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#### Abstract

A search for OH at 1612 MHz has been made along the galactic plane from longitude $340^{\circ}$ to the galactic centre, yielding 78 emission sources (mostly new discoveries); a further 5 sources have been found in a less sensitive survey between longitudes $270^{\circ}$ and $326^{\circ}$. Of these 83 sources 55 are masers of the variety showing two intensity peaks spaced in velocity-a characteristic of $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars. The velocity and spatial distributions of these new $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars (which are not as yet identified in the optical or infrared) are discussed, with special reference to their kinematic properties and population type; it is still not clear whether they are predominantly late-type giants (Mira variables) or supergiants. The other 28 OH sources detected include 11 of the type IIc variety (extended OH clouds exhibiting 1612 MHz emission with accompanying 1720 MHz absorption) and 4 with accompanying main-line (type I) OH masers; the remaining 13 sources do not readily fit within existing classification schemes and are discussed individually.


## 1. Introduction

Several surveys of 1612 MHz OH emission in the plane of the Galaxy have recently been reported (Caswell and Haynes 1975; Johansson et al. 1977a; Bowers 1978a; Baud et al. 1979a, 1979b). Most of the 1612 MHz OH sources discovered are double-peaked in velocity and show negligible polarization while emission on the 1665 or 1667 MHz lines is generally weak or absent and the 1720 MHz line is never detectable. These properties are characteristic of OH emission from the circumstellar shells of late-type stars and the OH sources are a valuable stellar probe, especially in directions where optical obscuration is severe.

Early surveys concentrated on galactic longitude ranges $326^{\circ}-340^{\circ}$ and $12^{\circ}-234^{\circ}$, and thus specifically excluded the galactic centre region. The results of these surveys made it clear that a search extending to the galactic centre would be valuable; consequently our present survey was chosen to cover the longitude range $340^{\circ}-2^{\circ}$ while the more northerly region $358^{\circ}-14^{\circ}$ was recently covered by Baud et al. (1979a).

## 2. Observations

(a) General

All observations were made with a dual-channel 18 cm parametric amplifier installed on the Parkes 64 m paraboloid; the system temperature of each channel was $\sim 80 \mathrm{~K}$ on cold regions of sky. Two orthogonal linear polarizations were sampled and with appropriate phasing were combined at IF to yield the two senses (RH and LH) of circular polarization. The spectral line analysis was performed
with the 1024 channel digital autocorrelator, yielding a 512 point spectrum for each circular polarization simultaneously.

The intensity calibration is relative to Hydra A, for which a total flux density of 36 Jy was assumed ( 18 Jy in each sense of circular polarization); the beam size to half-power is $12^{\prime} \cdot 6$ arc at 1612 MHz and the ratio of flux density to antenna temperature for each polarization is $0.8 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$.
(b) Initial Survey for New Sources: 1976 March and July
(i) Longitude range $340^{\circ}-355^{\circ}$. Positions at $b=0^{\circ}$ and $\pm 0^{\circ} \cdot 2$, and spaced $0^{\circ} \cdot 2$ in longitude were observed. Observations at $|b| \geqslant 0^{\circ} .4$ were made where sources were detected at the edge of the original grid. The spectrum bandwidth analysed was 2 MHz and covered the velocity range -230 to $+130 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The resolution (with uniform weighting) was 4.8 kHz ( $\equiv 0.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Integrations for 7 min at each grid position were combined with a 15 min reference to yield an r.m.s. noise level (in directions with no strong continuum radio emission) of 0.1 K ( $\equiv 0.08 \mathrm{Jy}$ ) in each sense of polarization.
(ii) Longitude range $355^{\circ}-2^{\circ}$. Nearer the galactic centre we anticipated that the velocity range of any detectable emission might be somewhat larger, and in this region a bandwidth of 5 MHz was observed giving a velocity coverage of -450 to $+450 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a resolution, with uniform weighting, of 12 kHz . The grid spacing in longitude was $0^{\circ} \cdot 2$ and for longitudes $355^{\circ}$ to $358^{\circ}$ the latitudes observed were $b=0^{\circ}, \pm 0^{\circ} \cdot 2$ and $\pm 0^{\circ} \cdot 4$; we also observed from $l=358^{\circ} \cdot 2$ to $+2^{\circ}$ (but only at $b=0^{\circ}$ ) in order to provide a small comparison region with the Baud et al. (1979a) survey.
(iii) Longitude range $270^{\circ}-326^{\circ}$. A fast survey (integration time 2 min at each grid point), limited to $b=0^{\circ}$ with grid points spaced $0^{\circ} \cdot 2$ in longitude, was made in this region. Four new double-peaked $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars were detected and a new position measurement of $\mathrm{OH} 305 \cdot 91-1 \cdot 91$ was made.
(c) Further Study: 1977 March and September, 1978 August

An accurate position was measured for each source, using a grid with $6^{\prime}$ arc spacing centred on the preliminary estimate of the source position. Observations were then made at the source position with higher frequency resolution; a 0.5 MHz total bandwidth was generally used for the stronger sources, yielding a velocity coverage of $90 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a resolution of 2 kHz (after Hanning weighting). For sources which were circularly polarized at 1612 MHz , additional observations on the main-line transitions ( 1665 and 1667 MHz ) were made and for those sources showing only a single velocity feature at 1612 MHz further observations were made at 1720 MHz .

