

[10.1071/AN22288](https://doi.org/10.1071/AN22288)

Animal Production Science

Supplementary Material

Beneficial effects of instrumental music on the production performance of Xiandao green-shell layers housed under different stocking densities

Shiwen Cao^A, Fengping Yang^A, Ruiyong Wu^A, Xueyu Zhang^B, Manhong Ye^{A,}, and Wanhong Wei^{A,*}*

^ADepartment of Animal Behavior, College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225009, China.

^BPoultry Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science, Jiangsu Institute of Poultry Sciences, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 221003, China.

*Correspondence to: Manhong Ye Department of Animal Behavior, College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225009, China Email: mhye@yzu.edu.cn; Wanhong Wei Department of Animal Behavior, College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225009, China Email: whwei@yzu.edu.cn

Supplemental Table S1. Effects of different sound sources and stocking densities on the average egg weight (g) over different phases (n = 6).

		Phase	Phase	Phase	Phase	Phase (1-4)
		1	2	3	4	
Group	NL	48.31	48.64	49.29	49.40	48.91
	NM	48.06	48.76	48.79	49.30	48.73
	NH	48.43	48.35	48.31	49.95	48.76
	IL	48.26	48.56	48.95	49.66	48.86
	IM	48.29	49.47	49.49	49.77	49.25
	IH	48.22	48.92	49.23	49.84	49.05
	RL	49.74	49.89	49.63	50.34	49.90
	RM	48.04	48.00	48.40	49.72	48.54
	RH	48.57	48.47	48.84	49.62	48.88
	s.e.m.	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.33
Main effect means						
Sound	N	48.27	48.58	48.80	49.55	48.80
(S)	I	48.25	48.99	49.22	49.75	49.05
	R	48.56	48.58	48.95	49.89	49.11
Density	L	48.54	48.83	49.29	49.80	49.22
(D)	M	48.13	48.74	48.89	49.60	48.84
	H	48.41	48.58	48.80	49.80	48.90
P-values						
Sound (S)		0.701	0.550	0.662	0.770	0.722
Density (D)		0.587	0.844	0.542	0.887	0.602
S×D		0.854	0.340	0.563	0.842	0.497

Groups were named according to the sound that hens were exposed to and the stocking density at which they were kept.

N, natural sound; I, instrumental music sound; R, mixed road sound; L, low stocking density; M, medium stocking density; H, high stocking density.

Supplemental Table S2. Effects of sound sources and stocking densities on organ indexes (%) (n = 6).

		Spleen	Stomach	Liver	Heart
Group	NL	0.152 ^{ab}	0.126	0.253	0.474
	NM	0.138 ^{abc}	0.120	0.232	0.448
	NH	0.151 ^{ab}	0.144	0.251	0.469
	IL	0.171 ^a	0.129	0.231	0.501
	IM	0.121 ^{bc}	0.145	0.239	0.487
	IH	0.123 ^{bc}	0.136	0.255	0.481
	RL	0.102 ^c	0.135	0.255	0.490
	RM	0.119 ^{bc}	0.124	0.250	0.433
	RH	0.145 ^{ab}	0.142	0.276	0.462
	s.e.m.	0.007	0.039	0.075	0.017
Main effect means	Sound (S)	N	0.147	0.130	0.246
		I	0.138	0.136	0.242
		R	0.122	0.134	0.264
	Density (D)	L	0.142	0.130	0.247
		M	0.126	0.130	0.241
		H	0.140	0.140	0.261
P-values					
Sound (S)		0.068	0.501	0.187	0.429
Density (D)		0.274	0.096	0.161	0.405
S×D		0.017	0.099	0.782	0.940

Groups were named according to the sound that hens were exposed to and the stocking density at which they were kept.

N, natural sound; I, instrumental music sound; R, mixed road sound; L, low stocking density; M, medium stocking density; H, high stocking density.

When there were significant interactions between sound and density, different lowercase letters within the same column indicate significant simple effects at a *P* level of 0.05.