Supplementary material

The importance of seasonal resource selection when managing a threatened species: targeting conservation actions within critical habitat designations for the Gunnison sage-grouse

M. B. Rice[A,D,E]*, A. D. Apa*[B] *and L. A. Wiechman*[C,D]

[A]Colorado Parks and Wildlife, 317 W Prospect Avenue, Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA.

[B]Colorado Parks and Wildlife, 711 Independent Avenue, Grand Junction, CO 81505, USA.

[C]Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology, Colorado State University, Colorado, Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA.

[D]Present address: US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1201 Oakridge Drive, Fort Collins, CO 80525, USA.

[E]Corresponding author. Email: rice1 min@gmail.com

Table S1.   Original basinwide vegetation classes and the classes used for model development

Basinwide class	Model reclass
Urban/built up	urban
Commercial	urban
Agriculture land	agriculture
Irrigated ag	agriculture
Grass/forb rangeland	grassland
Grass/forb mix	grassland
Sparse grass (blowouts)	grassland
Sagebrush community	sagebrush
Saltbush sommunity	sagebrush
Sagebrush/gambel oak mix	sagebrush
Sagebrush/grass mix	sagebrush
Sagebrush/mesic mountain shrub mix	sagebrush
Pinon-juniper	forest
Gambel oak	sagebrush
Mesic mountain shrub mix	sagebrush
Upland willow/shrub mix	sagebrush
PJ–sagebrush mix	sagebrush
PJ–Mountain shrub mix	forest
Sparse PJ/shrub/rock mix	forest
Aspen	forest
Ponderosa pine	forest
Englemann spruce/fir mix	forest
Douglas fir	forest
Lodgepole pine	forest
Spruce/lodgepole pine mix	forest
Bristlecone pine	forest
Ponderosa pine/Douglas fir mix	forest
Lodgepole/spruce/fir mix	forest
Fir/lodgepole pine mix	forest
Douglas fir/Englemann spruce mix	forest
Spruce/fir/aspen mix	forest
Ponderosa pine/aspen mix	forest
Douglas fir/aspen mix	forest
Lodgepole pine/aspen mix	forest
Spruce/fir/lodgepole/aspen mix	forest
Barren land	bare
Rock	bare
Talus slopes and rock outcrops	bare
Soil	bare
Alpine meadow	alpine
Alpine grass/forb mix	alpine
Subalpine shrub community	alpine
Subalpine grass/forb mix	alpine
Riparian	riparian
Cottonwood	riparian
Willow	riparian
Herbaceous riparian	riparian
Water	water

Table S2. Error matrices comparing the breeding, summer and combined models to the designated critical habitat, including overall accuracy and the True Skill Statistic (TSS).

Values are the percentages within each combination of occupied and unoccupied habitat based on occupied habitat for the models being > 0.50 relatively probability of presence.

Breeding model

	Critical habitat	Unoccupied
Occupied	47.8	7.2
Unoccupied	36.3	8.6
Overall accuracy	56.5	
TSS	0.113	

Summer model

	Critical habitat	Unoccupied
Occupied	52.8	10.2
Unoccupied	31.4	5.6
Overall accuracy	58.4	
TSS	–0.017	

Combined model

	Critical habitat	Unoccupied
Occupied	63.2	10.6
Unoccupied	20.9	5.3
Overall accuracy	68.5	
TSS	0.082	