AGB Stars and the Observed Abundance of Neon in Planetary Nebulae
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Abstract: Asymptotic giant branch stars are expected to produce 22Ne through the combined H and He burning that operates during their thermally pulsing evolution. However, observationally there is a fairly tight correlation between the O and Ne abundances as measured in planetary nebulae in various populations. In this paper we use recent detailed stellar evolutionary calculations for compositions appropriate to the Galaxy and the Large Magellanic Cloud, in an attempt to determine if the models are consistent with the observed abundances. We show that there is only a narrow range in stellar mass, about 2 to 4 $M_\odot$ (lower for lower [Fe/H]) where 22Ne is produced in sufficient quantities to affect the total observed elemental neon abundance, which is mostly 20Ne. The models appear to be consistent with the observations, but a more thorough analysis is required.
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1 Introduction

After the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase is terminated, low to intermediate mass stars evolve through the planetary nebula (PN) phase before finally ending their lives as white dwarfs. The gaseous nebula that makes up a PN is the remnant of the deep convective envelope that once surrounded the core, which is now exposed as the central star of the PN. Thus the abundances of the nebula should reveal information about the chemical processing that took place during the AGB and, more precisely, information about the final thermal pulses. The spectra of planetary nebulae (PNe) are usually composite, a mixture from the nebula and the illuminating central star. Many PNe are sufficiently large or bright that the nebular spectrum can be isolated (Kaler 1997). In the following discussion we do not examine the ‘very late thermal pulses’ that seem to be required to convert a H-rich central star to a He-rich central star, instead we refer the reader to Blöcker (2001).

One can accurately observe helium and neon abundances in PNe, unlike in a typical stellar photosphere (Kaler 1978; Henry 1989; Dopita et al. 1997; Stanghellini et al. 2000). This is because a strong ultraviolet flux from the central star of the PN has ionised the surrounding gas. The ionised gas recombines at excited levels, with the decay producing observable emission lines. Some of the strongest emission lines observed in PNe are the so-called forbidden lines, such as those produced by doubly ionised oxygen, O$^{++}$ [O iii] (Kaler 1997). Other forbidden emission lines are [Ne iii] and [O iii], observed in the ultraviolet, and [N ii] and [S ii], which are observed at longer wavelengths. The forbidden emission lines form in PNe (and diffuse nebulae) because of the low densities, where collisional de-excitation is so slow that a photon is emitted after a time of the order of seconds (Pagel 1997). The C, N and O abundances can also be accurately measured, as well as a host of rare elements including S, Cl and Ar (Dopita et al. 1997) and also elements affected by s-processing, such as Ge (Sterling, Dinerstein, & Bowers 2002) and Zn (Dinerstein & Gehalle 2001).

1.1 The Observations

The observations of neon in PNe can be used as a powerful tool to constrain models of AGB stars. A correlation is observed to exist between the Ne/H and O/H abundance in PNe in the Galaxy, LMC, SMC and M31, within a small spread (Henry 1989). Dopita et al. (1997) find the same result for the Magellanic Clouds, and conclude that there is no sign of the dredge-up of 22Ne. Stasinska, Richer, & McCall (1998) extend the work of Henry (1989) to a larger range of PNe, including a small sample in M32, and also observe a tight correlation between the neon and oxygen abundance. It seems that all PNe, regardless of location, seem to have the same Ne/O ratio (by mass) of $\sim$0.20; it is assumed therefore that the Ne, Ar, and S abundances are unaltered by stellar evolution (Henry 1989; Stasinska et al. 1998), and that these elements are produced in the same relative proportions in massive stars (Stasinska et al. 1998). Do these observations present a problem for standard models?

