm{p}}=1^{+}$states and must involve dibaryons with one baryon of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and one of spin $\frac{3}{2}$. No bound states are expected. Finally, we note that the lowest state of the $\left\{3^{2}\right\}$ flavour decuplet has the quantum numbers expected for the deuteron but with a mass $\sim 275 \mathrm{MeV}$ higher than for pn .

The prospects for observing bound states and resonances in $Q^{9}$ are much less encouraging. The lowest state is a member of a flavour octet $\{21\}$ with $\mathscr{S}=-2$, $I=\frac{1}{2}$ and $J^{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{2}^{+}$. This state occurs above any tribaryons having these quantum numbers and hence no bound states are expected. The octet occurs with $J=\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}$ and hence the density of levels is high and only weak resonances could arise if at all.

## Concluding Remarks

We have described a simple method for determining the $S$-wave colour singlets for multiquark configurations and made a number of simplifications to the determination of their masses within the framework of the MIT bag model. No bound states have been found for the $Q^{N}$ configurations where $N \geqslant 9$. There appears to be some possibility of observing one or two bound states or resonances in $Q^{6}$ associated with the $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{f} 1}$ singlet $\{0\}$ and octet $\{21\}$. The successful observation of these states would add support to the QCD hypothesis. It would seem to be clear that there
can be no bound states associated with any of the exotic $S U_{3}^{\mathrm{fl}}$ representations. While it is possible to refine these calculations further and compute electromagnetic mass differences etc., the results obtained so far suggest that in the absence of further experimental data this is likely to be an unrewarding task. The study of the quarkantiquark configurations $Q^{x} \bar{Q}^{y}$, especially $Q^{4} \bar{Q}$, is likely to be more rewarding as the masses are lower than those considered here and the magnetostatic gluon interaction is more favourable to the formation of bound states.

## References

Beilliere, P., Wilquet, G., Guy, J. G., Tovey, S. N., and Knight, W. L. (1976). Univs Brussels (ULB-VUB) Rep. No. IIHE-76 . 9, November 1976.
Butler, P. H. (1975). Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A 277, 545.
Butler, P. H., and Wybourne, B. G. (1976). Int. J. Quantum Chem. 10, 581, 599, 615.
Chodos, A., Jaffe, R. L., Johnson, K., and Thorn, C. B. (1974b). Phys. Rev. D 10, 2599.
Chodos, A., Jaffe, R. L., Johnson, K., Thorn, C. B., and Weisskopf, V. F. (1974a). Phys. Rev. D 9, 3471.
DeGrand, T., and Jaffe, R. L. (1976). Ann. Phys. (New York) 100, 425.
DeGrand, T., Jaffe, R. L., Johnson, K., and Kiskis, J. (1975). Phys. Rev. D 12, 2060.
Guy, J. G., and Kadyk, J. (1977). Rutherford Lab. Rep. No. RL-77-054/A.
Jaffe, R. L. (1977a). Phys. Rev. D 15, 267.
Jaffe, R. L. (1977b). Phys. Rev. D 15, 281.
Jaffe, R. L. (1977c). Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 195, 617.
Judd, B. R. (1963). 'Operator Techniques in Atomic Spectroscopy', p. 125 (McGraw-Hill: New York).
King, R. C. (1975). J. Phys. A 8, 429.
Lipkin, H. J. (1977a). High Energy spectroscopy. Fermilab. Conf. No. 77/66-THY, July 1977.
Lipkin, H. J. (1977b). Theoretical review of strange and nonstrange mesons. Fermilab. Conf. No. 77/65-THY, July 1977.
Wybourne, B. G. (1970). 'Symmetry Principles and Atomic Spectroscopy' (with an Appendix of Tables by P. H. Butler) (Wiley-Interscience: New York).
Wybourne, B. G. (1974). 'Classical Groups for Physicists' (Wiley-Interscience: New York).
Wybourne, B. G., and Bowick, M. J. (1977). Aust. J. Phys. 30, 259.

