GENETIC HETEROGENEITY AMONG THE FOUNDERS OF LABORATORY POPULATIONS OF *DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER*

III.* STERNOPLEURAL CHAETAE

By P. A. Parsons †

[Manuscript received October 17, 1967]

Summary

Single, inseminated females of D. melanogaster derived from the same population have led to discrete strains for sternopleural chaeta number, in agreement with earlier work on other traits. The discrete stains indicate that wild populations have genes which are polymorphic for sternopleural chaeta number. As well as showing characteristic mean chaeta numbers, there was some indication that the strains had characteristic levels of variability. No significant correlations were found between sternopleural and scutellar chaeta numbers.

I. INTRODUCTION

Parsons and Hosgood (1967) and Hosgood and Parsons (1967a) described experiments where a number of strains of *Drosophila melanogaster* derived from single inseminated females, collected from the same population in the wild, led to genetically discrete strains for three quantitative traits, namely scutellar chaeta number, percentage of pairs mated in 60 min, and duration of copulation. The differences between strains were genetic arising from differences between the founder females, as was confirmed for some of the strains by diallel crosses between them. The genetic heterogeneity between the founder females derived from the same population implies that the population must be polymorphic for genes (or polygenes) determining these traits (Parsons, Hosgood, and Lee 1967). The polymorphism for additional scutellar chaetae has been exploited by Hosgood and Parsons (1967b), who found extremely rapid responses when directional selection for high chaeta number was based on those strains derived from single inseminated females which had a high scutellar chaeta number.

Parsons, Hosgood, and Lee (1967) and Lee and Parsons (1968) argued that polymorphism for polygenes would be ubiquitous for quantitative traits. In this paper we extend our observations to mean sternopleural chaeta number and its variability. Correlation with scutellar chaeta number will be considered in some of the data. It seemed important to extend our work to sternopleural chaeta number, since the results may be more applicable to the usual types of traits handled by quantitative geneticists than scutellar chaeta number which in many strains is rigidly canalized to four chaetae. No such rigid canalization is apparent for sternopleural chaeta number.

* Part II, Aust. J. biol. Sci., 1967, 20, 1193-203.

† School of Biological Sciences, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Vic. 3083.

P. A. PARSONS

TABLE 1

MEAN STERNOPLEURAL CHAETA NUMBERS (\bar{x}) , WITH STANDARD DEVIATIONS (s), AND COEFFICIENTS OF VARIATION (s/\bar{x}) FOR THE 18 STRAINS