Before we answer that question, let us summarise the chemical signature expected from the operation of
the third dredge-up (TDU) in thermally-pulsing AGB (TP-AGB) models. Repeated dredge-up following thermal pulses will mix to the surface the products of both hydrogen and helium burning. From partial He-burning the surface is enriched in $^4$He and $^{12}$C; some $^{16}$O is also produced by $\alpha$-capture onto $^{12}$C but the amount created is small in standard models without diffusive convective overshoot (see Herwig 2000). The $^{12}$C mixed to the surface (as well as any present initially in the star) is then subjected to burning by the hydrogen shell, and the operation of the CN cycle transmutes this $^{12}$C into $^{14}$N. Once this material is exposed to helium burning, two $\alpha$-captures may transform each $^{14}$N nucleus into a $^{22}$Ne nucleus. At the next dredge-up episode this $^{22}$Ne is mixed to the surface, so we expect some enhancement in $^{22}$Ne as well. For the intermediate mass stars (between say 4 and 7 $M_\odot$) the helium burning will include $^{22}$Ne($\alpha, n$)$^{25}$Mg and $^{22}$Ne($\gamma$)$^{25}$Mg. Hence the dredged-up material is enriched in these heavy Mg isotopes (Karakas & Lattanzio 2003). As an additional complication, for masses above about 4 $M_\odot$ (depending on composition) the bottom of the convective envelope dips into the top of the hydrogen burning shell, in a phenomenon known as hot bottom burning (HBB). This further exposes the envelope to hydrogen burning during the interpulse phase, burning CNO into N, $^{14}$N into $^{22}$Ne and $^{22}$Ne into $^{23}$Na and Mg isotopes into Al isotopes.

How does all this affect the Ne/O ratio? The Ne measured in PNe is the elemental neon abundance, and hence is a sum of all the isotopes. The $^{20}$Ne isotope is the most abundant, and it is not altered significantly by H or He-burning during the AGB. Therefore only when the $^{22}$Ne abundance exceeds or is equal to the $^{20}$Ne abundance should we expect an enhancement in the Ne/O ratio.

The linear relationship between the Ne and O abundances in PNe from many different locations is illustrated in the upper panel of Figure 1. In this diagram we include the observed abundances for over 300 PNe in the Galaxy, LMC, SMC and M31, taken from Stasinska et al. (1998, hereafter S98), Henry (1989, hereafter H89) and 19 Galactic PN from S.R. Pottasch (2003, private communication). Results for 10 of those 19 PN are given in Marigo et al. (2003), two in Pottasch et al. (2003a), one in Pottasch et al. (2003b) and one in Bernard-Salas et al. (2003). We shall collectively call these data P2003. The Galactic data from S98 are taken from the bulge of the Milky Way, but Exter et al. (2001) note that there is no difference between the average abundances and the abundance relationships for PNe in the bulge and disk. Even though there is some dispersion in the data, the correlation between the Ne/H and O/H ratios is clearly demonstrated. The errors in this diagram are probably of the order of 0.2 dex for Ne/H and O/H (Stanghellini et al. 2000). Stanghellini et al. (2000) examined the systematic differences between PNe abundances from different sources and found that the differences are within the errors for all elements but nitrogen. The elemental abundances are derived by using an ionisation correction factor, which can be large for low ionisation PNe (Alexander & Balick 1997), giving artificially high neon and sulphur abundances. Stanghellini et al. (2000) comment that there is no correlation between high neon abundances and low ionisation systems, and most of the systems analysed have high ionisation.

