



Digital health to support primary care provision during a global pandemic

Elizabeth Sturgiss^{A,B,*}  (PhD, Senior Research Fellow), Jane Desborough^C  (PhD, Senior Research Fellow), Sally Hall Dykgraaf^C (RN, Research Manager), Sethunya Matenge^C (MPH, Research Fellow), Garang Dut^C (MPH, Fellow in Health Systems), Stephanie Davis^D (MAppEpi, Medical Advisor), Lucas de Toca^D (MPH, First Assistant Secretary), Paul Kelly^D (PhD, Chief Medical Officer) and Michael Kidd^{C,E,F,G,H} (AM FAHMS, Deputy Chief Medical Officer and Principal Medical Advisor)

For full list of author affiliations and declarations see end of paper

*Correspondence to:

Elizabeth Sturgiss
School of Primary and Allied Health Care,
Monash University, Melbourne, Vic.,
Australia
Email: liz.sturgiss@monash.edu

Received: 13 August 2021

Accepted: 9 October 2021

Published: 18 March 2022

Cite this:

Sturgiss E et al. (2022)
Australian Health Review
46(3), 269–272. doi:[10.1071/AH21263](https://doi.org/10.1071/AH21263)

© 2022 The Author(s) (or their employer(s)). Published by CSIRO Publishing on behalf of AHHA. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/))

OPEN ACCESS

ABSTRACT

The urgency of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia has seen the implementation of digital health technologies to support continuity of high-quality primary care provision. Digital health innovation has been used to operationalise the nation's pandemic preparedness principles by reducing risk of infection to both healthcare workers and at-risk patients, sustaining care for chronic and acute health conditions, and supporting the mental health of the population. In this perspective piece, we document the Australian Federal government's digital health response to ensure the ongoing delivery of high-quality primary care. This includes the implementation of telehealth, point-of-care testing, electronic records and e-prescriptions, national primary care data collection and analysis, and digital communication. Digital health has been a critical element of the pandemic response and paves the way for future primary care provision during disasters and emergencies. Further research is needed to capture the effectiveness, feasibility and acceptability of these innovations for both patients and primary care practitioners.

Keywords: communication, COVID-19, digital health, disaster response, pandemic, prevention, primary care, telehealth.

Introduction

Australia's response to the COVID-19 pandemic has involved the rapid implementation of digital health to support primary care. The principles of pandemic preparedness for primary care¹ emphasise protection of both vulnerable patients and healthcare workers, sustainability of health care and the maintenance of high-quality routine primary care (Box 1). Digital applications have been used to overcome some of the operational challenges presented by each principle. Here, we outline the digital health response of the Australian Government to the COVID-19 pandemic to ensure the ongoing provision of high-quality primary care.

Box 1. Principles of primary care pandemic preparedness¹

1. Protection of vulnerable people – via telehealth and point-of-care testing.
2. Provision of treatment and support services to affected people – supported by telehealth and digital communication. Documented via electronic records and national primary care data.
3. Continuity of regular healthcare services for the whole population – via telehealth and e-prescriptions.
4. Protection and support of primary healthcare workers and primary care services – via telehealth, which reduces healthcare worker exposure and digital communication.
5. Provision of mental health services to the community and the primary healthcare workforce – via telehealth.

Telehealth

Telehealth allows for the protection of patients while maintaining high-quality primary care. Elderly people and people with chronic disease and disability are more susceptible to poor outcomes from COVID-19 infection.² Federal funding was introduced to enable priority groups to access their general practice via telehealth, which was later expanded to facilitate telehealth access by the whole population.³ In the past, funded telehealth consultations were limited to specific programs, such as mental health or rural services. The COVID-19 pandemic was the first time routine general practitioner (GP) consultations via telehealth have been funded by the Medicare Benefits Schedule.

During a pandemic, excess morbidity and mortality can occur due to health system collapse, untreated acute and chronic health issues, and the potential reduction of preventive health services.⁴ Introduction of 'whole-of-population' telehealth recognised this,⁵ with the proviso that face-to-face consultations should be available whenever necessary.