## 3. Results

## (a) OH Emission Sources: Tabulation and Figures

In Table 1 we list the 83 emission sources detected in the survey. The measured positions are given in columns $1-5$. The galactic coordinates of columns 1 and 2 together with the prefix ' OH ' are subsequently used as the source names. The radial velocities and peak total intensities are summarized in columns 6 and 7 , and the epoch of measurement is given in column 8. In many cases a figure is shown (see column 9)
and should be referred to for more details of the emission. The source type is given in column 10 (see below for a description of the designations used) and for some sources (in particular those which cannot readily be classified) reference is made to notes in the text (Section $3 b$ ) and to earlier publications in the case of four previously known sources. For double-peaked ( $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ ) sources the separation in velocity and the mean velocity are given (columns 11 and 12). A kinematic distance is given in column 13 (calculated from the mean velocity; see Section $4 a$ ). With the adoption of these distances an 'intrinsic luminosity' is given for $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ sources in column 14; it is the intensity of the strongest peak if the source had been situated at a distance of 1 kpc .

Note that $\mathrm{OH} 344.83-1.67$ and $\mathrm{OH} 345.05-1.86$ fall outside the intended survey limits and were discovered by accident while doing a reference integration. $\mathrm{OH} 305.91-1.91$ also lies outside the survey area and was observed to measure an improved position.

Apart from a few miscellaneous sources, most of the sources fit into three known classes as follows.
(i) Type II OH/IR stars. These have intensity peaks at two velocities separated typically by $20-50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; in general we have relied wholly on the 1612 MHz OH appearance, together with the absence of circular polarization, to classify these sources.
(ii) Type I sources. These are associated with main-line emission having an intensity comparable with or exceeding the 1612 MHz emission. The main-line emission is generally circularly polarized and in some cases the 1612 MHz emission also shows considerable circular polarization.
(iii) Type IIc. These are weak unpolarized single broad-velocity features and are probably spatially extended; in many cases our measurements at 1720 MHz show absorption, as is common for these sources (see Caswell and Haynes 1975).

## (b) Notes on Some Individual Sources

OH 305.91-1.91. Discovered by Bowers and Kerr (1978); outside our survey area but observed in order to measure a more accurate position.

OH339.93+0.37 (Fig. 1). Single feature, showing some circular polarization. Intensity decreased between 1977 September and 1978 August. High negative velocity suggests it is near tangent point. Not detected at 1665,1667 or 1720 MHz .

OH $340 \cdot 00-0.51$ and OH $340 \cdot 14-0.45$ (Fig. 1). Each profile shows emission from the other source, somewhat attenuated by the offset from the beam centre.

OH 340.24-0.06 (Fig. I). Single feature, quite narrow ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). No significant circular polarization. High velocity suggests it is near tangent point. Not detected at 1665,1667 or 1720 MHz .

OH $342 \cdot 01+0 \cdot 25$ (Fig. 2). Single broad feature ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Intensity may vary (apparently stronger in 1978 August than in 1977 March). Emission at 1667 MHz is present in the same direction but displaced in velocity to $v=-31 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Not detected at 1665 or 1720 MHz .

OH $342 \cdot 2+0 \cdot 2$. Type IIc, showing 1720 MHz absorption at the same velocity as the 1612 MHz emission. Note that the high velocity indicates that the OH cloud is quite distant.

OH343•12-0.06(Fig. 2). Type I source, with accompanying 1665 and 1667 MHz emission (unpublished data) and also $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ maser emission (Batchelor et al. 1980).
Table 1. OH emission at 1612 MHz