The problem of using incorrect or high ionisation correction factors can be done away with by using far infrared ISO (Infrared Space Observatory) observations along with optical data to calculate PN abundances (S.R. Pottasch 2003, private communication). These abundances will be much more accurate than just using visual data alone because infrared data do not depend on electron temperature, the number of ions observed may double, which reduces the uncertainty in the ionisation correction factor substantially and extinction corrections may be determined in an independent way (Pottasch, Beintema, & Feibelman 2000). Indeed, for the cases of neon, oxygen and argon, ionisation correction factors are no longer needed (S.R. Pottasch 2003, private communication). When the results calculated from ISO data are compared to other recent abundance determinations, large errors (sometimes of factors two to three) are found (see Pottasch et al. 2000 for an example).
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We will restrict the following discussion to PNe in the Galaxy and LMC, as most of the data are for these two systems. In the lower panel of Figure 1 we show the Ne/O ratio (by mass) as a function of the oxygen abundance for PNe in the Galaxy and LMC using the same data set as plotted in the upper panel. In this diagram, we include the observed abundances for just over 200 PNe, most of which have a Ne/O ratio of about 0.2. Kaler (1978) finds no variation to the Ne/O ratio for Galactic PNe, and Henry (1989) extends that observation to other systems. Even so, the spread in the data from S98 is rather large, even allowing for large error bars of ±0.2 to 0.3 dex. Many of the LMC and bulge PNe observed by S98 have Ne/O ratios far greater than 0.2, and the largest ratio in the sample is about 1.2. The Ne/O ratio for the bulge PNe also seems to have a noticeable positive gradient, where PNe with the lowest \( \log(O/H) + 12 \) values are observed to have slightly lower Ne/O ratios. This effect is probably connected to the initial chemical composition of the stars that produce these PNe, and thus is related to galactic chemical evolution effects.

We conclude from Figure 1 that some of the dispersion is real, and that the PNe with the largest Ne/O ratios are either enriched in neon or depleted in oxygen. If it is oxygen depletion, then this is unlikely to have taken place during the AGB. Although HBB can indeed deplete oxygen, it is not particularly efficient, reducing the \( ^{16}O \) abundance by only 0.3 dex in the most extreme case we calculated (\( M = 6, Z = 0.008 \)). Hence the most plausible scenario is that the star was born with a low oxygen abundance. There is, however, evidence that PNe can become enriched in neon. Comparing the properties of elliptical and bipolar PNe, Corradi & Schwarz (1995) conclude that bipolar PNe are produced from more massive progenitors than the other PNe classes, and that bipolar PNe have overabundances of helium, nitrogen and neon. Stanghellini et al. (2000) provide evidence that the morphology of PNe is related to the abundances, and find that all asymmetric PNe, which supposedly originate from a more massive progenitor (Corradi & Schwarz 1995), have higher neon abundances on average than the symmetric PNe. It is unclear from the discussion in Stanghellini et al. (2000) if this effect is caused by chemical evolution, or an actual Ne enhancement from internal nucleosynthesis.

S.R. Pottasch (2003, private communication) recently completed an abundance analysis for 19 Galactic PN. These results are shown in Figure 1 as the solid pink triangles. A clear correlation between Ne/H and O/H is shown in the top panel of Figure 1, although four of the 19 PN sit in the upper left part of the diagram, and the same is true for the lower panel of Figure 1, where we plot the Ne/O ratio (by mass). This indicates that either neon has been produced in these four nebulae or that oxygen has been destroyed. We note that a plot of Ne/H versus Ar/H would show a very clear straight line for all nebulae, with less than a 20% deviation (which can be the measurement error). This means that either argon is being produced in exactly the same quantity as neon, or that neither is being produced and the four low values of oxygen are due to oxygen destruction. That oxygen destruction by HBB is hard to achieve, at least in our models, might present something of a problem for the standard models.

### 2 Stellar Models

Models were calculated with the Mount Stromlo Stellar Structure code, updated to include the OPAL opacities of Iglesias & Rogers (1996). We discuss the details of the code used and the initial abundances in Frost & Lattanzio (1996) and Karakas, Lattanzio, & Pols (2002). The reader is also referred to Wood & Zarro (1981) and Lattanzio (1986). Mass loss was included using the prescription of Vassiliadis & Wood (1993) but without the modification for \( M > 2.5 \, M_\odot \). We calculated model sequences for three different initial compositions: \( Z = 0.02, 0.008, \) and 0.004 over a range in mass \( 1 < M_i (M_\odot) < 6 \) where \( M_i \) is the initial stellar mass.