Strains 1-3 were collected in December 1963, and strains 20-34 in December 1965

Strain	Females (count 1, $n = 50$)			$\begin{array}{c} \text{Males} \\ (\text{count 1, } n = 50) \end{array}$			Females (count 2, $n = 30$)			$\begin{array}{l} \text{Males} \\ \text{(count 2, } n=30 \text{)} \end{array}$		
	x	8	$s ilde{x}$	ā	8	$s ar{x}$	$ar{x}$	8	$s ar{x}$	- <i>x</i>	8	$s/ar{x}$
1	18.02	1.48	0.082	17.52	$1 \cdot 54$	0.088	18.07	$1 \cdot 36$	0.075	17.03	1.65	0.097
2	17.40	$1 \cdot 54$	0.088	$16 \cdot 66$	$1 \cdot 53$	0.092	16.77	$1 \cdot 46$	0.087	$15 \cdot 90$	$1 \cdot 49$	0.094
3	16.50	$1 \cdot 67$	0.101	$16 \cdot 26$	$1 \cdot 52$	0.094	$16 \cdot 27$	$1 \cdot 14$	0.070	$15 \cdot 50$	$1 \cdot 50$	0.097
20	18.14	$1 \cdot 63$	0.090	$16 \cdot 84$	$1 \cdot 54$	0.092	17.00	$1 \cdot 58$	0.093	$16 \cdot 50$	1.78	$0 \cdot 108$
21	18.58	$1 \cdot 58$	0.085	17.78	$1 \cdot 67$	0.094	$17 \cdot 27$	$1 \cdot 46$	0.085	$16 \cdot 17$	$1 \cdot 68$	$0 \cdot 104$
22	19.16	$1 \cdot 83$	0.096	18.70	$1 \cdot 90$	0.101	18.60	$1 \cdot 98$	$0 \cdot 106$	18.67	$2 \cdot 17$	$0 \cdot 116$
23	$21 \cdot 46$	$2 \cdot 45$	0.114	18.70	$1 \cdot 63$	0.087	20.07	$2 \cdot 24$	$0 \cdot 112$	18.87	$2 \cdot 16$	$0 \cdot 115$
24	18.36	$2 \cdot 05$	0.112	$16 \cdot 82$	$2 \cdot 14$	0.127	$18 \cdot 50$	$1 \cdot 55$	0.084	17.37	$2 \cdot 13$	$0 \cdot 122$
25	20.12	1.79	0.089	$19 \cdot 20$	$1 \cdot 51$	0.079	$19 \cdot 10$	$1 \cdot 30$	0.068	$18 \cdot 20$	$1 \cdot 30$	0.071
26	19.74	$2 \cdot 15$	0.109	18.72	$1 \cdot 86$	$0 \cdot 100$	19.57	$2 \cdot 15$	0.110	19.00	$2 \cdot 38$	$0 \cdot 125$
27	$21 \cdot 30$	$2 \cdot 89$	0.136	$20 \cdot 82$	1.75	0.084	$21 \cdot 67$	$2 \cdot 81$	0.130	$21 \cdot 43$	$2 \cdot 73$	0.127
28	$17 \cdot 32$	$1 \cdot 63$	0.094	$16 \cdot 34$	1·44	0.088	17.07	$1 \cdot 26$	0.074	15.77	$1 \cdot 25$	0.079
29	$19 \cdot 24$	$1 \cdot 53$	0.080	18.04	2.04	0.113	$19 \cdot 80$	$1 \cdot 99$	0.101	$18 \cdot 80$	$1 \cdot 61$	0.085
30	$19 \cdot 48$	$1 \cdot 73$	0.089	17.74	$2 \cdot 01$	$0 \cdot 113$	$19 \cdot 27$	$1 \cdot 41$	0.073	$17 \cdot 43$	$1 \cdot 96$	$0 \cdot 112$
31	$20 \cdot 10$	$1 \cdot 95$	0.097	$18 \cdot 68$	$1 \cdot 87$	$0 \cdot 100$	$19 \cdot 27$	$2 \cdot 13$	0.111	18.70	$2 \cdot 00$	0.107
32	$19 \cdot 46$	$1 \cdot 93$	0.099	18.74	$1 \cdot 47$	0.078	18.57	$2 \cdot 24$	0.121	$18 \cdot 20$	$1 \cdot 81$	0.099
33	18.66	$1 \cdot 86$	$0 \cdot 100$	17.56	$2 \cdot 24$	$0 \cdot 128$	$18 \cdot 10$	$1 \cdot 88$	$0 \cdot 104$	17.73	$2 \cdot 41$	$0 \cdot 136$
34	20.68	$2 \cdot 26$	$0 \cdot 109$	$19 \cdot 98$	$1 \cdot 94$	0.097	18.17	$1 \cdot 34$	$0 \cdot 074$	$18 \cdot 20$	$1 \cdot 83$	$0 \cdot 100$

Analysis of Variance of Chaeta Number

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Р	
Counts	1	$137 \cdot 59$	40.17	< 0.001	
Sexes	1	$623 \cdot 47$	$182 \cdot 03$	< 0.001	
Strains	17	$262 \cdot 35$	$76 \cdot 59$	< 0.001	
Interactions:					
$\operatorname{Counts} imes \operatorname{sexes}$	1	$12 \cdot 94$	3.78		
$\operatorname{Counts} imes \operatorname{strains}$	17	$16 \cdot 86$	$4 \cdot 92$	< 0.05	
$\mathbf{Sexes} imes \mathbf{strains}$	17	$9 \cdot 47$	2.77		
$\operatorname{Counts} imes \operatorname{sexes} imes \operatorname{strains}$	17	2.88	0.84		
Error	2808	$3 \cdot 43$			

Analysis of Variance of the Coefficients of Variation

Strains	17	0.000542	$4 \cdot 92$	< 0.01
Sexes	1	0.000561	$5 \cdot 05$	< 0.05
Counts	1	0.000031	$0\cdot 28$	
Interactions:				
$\mathbf{Strains} imes \mathbf{sexes}$	17	0.000247	$2 \cdot 22$	
$\mathbf{Strains} imes \mathbf{counts}$	17	0.000164	$1 \cdot 46$	
$\mathbf{Sexes} imes \mathbf{counts}$	1	0.000741	$6 \cdot 68$	< 0.05
Error	17	0.000111		

II. Method

Eighteen D. melanogaster strains were derived from single, inseminated females collected (3 in December 1963, and 15 in December 1965) at Leslie Manor near Camperdown, Vic., and set up in half-pint bottles at 25° C; the strains were transferred every 3 weeks to set up the next generation. Scoring was carried out in April 1967 (count 1) and four generations later in July 1967 (count 2), in order to see whether there was stability between generations. In count 1, 50 flies per sex were scored for both sternopleural and scutellar chaetae, and in count 2, 30 flies per sex were scored for sternopleural chaetae only. In order to minimize environmental variables, eggs were collected and newly hatched larvae were placed in vials at a density of 50 per vial in the generation before scoring, since it is known that larval density affects fly size and also sternopleural chaeta number (Parsons 1961).