Digital inclusion was considered in the telehealth roll-out, with funding provided for consultations by telephone or video. There are many reasons why patients have difficulty accessing video-conferencing including lack of hardware, poor Wi-Fi, and limited technological literacy;⁶ however, >99% of Australia's population is now covered by the 4G network,⁷ ensuring telephone access for most people. To date, >90% of telehealth consultations have used telephone,⁸ with telephone offering greater convenience, less time wastage and reduced complexity. There is ongoing work needed to ascertain the potential additional benefits of video-conferencing, while also weighing up any risks of exclusionary policies, particularly for at-risk populations.⁹

Digital health has also contributed to protecting the mental health of patients and healthcare workers with funding provided to telehealth consultations with general practitioners, psychologists and psychiatrists, and the provision of online digital mental health resources for healthcare workers and the wider community.¹⁰

Electronic records and e-prescriptions

The swift telehealth roll-out was only possible as a number of digital health innovations were already in place, or could be rapidly implemented. For example:

- electronic medical records are near universal in general practice, which allowed clinicians to provide consultations from home during periods of lockdown;
- e-prescriptions were fast-tracked, made possible by careful groundwork over many years to ensure IT systems were in place;¹¹
- linkages between systems such as the national myHealthRecord and the Australian Immunisation

Register have enabled people to have access to their own health data.¹²

Point-of-care testing

Point-of-care testing (PoCT) involves a clinician taking a specimen and analysing it close to when and where the consultation occurs.¹³ PoCT testing has been on the policy agenda for many years,¹⁴ but implementation has been delayed, often based on questions of quality.¹⁵ Existing capability for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) PoCT platforms was rapidly expanded and pivoted into a world-first use of COVID-19 PCR PoCT in remote areas of Australia.¹⁶ This reduces testing turnaround time and provides a real-time feed of testing data to communities and decision-makers. In addition to this, flexible funding was channelled directly to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities and organisations to design and implement local initiatives considered most beneficial to their members.^{3,17}

National data collection and reporting

National data have been used to support policy development and implementation at a time of rapidly changing evidence. The segregation of treatment facilities for potentially infected patients was critical as infections can pass rapidly in waiting rooms. Federally funded, GP-led respiratory clinics have been established in 150 sites.¹⁸ The innovation of real-time capture of attendance levels at GP-led respiratory clinics, symptoms, testing and results channelled centrally to the Department of Health is an historical first for primary care data collection in Australia and has generated a dataset of COVID-19-positive cases and negative controls of more than 1 million records.^{18,19} The Department of Health generates weekly reports that are shared with jurisdictional public health authorities through the Communicable Diseases Network Australia.

The protection and support of primary healthcare workers and primary care services involved the provision of personal protective equipment (PPE) from the National Medical Stockpile, which was delivered via Primary Health Networks. The early pandemic saw shortages, highlighting the perils of reliance on offshore supplies for essential goods.²⁰ Careful monitoring enabled documentation of PPE distribution to community-based healthcare workers across the nation. This live data was sent to the Department of Health, which enabled informed decision-making on further PPE allocation and utilisation of the national stockpile of PPE resources.

Digital communication

Having a 'single source of truth' can reduce miscommunication and the federal Department of Health website

has been used to convey the latest COVID-19 information, with jurisdictional websites releasing local data and public health directions. As another example, the federal online vaccine eligibility checker informs people on their personal eligibility and where to make a booking to get vaccinated. Digital technology has been used to support communications with more than 100 interactive webinars to primary care professionals since the pandemic began, with more than 27 500 attendees at the 40 GP primary care webinars in 2021, and the unprecedented use of videoconferencing to support consultation between government and stakeholders.³

Conclusion

Further waves of COVID-19 are expected, especially when Australia re-opens its international borders. In past emergencies, such as floods and bushfires, health care provision and continuity of care has been interrupted.²¹ Digital health innovations like telehealth, e-prescriptions and data capture mean that continuity will also be possible during future emergencies. Research is needed to capture patients' and practitioners' perspectives of the feasibility and impact of digital innovations on the delivery of primary care to shape future policies and practice.