| (1) (2)Galacticcoordinates$l$$l$$\quad b$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { (3) (4) } \\ \text { Position (1950) } \end{array}$ |  | (5) <br> RMS <br> error ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | (6) <br> Radial velocities $\begin{gathered} \text { (l.s.r.) } \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | (7) <br> Peak intensities (Jy) |  | (8) | (9) | (10) <br> Source type and notes ${ }^{B}$ | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Date |  |  | Fig. <br> No. | $\Delta v$ | Mean | Kinematic |  | Intrinsic |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { R.A. } \\ \mathrm{h} \mathrm{~m} \text { s } \end{gathered}$ | Dec. |  |  |  | sepn <br> (k | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vel. } \\ & \mathrm{is}^{-1} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { distance }^{\mathrm{C}} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | luminosity ( $\mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{kpc}^{2}$ ) |  |
| $285 \cdot 05+0 \cdot 07$ | $102843 \cdot 1$ | -573416 | 35 | -1 | +35 |  | $1 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 77 Mar. | - | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ | 36 | $+17$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | 114 |
| $286 \cdot 50+0 \cdot 06$ | $103809 \cdot 6$ | -581748 | 35 | +9 | +41 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | 77 Mar. | - | OH/IR | 32 | +25 | $8 \cdot 3$ | 158 |
| 300.93-0.03 | $123101 \cdot 3$ | -623343 | 45 | $+37$ | $+60$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 9$ | 77 Mar. |  | OH/IR | 23 | +48.5 | $14 \cdot 0$ | 568 |
| $305.91-1.91$ | $131558 \cdot 9$ | -642144 | 30 | -76 | -46 | $1 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | 76 Mar . | - | OH/IR; see text | 30 | -61 | $5 \cdot 9$ | 63 |
| $315 \cdot 22+0 \cdot 01$ | $142945 \cdot 0$ | -601053 | 35 | -99 | -67 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 9$ | 77 Apr. | - | OH/IR | 32 | -83 | $7 \cdot 0$ | 108 |
| $339 \cdot 93+0 \cdot 37$ | $164131 \cdot 3$ | -445753 | 60 | -116 |  | $2 \cdot 1$ |  | 77 Sept. | 1 | ?; see text | 7 | $-26 \cdot 5$ | $9 \cdot 4 \pm 0$ $2 \cdot 5$ or $16 \cdot 3$ | 23 |
| $339 \cdot 98-0 \cdot 19$ | $164404 \cdot 3$ | -451746 | 20 | -44 | -7 | 2.4 | $3 \cdot 7$ 3.6 | 77 Mar. | 1 | OH/IR $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$; see text | 45 | - $-57 \cdot 5$ | 4.9 or 13.9 | 86 |
| $340 \cdot 00-0 \cdot 51$ | $164531 \cdot 8$ | -452918 | 10 | -80 | -35 | $2 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | 77 Mar. | 1 | OH/IR; see text | 35 | - 87 | 6.9 or 11.9 | 114 |
| $340 \cdot 14-0.45$ | $164547 \cdot 4$ | -452021 | 25 | -102 | -72 | $2 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 77 Mar. | 1 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$; see text | 30 | -87 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 9 \text { or } 11 \cdot 9 \\ & 9 \cdot 4+0 \end{aligned}$ | 114 |
| $340 \cdot 24-0.06$ | $164428 \cdot 0$ | -450054 | 60 | -122 |  | $2 \cdot 2$ |  | 77 Sept. | 1 | ?; see text |  |  |  |  |
| 340.42-0.01 | $164456 \cdot 2$ | -445101 | 20 | -168 | -135 | $3 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | 77 Mar. | 1 | OH/IR | 33 | $-151 \cdot 8$ | 9.4 9.4 | 159 |
| $340 \cdot 82+0 \cdot 00$ | $164620 \cdot 0$ | -443202 | 25 | -144 | $-116$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | 77 Mar. | 1 | OH/IR | 2 | -130 | $4 \cdot 4$ or $15 \cdot 0$ | + 34 |
| $341 \cdot 12-0 \cdot 00$ | $164726 \cdot 1$ | -441825 | 25 | -61 | -25 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 77 Mar. | 1 | OH/IR | 29 | -43 $-17 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 8$ or $17 \cdot 1$ | 21 |
| $341 \cdot 28+0 \cdot 12$ | $164730 \cdot 1$ | -440622 | 15 | -32 | -3 | $6 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | 77 Mar. | 2 | OH/IR | 29 | -17.5 | $4 \cdot 5$ or $14 \cdot 5$ |  |
| $342 \cdot 01+0 \cdot 25$ | $164931 \cdot 1$ | -432740 | 15 | -48 |  | 8.7 |  | 77 Mar. | 2 | ?; see text |  |  | $4 \cdot 5$ or $14 \cdot 5$ |  |
| $342 \cdot 2+0 \cdot 2$ | $165024 \cdot 7$ | -432044 | ext | -81 |  | $0 \cdot 8$ |  | 76 Mar. | - | IIc; see text |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 7 \text { or } 12 \cdot 3 \\ & 9 \cdot 6 \pm 1 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | 83 |
| 342.78-0.08 | $165336 \cdot 0$ | -430422 | 20 | -127 | -88 | $0 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 8$ | 77 Sept. | 2 | OH/IR | 39 | $-107 \cdot 5$ |  | 83 |
| $343 \cdot 12-0.06$ | $165443 \cdot 2$ | -424752 | 15 | -28 |  | 28 |  | 77 Mar . | 2 | I; see text |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 0 \text { or } 16 \cdot 2 \\ & 9 \cdot 6 \pm 2 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | 120 |
| $343 \cdot 38+0 \cdot 25$ | $165417 \cdot 9$ | -422355 | 60 | -101 | -73 | $1 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | 77 Mar. | 2 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ | 28 | -87 | $9 \cdot 6 \pm 2 \cdot 5$ $3 \cdot 1$ or $16 \cdot 1$ |  |
| $343 \cdot 8-0.2$ | $165735 \cdot 5$ | -422106 | ext? | -28 |  | $0 \cdot 5$ |  | 77 Mar. | - | IIc; see text |  |  |  |  |
| $344 \cdot 39+0 \cdot 03$ | $165835 \cdot 0$ | -414500 | $>30$ ? | -67 |  | $1 \cdot 4$ |  | 77 Mar. | 2 | IIc?; see text |  |  |  |  |
| 344.83-1.67 | $170717 \cdot 5$ | -422529 | 20 | -71 | $-46$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | 77 Mar. | 2 | OH/IR; see text | 25 | -58.5 | 5.9 or 13.4 0.5 or 18.7 | r 35 |
| $344 \cdot 93+0 \cdot 01$ | $170025 \cdot 8$ | -41 1943 | 15 | -23 | +15 | 140 | 90 | 77 Mar. | 2 | OH/IR | 38 | -4 | $0 \cdot 5$ or 18.7 |  |
| 345.05-1.86 | $170848 \cdot 8$ | -422147 | 15 | -11 | +18 | 26 | 20 | 77 Mar. | 3 | OH/IR; see text | 29 | $+3 \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 345.70-0.09 | $170322 \cdot 5$ | -404702 | 10 | -8 |  |  |  |  |  | I; text; ref. 1 |  |  | $1 \cdot 1$ or $18 \cdot 3$ |  |


