

Supplementary Material for

Multicriteria decision analysis (MCDA) for health technology assessment: the Queensland Health experience

Sarah Howard^{1,6}, Ian A. Scott², Hong Ju³, Liam McQueen¹ and Paul A. Scuffham^{4,5}

¹Healthcare Evaluation and Assessment of Technology, Healthcare Improvement Unit, Clinical Excellence Division, Queensland Department of Health, Level 2, 15 Butterfield Street, Herston, Qld 4006, Australia. Email: Liam.McQueen@health.qld.gov.au

²Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Ipswich Road, Woolloongabba, Qld 4102, Australia. Email: ian_scott@health.qld.gov.au

³Agency for Care Effectiveness, Ministry of Health, 16 College Road, Singapore. Email: hongju04@yahoo.com

⁴Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane, Qld 4111, Australia. Email: p.scuffham@griffith.edu.au

⁵Centre for Applied Health Economics, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane, Qld 4111, Australia.

⁶Corresponding author. Email: sarah.howard@health.qld.gov.au

Table S1. T-test results. A. QPACT members versus Secretariat; B. Medical versus Non-medical QPACT members.

Criteria	A.			B.		
	QPACT members mean weighting (%)	Secretariat mean weighting (%)	t-test (p-value)	Medical members mean weighting (%)	Non-medical members mean weighting (%)	t-test (p-value)
Clinical benefit and safety	24.7	34.7	0.092	26.1	27.2	0.509
Quality of evidence	20.4	15.7	0.083	18.3	19.2	0.751
Burden of disease & Clinical need	12.3	16.4	0.271	13.8	13.3	0.846
Implementation capacity	18.0	13.4	0.307	16.2	16.9	0.664
Innovation	16.3	12.6	0.371	13.6	15.4	0.840
Societal and ethical values	8.2	7.2	0.754	6.8	8.0	0.482