References

- Kidd MR. Five principles for pandemic preparedness: lessons from the Australian COVID-19 primary care response. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020; 70(696): 316–7. doi:10.3399/bjgp20X710765
- Holt NR, Neumann JT, McNeil JJ, Cheng AC. Implications of COVID-19 for an ageing population. *Med J Aust* 2020; 213(8): 342–4.e1. doi:10.5694/mja2.50785
- Desborough J, Hall Dykgraaf S, de Toca L, Davis S, Roberts L, Kelaher C, et al. Australia's national COVID-19 primary care response. *Med J Aust* 2020; 213(3): 104–6.e1. doi:10.5694/mja2.50693
- World Health Organization. Maintaining essential health services: operational guidance for the COVID-19 context interim guidance. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020.
- Hall Dykgraaf S, Desborough J, de Toca L, Davis S, Roberts L, Munindradasa A, et al. "A decade's worth of work in a matter of days": the journey to telehealth for the whole population in Australia. *Int J Med Inform* 2021; 151: 104483. doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2021.104483
- Fisk M, Livingstone A, Pit SW. Telehealth in the context of COVID-19: changing perspectives in Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States. *J Med Internet Res* 2020; 22(6): e19264. doi:10.2196/19264
- Department of Infrastructure Transport Regional Development and Communications. 2019–20 annual report. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia; 2020.
- Snoswell CL, Caffery LJ, Haydon HM, Thomas EE, Smith AC. Telehealth uptake in general practice as a result of the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic. *Aust Health Rev* 2020; 44(5): 737–40. doi:10.1071/AH20183
- Parker RF, Figures EL, Paddison CAM, Matheson JIDM, Blane DN, Ford JA. Inequalities in general practice remote consultations: a systematic review. *BJGP Open* 2021; 5(3): BJGP.O.2021.0040. doi:10.3399/BJGP.O.2021.0040
- Department of Health, Australian Government. Head to Health: COVID-19 support. Canberra: Department of Health, Australian Government; 2020. Available at <https://www.headtohealth.gov.au/covid-19-support/covid-19-support>
- Australian Government. Primary care – fast track electronic prescribing. Canberra: Department of Health; 2020. Available at https://www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2020/03/covid-19-national-health-plan-primary-care-fast-track-electronic-prescribing_0.pdf
- Hambleton SJ, Aloizos AMJ. Australia's digital health journey. *Med J Aust* 2019; 210(S6): S5–6. doi:10.5694/mja2.50039
- Nichols JH. Point of care testing. *Clin Lab Med* 2007; 27(4): 893–908. doi:10.1016/j.cll.2007.07.003
- Tirimacco R. Evolution of point-of-care testing in Australia. *Clin Biochem Rev* 2010; 31(3): 75–80.
- Australasian Association of Clinical Biochemists (AACB). Point of Care Testing Position Statement. Mount Lawley: AACB; 2007. Available at <https://www.aacb.asn.au/documents/item/156>
- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander COVID-19 Point-of-Care (POC) Testing Program. About COVID POCT. 2021. Available at <https://www.covid19poc.com.au/about-covid-poc>
- Haseltine WA. Protecting indigenous populations from Covid-19: the Australian example. *Forbes*, 5 May 2021. Available at <https://www.forbes.com/sites/williamhaseltine/2021/05/05/protecting-indigenous-populations-from-covid-19-the-australian-example/?sh=b2ce02f801fa>
- Roberts L, Desborough J, Hall Dykgraaf S, Burns P, Kidd M, Maddox R. Integrating general practice into the Australian COVID-19 response: a description of the GP Respiratory Clinic program in Australia [Preprint]. Deep Blue: 10.7302/278. *Ann Fam Med* 2021. Available at <https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/handle/2027.42/166482>
- Canaway R, Boyle DI, Manski-Nankervis JE, Bell J, Hocking JS, Clarke K, et al. Gathering data for decisions: best practice use of primary care electronic records for research. *Med J Aust* 2019; 210(Suppl 6): S12–S16. doi:10.5694/mja2.50026
- Productivity Commission. Vulnerable supply chains, interim report. Canberra: Australian Government; 2021.
- Burns P, Douglas K, Hu W, Aitken P, Raphael B. General practitioners in the field: a qualitative study of general practitioners' experiences in disaster healthcare. *Aust J Gen Pract* 2020; 49(3): 132–8. doi:10.31128/AJGP-08-19-5054

Data availability. Data sharing is not applicable as no new data were generated or analysed during this study.

Conflicts of interest. GD, SH, JH, SM, SD, LdT, PK, MK are seconded to or employed by the Australian Government Department of Health.

Declaration of funding. This research did not receive any specific funding.

Author affiliations

^ASchool of Primary and Allied Health Care, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic., Australia.

^BResearch School of Population Health, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

^CCollege of Health and Medicine, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

^DAustralian Government Department of Health, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

^EDepartment of General Practice, University of Melbourne, Vic., Australia.

^FMurdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Vic., Australia.

^GDepartment of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, ON, Canada.

^HSouthgate Institute for Health Equity and Society, Flinders University, Adelaide, SA, Australia.