Table 1 (Continued)

| (1) (2)Galacticcoordinates$l$$l$ | $\begin{array}{lr} (3) & (4) \\ \text { Position (1950) } \end{array}$ |  | (5) <br> R.M.S. error ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | (6) <br> Radial velocities (l.s.r.) $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |  | (7) <br> Peak intensities (Jy) |  | (8) <br> Date | (9) <br> Fig. No. | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & \mathrm{h} \mathrm{~m} \text { s } \end{aligned}$ | Dec. |  |  |  | Source type and notes ${ }^{B}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \Delta v \\ \text { sepn } \\ (\mathrm{k} \end{array}$ |  |  | Mean vel. $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) | Kinematic distance ${ }^{\text {C }}$ (kpc) | Intrinsic luminosity ( $\mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{kpc}^{2}$ ) |
| 354.88-0.54 | $173143 \cdot 8$ | -333141 |  | 15 | -5 |  |  | $+24$ | 116 | 322 | 77 Mar. | 6 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$; see text | 29 | $+9 \cdot 5$ | $\sim 0($ or 10$)$ | ? |
| $355 \cdot 03+0 \cdot 17$ | $172917 \cdot 8$ | -330107 | 35 | +20 |  |  |  | $2 \cdot 0$ |  | 77 Mar. | 6 | ?; see text |  |  | $10 \cdot 0$ |  |
| $355 \cdot 38+0 \cdot 08$ | $173035 \cdot 3$ | -324624 | 45 | -190 |  | $1 \cdot 0$ |  | 77 Mar. | 6 | ?; see text |  |  | $10 \cdot 0$ |  |
| $355.95-0.05$ | $173233 \cdot 6$ | -322140 | 20 | -13 |  | $5 \cdot 4$ |  | 77 Mar. | 7 | ?; see text |  |  | $4 \cdot 7$ or $15 \cdot 3$ |  |
| $356 \cdot 38+0 \cdot 29$ | $173219 \cdot 6$ | -314859 | 25 | +94 | +121 | 1.4 | $1 \cdot 6$ | 77 Mar. | 7 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ | 27 | $+107 \cdot 5$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | 160 |
| $356 \cdot 46-0 \cdot 38$ | 173511.4 | -320707 | 60 | -138 |  | $0 \cdot 8$ |  | 77 Mar. | 7 | ?; see text |  |  | $10 \cdot 0$ |  |
| $356 \cdot 50-0 \cdot 55$ | $173557 \cdot 9$ | -321020 | 15 | +61 | +86 | $14 \cdot 5$ | 54 | 78 Aug. | 7 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$; text; ref. 2 | 25 | +73.5 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 5400 |
| $356 \cdot 63-0 \cdot 21$ | $173456 \cdot 2$ | -315303 | 60 | -23 | +3 | $1 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 8$ | 77 Mar. | 7 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ | 26 | -10 | $4 \cdot 4$ or $15 \cdot 6$ | 37 |
| $356 \cdot 64-0.32$ | $173523 \cdot 4$ | -315536 | 60 | -20 |  | 1.7 |  | 77 Mar. | 7 | ?; see text |  |  | $10 \cdot 0 \pm 3 \cdot 3$ |  |
| $357 \cdot 0+0 \cdot 0$ | $173503 \cdot 0$ | -312723 | ext? | -6 |  | 0.8 |  | 77 Sept. | - | IIc; see text |  |  | $3 \cdot 2$ or $16 \cdot 7$ |  |
| 357.09-0.37 | $173644 \cdot 5$ | -313436 | 20 | $+60$ | +82 | $3 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 77 Mar. | 7 | OH/IR | 22 | +71 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 360 |
| $357 \cdot 18-0 \cdot 52$ | $173735 \cdot 0$ | -313452 | 20 | + 19 | +42 | $1 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | 77 Sept. | 7 | OH/IR | 23 | $+30 \cdot 5$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | 200 |
| $357 \cdot 48+0 \cdot 37$ | $173447 \cdot 8$ | -305111 | 20 | + 101 | +129 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | 77 Mar. | 8 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ | 28 | +115 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 410 |
| $357 \cdot 68-0 \cdot 06$ | $173700 \cdot 9$ | -305445 | 15 | -253 | -221 | $6 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | 77 Mar. | 8 | OH/IR | 32 | -237 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 580 |
| $357 \cdot 71-0 \cdot 27$ | $173752 \cdot 4$ | -310011 | 25 | -75 | -44 | $3 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 4$ | 77 Mar. | 8 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ | 31 | -59.5 | $10 \cdot 0 \pm 1 \cdot 1$ | 330 |
| $357 \cdot 75+0 \cdot 34$ | $173536 \cdot 9$ | -303838 | 20 | -106 | -82 | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | 77 Mar. | 8 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ | 24 | -94 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 300 |
| $357 \cdot 77-0 \cdot 15$ | $173733 \cdot 6$ | -305325 | 30 | -92 | -68 | $2 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 77 Mar. | 8 | OH/IR | 24 | -80 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 220 |
| $358 \cdot 16+0 \cdot 50$ | $173559 \cdot 2$ | -301247 | 10 | -18 | +22 | 45 | 42 | 77 Mar. | 8 | OH/IR; text; ref. 3 | 40 | +2 | $\sim 0$ (or 10) | ? |
| $358 \cdot 67-0 \cdot 04$ | $173921 \cdot 1$ | -300419 | 45 | -26 | +27 | $4 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | 78 Aug. | 8 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$; see text | 53 | $+0 \cdot 5$ | $\sim 0$ (or 10) | ? |
| $359 \cdot 22+0 \cdot 26$ | $173932 \cdot 6$ | -292644 | 20 | -104 |  | $1 \cdot 4$ |  | 78 Aug. | - | ?; see text |  |  | $10 \cdot 0$ |  |
| $359 \cdot 22+0 \cdot 18$ | $173951 \cdot 2$ | -292912 | 20 | -150 | -119 | $1 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 78 Aug. | 9 | OH/IR | 31 | -134.5 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 210 |
| $359 \cdot 36+0 \cdot 08$ | $174034 \cdot 5$ | -292503 | 20 | -223 | -199 | $1 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | 78 Aug. | 9 | OH/IR | 24 | -211 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 450 |
| $1.48-0.06$ | $174611 \cdot 1$ | -274121 | 15 | -141 | $-114$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | 77 Mar. | 9 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$; see text | 27 | $-127 \cdot 5$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | 840 |