III. RESULTS

(a) Mean Sternopleural Chaeta Numbers

Mean sternopleural chaeta numbers are given in Table 1, with corresponding standard deviations and coefficients of variation, and an analysis of variance of the chaeta numbers showing significant main effects due to counts, sexes, and strains. The counts effect presumably reflects some overall environmental effect, since in general the means for the first count were slightly higher than the second. The most likely reason would be because count 1 was done in entirety by the author, and count 2 by a research assistant. The significant sexes effect reflects the usual observation of more sternopleural chaetae in females than in males. The strains effect is of most interest in the present context, and shows that there are significant differences between the 18 strains under consideration as found for the various traits cited in the introduction, and that this is maintained over the four generations between the two counts, since the interaction terms are negligible as compared with the main effects. This was confirmed by computing correlation coefficients between the 18 female and 18 male means for the two counts, which came to 0.8526 and 0.8766 for females and males respectively and are both significantly > 0 (P < 0.001).

(b) Correlations with Scutellar Chaeta Numbers

In count 1, scutellar chaetae were also scored (Table 2) by recording the number of flies with more than four chaetae (additional chaetae) in each strain. The object was to see what, if any, correlation between sternopleural and scutellar chaeta numbers occurred. The reason for doing this was because Rendel (1963) found a positive correlation between the number of scutellar and abdominal chaetae in *scute* (sc/sc) males, but in sc+ and ++ males the correlations were negative. On selection the correlation became positive, perhaps because the total resources for making chaetae were increased. Such negative correlations as were observed can probably be explained by assuming that the proportion of total resources going to the two kinds of chaetae is varied. Since in this paper 18 strains which vary somewhat amongst themselves are under analysis, both for scutellar (Parsons and Hosgood 1967) and sternopleural chaeta numbers, it seemed reasonable to see if information could be obtained on the simultaneous distribution of the two chaetae types. After applying the angular transformation to the scutellar chaeta data, correlation coefficients between the mean sternopleural chaeta number and incidence of additional scutellar chaetae came to -0.1707 in females and +0.1929 in males. Neither of the correlation coefficients differ significantly from 1, and thus the data, so far as they go, do not indicate any strong association of the two types of chaetae. If the same polygenes were affecting both traits in a similar way, then an association would have been expected. These results thus seem to indicate the likelihood that to some extent different genes affect the two traits in wild populations. Further information on this point will be collected during the continuation of a selection experiment previously reported (Hosgood and Parsons 1967b).

NUMBERS U	IN COUNT 1									
Strain	Females	Males	Strain	Females	Males					
1	1	0	26	3	1					
2	13	9	27	4	1					
3	0	0	28	2	0					
20	1	0	29	1	0					
21	0	0	30	0	0					
22	0	0	31	0	1					
23	0	0	32	0	0					
24	4	1	33	0	0					
25	5	5	34	0	2					

TABLE 2											
UMBERS	of	FLIES	OUT	OF	50	WITH	ADDITION	AL	SCUTELLAR	CHAET	AE
IN COUNT 1											

(c) Variability of Sternopleural Chaetae

It has been shown that the mean sternopleural chaeta numbers differ between strains; thus, to compare variability between strains, it was thought best to base arguments on coefficients of variation s/\bar{x} (Table 1), where the mean is \bar{x} and the standard deviation is s. The use of coefficients of variation is an attempt to avoid a bias due to correlations between s and \bar{x} . An analysis of variance of the coefficients of variation was carried out (Table 1), and showed a significant strains effect (P < 0.01), so indicating that the strains, at least for the four-generation period under study, do show a characteristic level of variability, i.e. there are some strains having consistently high and others having consistently low levels of variability.

The significant sexes effect occurs because the males in general show slightly more variability than females, and the sexes \times counts interaction is a little difficult to interpret. Even so, the most highly significant effect in the data is the strains effect.

The strains effect also clearly emerges from the correlation coefficients between the 18 strains. The correlation coefficient for females came to 0.5065 and for males to 0.4942, both of which are significantly >0 (P < 0.05), but are less than the correlation coefficients quoted earlier between means as might be expected.