We use the standard mixing-length theory for convection, with a mixing-length parameter \( a = H_P \tau_\nu = 1.75 \). We do not include convective overshoot in our models even though there is evidence that it may be important on the main sequence for intermediate-mass stars (Chiosi et al. 1989; Schaller et al. 1992). The latest models suggest that the amount of overshooting required is small (Baraffe, Girardi, & Chiosi 2002), and for simplicity we have ignored overshoot in the models to be presented. We included semi-convection during core He-burning using the prescription described in Lattanzio (1986). We find the convective boundary at the base of the outer envelope by searching for a neutral border to the convective zone, in the manner described in Frost & Lattanzio (1996) and Karakas et al. (2002). We note that this method does increase the efficiency of the TDU. Nevertheless, for low-mass models we do not find any dredge-up for the \( Z = 0.02 \) models with \( M < 2.0 \, M_\odot \). Reaction rates used in the evolution code were taken mostly from Caughlan & Fowler (1988), but with updates included in the nucleosynthesis calculations (see below).

We performed detailed nucleosynthesis calculations separately using a post-processing nucleosynthesis code which includes time-dependent mixing in all convective zones. The nucleosynthesis code takes as input from the evolution code structural variables such as temperature and density as a function of time and internal mass and calculates its own mass mesh, time step and abundances. The initial H, He and CNO abundances used in the nucleosynthesis code are also taken from the zero age main sequence evolution model, detailed in the next section. In the nucleosynthesis network there are 74 nuclear species: from neutrons and protons up to sulphur there are 59 nuclei, with another 14 iron group species to allow for neutron capture on iron seed nuclei. There is also an additional ‘particle’ \( g \) which has the function of counting the number of neutron captures occurring beyond \( ^{61}\text{Ni} \). The reaction network is terminated by a neutron capture on \( ^{61}\text{Ni} \) followed by an \( \alpha \) decay, producing the particle represented by the symbol \( {^6}\text{Ni}(n, \gamma)^{62}\text{Ni} \rightarrow ^6\text{Ni} + \gamma \).
Apart from H, 4He, 12C, 14N and 16O, all other species begin with either solar or scaled solar values (see below).

The bulk of the 506 reaction rates are from the Reaclib Data Tables, based on the 1991 updated version of the compilation by Thielemann, Arnould, & Truran (1993). We include recent reaction rates for α, proton and neutron capture reactions when available, as detailed in Lugano (1998, 2001).

2.1 Initial Abundances

Initial abundances for the solar composition (Z = 0.02) models were taken from Grevesse, Noels, & Sauval (1992), and the Large Magellanic Cloud compositions (Z = 0.008) and Small Magellanic Cloud compositions (Z = 0.004) were from Russell & Dopita (1992). The evolutionary calculations require only six species: H, 3He, 4He, 12C, 14N and 16O, and we present these initial abundances (in mass fractions) in Table 1. Note that we set 3He = 0 initially. All other species are taken from the above references, where possible. For species not given in Russell & Dopita (1992) we use scaled solar values, including initial isotopic ratios. Hence, in particular, the Ne and Mg isotopic ratios take their solar values in all our calculations.

In Table 2 we present the abundances (in mass fraction) of the Ne, Na and Mg isotopes and Ne/O (by mass) used in the Z = 0.02 and Z = 0.008 models. The initial Ne/O ~ 0.2 is consistent with abundances observed in both PNe and HII regions in the LMC by Vermeij & van der Hulst (2002) and in spiral galaxies by van Zee et al. (1998). Note the abundance of Na in the Z = 0.008 models is larger than the solar value and about 15 times larger than the scaled solar value.

2.2 Model Results

The neon isotope 22Ne is efficiently produced in the He-burning shell of AGB stars, from the 14N ashes of previous CN cycling. In Figure 2 we show the 22Ne mass fraction in the intershell of all the stellar models we compute. The 22Ne abundances are taken from the intershell at the end of the last calculated thermal pulse, and therefore reflect the abundances that are mixed into the envelope by the subsequent TDU episode. The M ~ 3M\odot models have the largest 22Ne intershell mass fractions. This conclusion does not depend strongly on the metallicity, but there is a shift toward lower mass as the initial metallicity is decreased. The reason that the peak 22Ne intershell abundance does not depend on the metallicity is because of the third dredge-up, which increases the 12C abundance in the envelope. Hence, there is more 12C available in the H-shell to convert to 14N by CN cycling. As discussed above, each 15N nucleus may capture two α particles to become a 22Ne nucleus. Since the 3M\odot models also have efficient TDU, where K \gtrsim 0.7, we should expect these models to produce the most 22Ne, a result which is almost independent of the initial metallicity. In the more massive models, 22Ne is efficiently destroyed by α-capture and at lower masses there is little or no dredge-up, so the 22Ne is not brought to the surface.