[^0]Both 1665 and 1667 MHz emission are circularly polarized $100 \% \mathrm{LH}$ but the 1612 MHz emission shows no significant polarization. No 1720 MHz emission is detectable.

OH $343 \cdot 8-0 \cdot 2$. Type IIc, showing 1720 MHz absorption.
OH $344 \cdot 39+0 \cdot 03$ (Fig. 2). Probably type IIc, with accompanying 1720 and 1667 MHz absorption. Caswell and Haynes (unpublished data) find a new 1665 MHz (type I) emission source in this direction.

OH $344 \cdot 83-1 \cdot 67$ and OH $345 \cdot 05-1 \cdot 86$ (Figs 2 and 3). OH/IR sources with galactic latitude outside the intended survey area; discovered while doing a reference integration.

OH345•70-0.09. Type I OH maser with emission at 1612,1665 and 1667 MHz (see Robinson et al. 1974; Caswell and Haynes 1975) and with an associated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ maser (Batchelor et al. 1980).

OH 346.86-0. 18 (Fig. 3). Unusual type of source with a single peak of emission detected only at 1612 MHz ; neither emission nor absorption detectable at 1720 , 1667 or 1665 MHz .

OH $347 \cdot 63+0 \cdot 15$. Type I OH maser with emission at 1612,1665 and 1667 MHz (see Robinson et al. 1974). The position (Table 1) was obtained in the current observations. The source shows relatively strong excited state OH emission at 6035 MHz (Knowles et al. 1976).

OH349•36-0•20 (Fig. 4). Unusual type of source: at 1612 MHz the emission is weak but circularly polarized; emission at 1667 MHz is present in this same direction, with comparable intensity and similar mean velocity but much broader $\left(\sim 20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. The high negative velocity suggests that the source is quite distant.

OH $349 \cdot 6+0 \cdot 4$. Type IIc showing 1720 MHz absorption.
OH349.96-0.03 (Fig. 4). The 1612 MHz emission is a quite strong single feature but nothing was detected at 1665,1667 or 1720 MHz . Note the quite large positive velocity.

OH 351.4-0.4. Type IIc, showing 1720 MHz absorption.
OH351.4-0.6 and OH351•6+0.2. Type IIc with similar velocities and thus probably close in space. Both show 1720 MHz absorption.

OH351.6-0.4. Type IIc with 1720 MHz absorption; quite large velocity suggests source is quite distant. Caswell and Haynes (unpublished data) have discovered a new type I ( 1665 MHz ) OH maser nearby.

OH $351 \cdot 75-0.53$ (Fig. 5). The broad (in velocity) 1612 MHz emission appears to be predominantly type IIc, with 1720 MHz absorption prominent in this direction. However, Caswell and Haynes (unpublished data) have discovered a new type I ( 1665 MHz ) OH maser nearby ( $<1^{\prime}$ arc from the 1612 MHz position). The presence of some circular polarization in the 1612 MHz emission suggests that part of the 1612 MHz emission arises in compact knots associated with the type I source.