IV. DISCUSSION

The significant variation between strains for mean sternopleural chaeta number is in agreement with the work cited in the Introduction, for scutellar chaeta number and the mating behaviour traits. Many selection experiments have been carried out in the past for chaeta number traits, often with extreme variability of response, even when selection is carried out on the same trait using identical breeding procedures. The polymorphic nature of populations for polygenes (see Introduction) perhaps helps to explain this, since the founder females in selection lines may differ genetically. It is apparent from the literature that the base population is often very small, both in directional selection and in disruptive selection experiments (see Parsons, Hosgood, and Lee 1967 for references). Some of these experiments were begun from strains derived from single inseminated females, where the founder effect would be expected to be most important. Hosgood and Parsons (1967b) carried out directional selection experiments for scutellar chaeta number based on those strains having a high incidence of flies with additional chaetae. Thus, basing selection on a hybrid of the four strains showing the highest incidence of flies with additional chaetae out of 16 strains led to a dramatic response to selection to a mean of 10.6 chaetae in 12 generations in one line (Hosgood, MacBean, and Parsons unpublished data), which represents a continuous accelerated response to selection, and is far more rapid than has previously been reported in the literature for this trait. Since accelerated responses to selection represent the steepest part of a selection response curve, a continuous accelerated response represents the most rapid possible response. Another line based on the same hybrid of the four strains, and two lines based on the strain having the highest incidence of flies with additional chaetae, all led to very rapid responses, compared with a hybrid of all 16 strains. Thus selection among the 16 strains clearly has led to the choosing of desirable genes from the point of view of the selection objective. Suggestive evidence shows that the exploitation of variability between such strains may be effective for selection for duration of copulation (MacBean, unpublished data). In conclusion, therefore, it may be predicted that exploiting variability for sternopleural chaeta number between strains set up from single inseminated females may lead to more rapid responses to selection than have usually been observed.

The lack of correlation between sternopleural and scutellar chaeta number is perhaps surprising, and shows that at the natural population level the genes for the two traits may be relatively independent. The results given may not, however, be particularly sensitive, because in a number of strains all flies had four scutellar chaetae as would be expected, since this trait is often highly canalized to four chaetae, perhaps with different underlying genetic architectures in different strains. Even so, if there were a strong tendency for the genetic architectures of the two traits to be similar, some correlation might have been expected. It seems difficult to say much more without locating the genes controlling the two traits, as has been done for sternopleural chaeta number by Thoday (1961) and his colleagues. A start has been made by Miller, Erway, and Fraser (1966) for scutellar chaeta number. Such a study would enable a much more definite answer to be given as to the degree of pleiotropy or independent gene action controlling the traits, and would be of considerable importance in applied fields where the question of the simultaneous selection for two traits often arises.

Finally, we turn to the variability data, which show that some strains have high and others low variability. The interpretation of this result follows fairly directly from the interpretation of the differences between means as being due to each strain

P. A. PARSONS

receiving initially different genes by chance. In the high-variability strains, perhaps there are genes of larger effect segregating than in the low-variability strains. The low-variability strains may be relatively homozygous or some segregating genes may be at a low frequency. Probably all of these points are relevant. If variability data are available as well as data on means, it may be worth taking both into account when selecting the strains to be used to commence a selection experiment, since a high-variability strain may have genes segregating that could be rapidly altered in frequency by selection. However, it may well be that there may not be adequate data, since far fewer flies need to be counted to obtain an assessment of means compared with variances. In this respect the scutellar chaeta system is rather different, since usually the highly variable strain will be divergent from four chaetae, so that variability can usually be assessed by the mean. This is because of the frequent high levels of canalization to four chaetae.

V. Acknowledgments

I should like to thank Miss Clare Escott and Miss Wendy L. Howe for their help in carrying out these experiments.

VI. References

- HOSGOOD, S. M. W., and PARSONS, P. A. (1967a).—Genetic heterogeneity among the founders of laboratory populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. II. Mating behaviour. Aust. J. biol. Sci. 20, 1193-203.
- Hosgood, S. M. W., and PARSONS, P. A. (1967b).—The exploitation of genetic heterogeneity among the founders of laboratory populations of *Drosophila* prior to directional selection. *Experientia* 23, 1066-7.

LEE, B. T. O., and PARSONS, P. A. (1968).—Selection, prediction and response. Biol. Revs (In press.)

MILLER, D., ERWAY, L., and FRASER, A. (1966).—Switch genes and polygenic systems determining number of scutellar bristles. [Abstr.] *Genetics, Princeton* 54, 348.

- PARSONS, P. A. (1961).—Fly size, emergence time and sternopleural chaeta number in Drosophila. Heredity 16, 455-73.
- PARSONS, P. A., and HOSGOOD, S. M. W. (1967).—Genetic heterogeneity among the founders of laboratory populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. I. Scutellar chaetae. *Genetica* 38, in press.
- PARSONS, P. A., HOSGOOD, S. M. W., and LEE, B. T. O. (1967).—Polygenes and polymorphism. Mol. Gen. Genet. 99, 165–70.

RENDEL, J. M. (1963).—Correlation between the number of scutellar and abdominal bristles in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics, Princeton 48, 391-408.

THODAY, J. M. (1961).-The location of polygenes. Nature, Lond. 191, 368-70.