We can compare the predicted intershell values with the surface abundance observations of PG 1159-type objects, which are thought to be in transition from central star of PNe to white dwarf (Napiwotzki 1998). These objects are quite rare, with only about two dozen known, and their

| Table 1. Initial compositions (in mass fractions) used for the stellar models |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Species          | Z = 0.02 Solar    | Z = 0.008 LMC     | Z = 0.004 SMC     |
| H                | 0.6872            | 0.7369            | 0.7484            |
| 4He              | 0.2928            | 0.2551            | 0.2476            |
| 12C              | 3.4080 \times 10^{-2} | 9.6959 \times 10^{-3} | 4.8229 \times 10^{-4} |
| 14N              | 1.0542 \times 10^{-3} | 1.4240 \times 10^{-4} | 4.4695 \times 10^{-5} |
| 16O              | 9.6000 \times 10^{-3} | 2.6395 \times 10^{-3} | 1.2830 \times 10^{-3} |
| Other Z          | 5.9376 \times 10^{-3} | 4.2844 \times 10^{-3} | 2.1899 \times 10^{-3} |

| Table 2. Initial abundances (in mass fraction) of Na and the Ne and Mg isotopes in the Z = 0.02 and Z = 0.008 models; also the Ne/O ratio (by mass) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Species          | Z = 0.02          | Z = 0.008         |
| 23Na              | 1.808 \times 10^{-3} | 5.215 \times 10^{-4} |
| 23Ne              | 4.620 \times 10^{-6} | 1.333 \times 10^{-6} |
| 22Ne              | 1.452 \times 10^{-3} | 4.188 \times 10^{-4} |
| 21Na              | 3.818 \times 10^{-4} | 2.396 \times 10^{-4} |
| 24Mg              | 5.994 \times 10^{-6} | 4.129 \times 10^{-4} |
| 23Mg              | 7.750 \times 10^{-5} | 5.420 \times 10^{-4} |
| 22Mg              | 8.892 \times 10^{-4} | 6.219 \times 10^{-4} |
| Ne/O              | 0.203             | 0.212             |

**Figure 2** The 22Ne mass fraction in the intershell as a function of the initial mass. The 22Ne abundances are taken from the last calculated thermal pulse, just after the convective pocket has dissipated.
atmospheres are mostly composed of helium, carbon and oxygen (Werner & Rauch 1994). Spectroscopic observations of neon reveal mass fractions of about 2% to 5% (Werner & Wolff 1999), consistent with the abundances.

1Observations of the PG 1159 central stars reveal oxygen mass fractions as high as 20% (Werner & Rauch 1994), clearly at odds with standard stellar models. To reproduce the large oxygen abundances observed, extra-mixing processes are required to bring $^{16}$O from the CO core into the intershell region. The diffusive convective overshooting models of Herwig (2000) have intershell abundances that are consistent with the abundance patterns observed in PG 1159 central stars. The degenerate thermal pulses found by Frost, Lattanzio, & Wood (1998) could also have a similar effect. In this case, the third dredge-up following the degenerate pulse can mix material from the CO core into the envelope, thus enhancing the envelope in $^{16}$O. Whilst this idea is certainly promising, a quantitative study is required.

In Figure 3 we show the surface abundance of $^{20}$Ne, $^{22}$Ne and Ne/H as a function of time for the 1.5, 3 and 6 $M_{\odot}$ models. The left-hand column presents results for $Z = 0.02$ (left) and $Z = 0.008$ (right) models.