OH353.23-0.24 (Fig. 5). Single feature at 1612 MHz and nothing detected at 1720 MHz . Type not clear.

OH353.41-0.36. Type IIc with accompanying 1720 MHz absorption. In approximately the same direction is a type I OH maser (Caswell and Robinson 1974) showing 1665 MHz emission and an $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ maser (Batchelor et al. 1980).

OH $354 \cdot 62+0.47$ (Fig. 6). New type I source, showing emission at 1612, 1665 and 1667 MHz , but none at 1720 MHz . The emission is $100 \% \mathrm{RH}$ polarized on all three transitions.

OH $354 \cdot 88-0 \cdot 54$ (Fig. 6). OH/IR, the strongest detected in this survey and indeed one of the strongest known to date.

OH $355 \cdot 03+0 \cdot 17$ (Fig. 6). $\quad 1612 \mathrm{MHz}$ emission is accompanied by weak broad (in velocity) 1667 MHz emission and even weaker 1665 MHz emission, with nothing detected at 1720 MHz . Type of source not clear.

OH $355 \cdot 38+0.08$ (Fig. 6). Quite weak emission at 1612 MHz and nothing detected at 1665,1667 or 1720 MHz ; type of source unknown. Note the high velocity.

OH355.95-0.05 (Fig. 7). Quite strong emission at 1612 MHz and nothing detected at 1665,1667 or 1720 MHz ; type of source unknown.

OH 356.46-0.38 (Fig. 7). Several weak emission features are present at 1612 MHz , spread over $\sim 20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and with a high mean negative velocity. Nothing detected at 1665,1667 or 1720 MHz . Note that the IR source IRC-30305 is at R.A. $17^{\mathrm{h}} 34^{\mathrm{m}} 55^{\mathrm{s}}$, Dec. $-32^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \cdot 4$ and might be associated-improved positions of both the OH emission and IR source are needed to check this possibility.

OH 356.50-0.55 (Fig. 7). OH/IR star first reported by Hardebeck (1972), who called the source OH 1735-32.

OH 356.64-0.32 (Fig. 7). Single weak feature at 1612 MHz . Slightly stronger emission is present at 1667 and 1665 MHz but nothing was detected at 1720 MHz . Also associated is an $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ maser (Batchelor et al. 1980). The masers apparently coincide with IRC-30308 (at R.A. $17^{\mathrm{h}} 35^{\mathrm{m}} 27^{\mathrm{s}}$, Dec. $-31^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \cdot 8$ ) and suggest that the object may be an $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ late-type star although the 1612 MHz emission shows only one peak and main-line OH emission is unusually strong.

OH $357 \cdot 0+0 \cdot 0$. Type IIc with accompanying 1720 MHz absorption.
OH $358 \cdot 16+0 \cdot 50$ (Fig. 8). OH/IR star, CRL 1992; the OH maser was first detected while investigating the IR source (Allen et al. 1977).

OH358.67-0.04 (Fig. 8). OH/IR star with very wide separation of velocity peaks $\left(\sim 60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. The OH source may be identified with IRC -30316 but improved position measurements are required to verify this.

OH $359 \cdot 22+0 \cdot 26$. Single feature, source type not known: neither emission nor absorption detected either at $1720 \mathrm{MHz}(<0 \cdot 2 \mathrm{Jy})$ or at $1665 \mathrm{MHz}(<0 \cdot 5 \mathrm{Jy})$.
$\mathrm{OH} 1.48-0.06$ (Fig.9). This OH source is probably responsible for the 1612 MHz OH emission found by Dickinson and Chaisson (1974) when they searched for OH in the direction of the far-IR object Hoffmann 37. However, Dickinson and Chaisson detected only the feature at $-114 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ owing to their limited search range in velocity. Their intensity of 40 Jy is $\sim 4$ times greater than ours and at face value suggests gross variability, which merits further investigation. The Hoffmann IR source has a large uncertainty in position ( $\sim 6^{\prime}$ arc), and thus it is not clear whether it is related to the OH maser (despite its role in motivating the search by Dickinson and Chaisson).

Figs 1-9. OH emission sources at 1612 MHz . The total intensity (sum of two circular polarizations) is plotted as a function of radial velocity relative to the local standard of rest. See Table 1 for observation dates.
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## 4. Discussion of the $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{0 H} / \mathrm{IR}$ Unidentified Stars in the Longitude Range $340^{\circ}$ to the Galactic Centre

(a) Velocities and Longitude Distribution

According to the expanding shell model (see e.g. Caswell 1974) the mean velocity $v$ of the two OH peaks is the systemic velocity; the velocity separation of the steep outer edges of the profile is probably the best measure of twice the expansion velocity, but to retain uniformity with earlier work we tabulate the slightly smaller value, the separation of the peaks $\Delta v$.

The velocity separations range from 19 to $53 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a histogram showing the distribution is given in Fig. 10. This is essentially the same as that found in surveys covering other ranges of longitude. While it is commonly believed that the distribution may comprise two overlapping populations, with Mira variables accounting for the sources with small $\Delta v$ and supergiants accounting for those with large $\Delta v$, these cannot be distinguished without the aid of additional measurements, for example in the IR.