Figure 3 The abundances of $^{20}$Ne, $^{22}$Ne and $^{23}$Na as well as the Ne/H ratio during the TP–AGB phase for the 1.5, 3 $M_{\odot}$ and 6 $M_{\odot}$, $Z = 0.02$ (left) and $Z = 0.008$ (right) models.
the \( Z = 0.02 \) models and the right-hand column presents results for the \( Z = 0.008 \) models. The \( Z = 0.02 \) models are assumed to be representative of Galactic PNe and the \( Z = 0.008 \) models are assumed to be representative of LMC PNe. From Figure 3 we see that the lower mass models (\( M < 2.5 M_\odot \)) experience very little or no dredge-up, so the change to the elemental Ne/H abundance is negligible. In the most massive models, alpha-capture destroys some of the \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) in the intershell, plus \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) is destroyed by the Ne-Na chain to produce \( ^{23}\text{Na} \) by HBH. However, in these models, the abundance of \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) is slightly enhanced from the destruction of \( ^{23}\text{Na} \). Overall, the change to the Ne/H ratio is small. In the \( 6 M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.02 \) model the change to the Ne/H ratio is much less than 0.1 dex and in the \( 6 M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.008 \) model, the Ne/H ratio increases by about 0.1 dex. These results imply that there will be little change to the neon abundances in PNe originating from low-mass progenitors, and only a small increase in the neon abundance in PNe from the most massive progenitors. An increase of 0.1 dex, as predicted by the models, is probably not observable.

Because the \( M = 3 M_\odot \) models produce the most \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) during a thermal pulse (see Figure 2), we would expect considerable \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) enrichment in these models. In Figure 3 we see this to be the case, with the largest \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) enhancements occurring in the \( 3 M_\odot \) models, regardless of the metallicity. This large increase actually occurs over a small mass range, from 2.5 \( M_\odot \) to 3.5 \( M_\odot \), for both the solar and LMC metallicity models. In the case of the \( 3 M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.02 \) model, the change to the Ne/H ratio during the TP–AGB is about 0.2 dex. Since the errors are also about 0.2 dex (Stanghellini et al. 2000), this is probably not a significant increase. The change to the Ne/H ratio for the \( 3 M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.008 \) model is about 0.6 dex, and should be observable. We note for comparison that the change to the Ne/H ratio for the \( 2.5 M_\odot \) and \( 3.5 M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.008 \) models is about 0.4 dex and 0.3 dex respectively. This analysis also highlights another important aspect of the models, that the most metal-poor models have the largest increase in the surface Ne/H ratio. Most PNe are observed to have Ne/O ratios of about 0.2, with a linear relationship between the Ne/H and O/H abundances. Can these observations help constrain the stellar models? In other words, is the TDU too efficient in the low-metallicity models with \( M = 3 M_\odot \)? To try to answer this question, we now compare the stellar models directly to the observations.

### 2.3 Confrontation between Models and Data

Before we go on, we should point out that a direct comparison between the data and our models is not exactly valid. A detailed comparison would require unfolding the effects of known metallicity gradients observed in both the Galaxy and the LMC, as well as chemical evolution effects. Rather we will compare with specific compositions. Nevertheless, we will still be able to say something about the Ne enhancement expected at a given metallicity, or \( \log(O/H) \) value.

The gaseous nebulae that comprise the PNe have masses of only a few \( \times 10^{-1} M_\odot \). Hence they should show the nucleosynthetic results of the last thermal pulse. For the purposes of comparison to the observations in Figure 1 we will focus on the surface abundance after the last dredge-up episode.