The line-of-sight component of the systemic velocity of a source can yield a distance estimate if the motion of the source is controlled principally by galactic rotation (e.g. as approximated by Schmidt's (1965) model). Near $l=0$ such kinematic distances $r$ generally have large uncertainties, since $\mathrm{d} v / \mathrm{d} r$ is small and a source with $v \approx 0$ may be at any distance up to the far edge of the Galaxy ( $\sim 25 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). However, in the present survey of the longitude range $340^{\circ}-360^{\circ}$ very few of the sources
have $v$ near zero and we will now show that the bulk of them must be quite close to (within $\sim 4 \mathrm{kpc}$ of) the galactic centre-where large peculiar motions are common.

Consider first the sources with quite large negative radial velocities: these are probably near the galactic centre, as indicated directly from the rotation model; objects with large positive velocities are also likely to be near the galactic centre (rather than local), since such velocities in $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars are rare at $l<340^{\circ}$ (cf.


Fig. 10. Histogram of the velocity separations of the peaks $\Delta v$ for $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars in the longitude range $340^{\circ}$ to the galactic centre.

Caswell and Haynes 1975) and the density of local objects would show no such sharp dependence on longitude. There remain the sources with velocities near zero: on the assumption of strict circular rotation a formal solution indicates that these may either be local or at $\sim 20 \mathrm{kpc}$ (twice the galactic centre distance); because our survey is sensitivity-limited many more objects are likely to be local than at very large distances so the local distance is preferred, especially in those instances where the source is of above average intensity. However, it is possible that in some instances even these low velocity sources may be near the galactic centre.

With the preceding arguments in mind we have estimated the kinematic distances. For quite large negative velocities where the calculated distance turns out to be within 4 kpc of the galactic centre, we quote the distance of the tangential point with the 'near' and 'far' solutions as upper and lower limits (or the tangential point distance alone if the velocity is 'forbidden'). For large positive velocities ( $>20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), again we quote the tangential point distance. For small velocities where the calculated position is located more than 4 kpc from the galactic centre, the preferred distance is the nearby one but a location near the galactic centre is possible and in a few cases even the far distance $(\sim 20 \mathrm{kpc})$ may be the correct one. Preferred distances are printed in italics in Table 1, column 13. It can be seen from Table 1 that for more than half the sources the preferred distance lies within 4 kpc of the galactic centre and has a typical uncertainty of less than a factor of 2.

Following their discovery of an $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ star with velocity of $-342 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, Baud et al. (1975) suggested that many high velocity $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars might be present near the galactic centre; however, in our survey the highest velocity detected was $-237 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (for $\mathrm{OH} 357 \cdot 68-0 \cdot 06$ ) and for only one other source did $|v|$ exceed $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In Fig. 11 we show the distribution of velocities of $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ sources from the present survey, together with sources in the longitude range $326^{\circ}-340^{\circ}$ from Caswell and Haynes (1975) and sources with $l<90^{\circ}$ from Johansson et al.
(1977a), Bowers (1978a) and Baud et al. (1979a, 1979b). However, we have omitted all sources with $|b|>0^{\circ} \cdot 6$ (these comprise slightly less than half of the known northern sources): our reason for this is that, in the southern sky, latitudes larger than $0^{\circ} \cdot 6$ have not been searched and thus our restriction of the northern sample to this same narrow range of $|b|$ makes it more nearly homogeneous with the southern search. Furthermore, Habing (1977) pointed out that at large values of $|b|$ there seems to be an increased proportion of very high velocity sources and the exclusion of sources at large $|b|$ on both sides of the galactic centre is desirable to prevent any spurious asymmetry about the centre.

In general, Fig. 11 shows an approximate antisymmetry about the galactic centre, as expected from the effects of galactic rotation; it is of particular interest to ascertain whether there are any significant departures from this antisymmetry. Bowers (1978b) suggested that $l-v$ data for $l>12^{\circ}$ indicated a concentration of sources at the position of the expanding 4 kpc ring, with a deficiency closer to the galactic centre. Additional data from Baud et al. (1979a, 1979b) and the present survey do not support this deficiency, but the possible influence of the expanding 4 kpc arm may be manifested by the following. There is a marginal indication that, near $l=0$, negative velocities predominate: 12 out of 17 sources with $l$ between $0^{\circ}$ and $5^{\circ}$ have negative velocities, with the mean value being $-22 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; 8$ out of 15 sources with $l$ between $355^{\circ}$ and $0^{\circ}$ have negative velocities, the mean value being $-30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Sources on the near side of the expanding arm tend to have negative velocities and, because of the survey sensitivity limits, sources on the near side predominate over those on the far side; this could account for the observed preponderance of negative velocities at both $l>0^{\circ}$ and $l<0^{\circ}$.

Apart from the region with $|l|<5^{\circ}$, Fig. 11 confirms an approximate antisymmetry between positive and negative longitudes: between longitude $326^{\circ}$ and $355^{\circ}, 5$ of the 48 sources have positive velocities and 6 sources exceed the maximum 'allowed' negative velocity; between longitudes $5^{\circ}$ and $34^{\circ}, 7$ of the 55 sources have negative velocities and 7 exceed the maximum 'allowed' positive velocity.