However, the stellar models presented in Figure 3 do not, in all cases, extend to the very end of the AGB. At the highest luminosities, there are often severe convergence problems which prevent us from calculating this very final stage. For the lower mass models the remaining envelope is small, usually less than 0.2 \( M_\odot \), and hence less than the amount expected to be lost during the next interpulse period. But for the intermediate mass models there can be up to \( \sim 1.5 M_\odot \) of envelope mass remaining. For the intermediate masses, thermal pulses and the TDU may in principle continue, so we use an algorithm to simulate the evolution and enrichment of these few remaining thermal pulses. This is discussed in detail in Karakas & Lattanzio (2003), but briefly we use the details of the nucleosynthesis and evolution at the last calculated pulse, together with the principles of synthetic evolutionary calculations, to estimate the effect of these few remaining pulses. We note that the efficiency of the third dredge-up, as measured through the dredge-up parameter \( \lambda \), becomes a free parameter for these few remaining pulses. We do not know how dredge-up proceeds as the envelope mass decreases, but there is some evidence that the efficiency is decreased (Straniero et al. 1997; see also Karakas et al. 2002). Thus we calculate the remaining thermal pulses using three values of \( \lambda \): (1) \( \lambda = \lambda_{\text{last}} \), (2) \( \lambda = 0.3 \) and (3) \( \lambda = 0.0 \), where \( \lambda_{\text{last}} \) is the efficiency of the third dredge-up evaluated from the last two thermal pulses (see Karakas & Lattanzio 2003). In the following discussion, we only discuss cases (1) and (3), which are the two extremes.

From the upper panel of Figure 4 we see that the \( Z = 0.02 \) models with \( \lambda = 0 \) (blue stars) fit well within the range of the Galactic PNe observed by H89 and P2003, and the \( Z = 0.02 \) model with \( \lambda = \lambda_{\text{last}} \) sits well within the range of Ne/O occupied by the bulge PNe. From the lower panel of Figure 4 we see that most of the \( Z = 0.008 \) points fit well within the Ne/O range occupied by the observations, with the exception of two points. Both of these points are for the \( 3 M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.008 \) model. The blue star at Ne/O \( \sim 0.9 \) represents the \( 3 M_\odot \) model with \( \lambda = 0 \) for the remaining dredge-up episodes and the pink box at Ne/O \( \sim 1.2 \) represents the case where \( \lambda = \lambda_{\text{last}} = 0.86 \). The point at Ne/O \( \sim 0.9 \) fits within the errors, if we assume the errors are at least of the order of \( \pm 0.2 \), but the point at Ne/O \( \sim 1.2 \) does not. S98 reported PNe in the bulge with Ne/O ratios of at least 1.0 at the log(O/H) + 12 ratios consistent with the LMC. If the models are correctly predicting that a narrow mass range of stars produce enough \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \) to increase the Ne/O ratios, then perhaps we have not observed enough LMC PNe to find the few with large Ne/O ratios? Since there are over 150 LMC PNe shown in Figure 4, the question then becomes one of completeness of the sample: do the data adequately cover this narrow mass range? Alternatively,
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This could certainly be the case for the $3 \, M_\odot$ and for the LMC and over-plotted with the results for the Galactic objects, although there is a possibility that the models predict too much neon in a very narrow mass range. Certainly there is no disagreement for the Galactic objects, and if the dredge-up efficiency decreases near the end of the AGB then the LMC models are also consistent with the observations.

In this discussion, we have concentrated on the observations of neon in PNe but there are also abundances for He, C, N and O that should also be considered. Dopita et al. (1997) state that there is clear evidence for the dredge-up of carbon, consistent with the efficient operation of the TDU. There are also PNe with large He/H and high N/O ratios, perhaps consistent with the operation of HBB (Dopita et al. 1997). But Dopita et al. (1997) also mention that agreement between the observations and theory would be better reached if HBB occurred at $M \geq 2 \, M_\odot$, perhaps indicating that some form of deep mixing is yet again required. A detailed comparison between our results and various PNe abundances will be the subject of a subsequent paper.

### Conclusion

We return now to our original question: are the neon abundances observed in PNe a problem for standard stellar models? The answer to that question appears to be 'no'. Certainly there is no disagreement for the Galactic objects, and if the dredge-up efficiency decreases near the end of the AGB then the LMC models are also consistent with the observations, although there is a possibility that the models predict too much neon in a very narrow mass range.
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