If we disregard the velocities, the longitude distribution shows a falloff in sources for $l>35^{\circ}$, from which both Johansson et al. (1977b) and Bowers (1978b) inferred that the numbers of $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars are dropping quite rapidly beyond 6 kpc from the galactic centre. Bowers (1978b) further concluded that the numbers also fall off toward the galactic centre, while Baud (1978) suggested that there was a secondary increase close to the galactic centre. With the improved statistics resulting from coverage both sides of the galactic centre, it now appears that there is indeed a maximum within $1 \mathrm{kpc}\left(5^{\circ}\right)$ of the galactic centre followed by a slow falloff; a marginally significant secondary maximum occurs at $\sim 5 \mathrm{kpc}$ from the centre (i.e. at $|l| \approx 23^{\circ}$ ) and then there is a quite marked decrease in source density.

## (b) Luminosity Function

Using the peak flux density multiplied by the square of the distance as a luminosity measure (column 14 of Table 1) we plot a histogram of the observed luminosity function in Fig. 12. Because many sources are near the galactic centre, there is no doubt that typical luminosities as large as several hundred $\mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{kpc}^{2}$ are common among OH/IR stars, as was pointed out by Caswell and Haynes (1975). However, the luminosity of some of the apparently strongest sources might be overestimated

by a factor of $\sim 3$ if they are on the near side of the ' 4 kpc arm' rather than at the galactic centre. Any attempt at constructing the true luminosity function should allow for the strong effects of the survey sensitivity limit at low luminosity. If allowance for this is made, the low luminosity cutoff is not real, as was pointed out by Baud (1978) from his northern hemisphere data. Indeed, the known Mira variables represent a large population with OH emission of lower luminosity than the sources studied here; however, it is not clear whether the bulk of the unidentified sources represent a high luminosity tail of the Miras or are a distinct, unrelated, population. Classification of the individual sources by their IR and other properties is needed to clarify this matter (see Section 7 below).


Fig. 12. Observed histogram of luminosities for $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars observed in the present survey. Luminosity is measured as the product of the peak flux density and the square of the distance.

## 5. The Type I Sources

Four of the quite strong 1612 MHz masers appear to be intimately associated with type I (main-line) emission; such 1612 MHz emission is not uncommon and occurs in about $15 \%$ of type I masers (cf. Caswell et al. 1980).

The longitude range $340^{\circ}-360^{\circ}$ is being searched thoroughly for main-line emission (as was done for longitudes $326^{\circ}-340^{\circ}$ by Caswell et al. 1980); preliminary results cited earlier in the notes in Section $3 b$ have been referenced as Caswell and Haynes (unpublished data) and we will discuss the type I sources in more detail when the survey is complete.

## 6. Comparison with the Previous Parkes 1612 MHz Survey

In the 1612 MHz survey of the longitude range $326^{\circ}-340^{\circ}$ (Caswell and Haynes 1975), 27 sources were detected; of these, 15 were OH/IR stars, 7 were type IIc, 4 were type I and 1 was a single feature, type unknown. In the present survey of longitude range $\sim 340^{\circ}$ to the galactic centre, out of 77 sources detected, 49 were $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars, 11 were type IIc, 4 were type I and 13 were single features which could not readily be classified. Proportionately the present survey shows an excess of $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars, and this is probably attributable to their increased density close to the galactic centre. But perhaps the most striking aspect is the presence of a large number of unclassifiable sources; these clearly require further study, since some may be truly unusual sources while others are probably $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars in which the second feature is below present detection limits.

## 7. Conclusions

Historically the double-peaked 1612 MHz OH masers studied here have been dubbed 'unidentified $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars'-but of course the 'unidentified' designation
describes an accidental (and sometimes short-lived) 'property' rather than being a strict definition (in particular the southern OH sources have been detected prior to any comprehensive IR survey and thus are nearly all unidentified). As it turns out, the sample of such sources is almost unchanged if it is defined by a sensitivity limit in the OH emission together with a small galactic latitude limit (these limits exclude almost all of the low-luminosity nearby identified stars). The complete radio sample now needs complementary IR measurements; ultimately it may be expected that all sources will be identified and the objects will then achieve their full potential as valuable stellar probes extending to the innermost regions of the Galaxy, with no selection effects imposed by optical obscuration. Speculations on the relative proportions of Mira variables, late-type supergiants and any possible additional class (e.g. by Johansson et al. 1977b; Bowers 1978b; Baud 1978) are still inconclusive and will be best resolved by observations in the IR and by monitoring both the OH and IR emission for variability. For the more powerful objects, interferometry to determine the spatial structure of the OH emission will improve our understanding of the circumstellar cloud geometry, since current models rely heavily on the only wellobserved sources, NML Cyg and VY CMa (Benson and Mutel 1979).
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[^0]:    Sources which are probably extended are noted as 'ext' and the positional uncertainty is not known.
    ${ }^{3}$ References: ref. 1, Robinson et al. (1974); ref. 2, Hardebeck (1972); ref. 3, Allen et al. (1977).
    ${ }^{c}$ Preferred distance is printed in italics (see text).

