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Fig. S1 FTIR spectra of SnO$_2$–rGO prepared with (A) and without (B) the assistance of CTAB.

The FTIR spectrum of SnO$_2$–rGO prepared with the assistance of CTAB exhibits bands for asymmetric and symmetric C–H scissoring vibrations of CH$_3$–N$^+$ at 1486 and 1430 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The absorption peaks at 2853 and 2926 cm$^{-1}$ are related to the stretching vibration of alkyl C–H bonds (–CH$_3$ and –CH$_2$) (Fig. S1A). However, all of the signature bands are not visible in the FTIR spectrum of the SnO$_2$–rGO prepared without the assistance of CTAB (Fig. S1B). This is the evidence of CTAB.
Fig. S2 (A) CV curves of 50 µM guaiacol at SnO₂–rGO/CPE in pH 7.4 disodium hydrogen phosphate–citric acid (a), pH 5.8 acetic acid–sodium acetate (b), pH 8.6 disodium hydrogen phosphate–sodium dihydrogen phosphate (c), pH 9.90 sodium carbonate–sodium bicarbonate (d) and pH 8.4 boric acid–borax (e). (B) CV curves of 50 µM guaiacol at SnO₂–rGO/CPE in pH 7.4–9.0 boric acid–borax buffer. The inset shows the plot of $E_p$ vs. pH.
Fig. S3 Electrochemical impedance spectra obtained on CPE modified with different content of SnO$_2$–rGO in 0.1 M KCl containing 5 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-/4-}$. Frequency range is from 0.1 to $10^5$ Hz with perturbation amplitude of 5 mV.
Fig. S4 (A) DPV curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 10 mM Zn\(^{2+}\) (a), K\(^+\) (b), NO\(^3−\) (c), Cu\(^{2+}\) (d), SO\(_4^{2−}\) (e), Mg\(^{2+}\) (f), Na\(^+\) (g), Cl\(^−\) (h), Ca\(^{2+}\) (i), glucose (j), sucrose (k), tartaric acid (l), aminocaproic acid (m) or citric acid (n). (B) DPV curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 7.5 mM Fe\(^{3+}\). (C) DPV curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 5 mM Al\(^{3+}\). (D) DPV curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 3.9 mM ascorbic acid. (E) DPV curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 0.5 mM uric acid. (F) DPV curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 100 µM vanillin (o) or maltol (p). (G) DPV curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 1 mM cresol (q) or syringol (r). (H) DPV curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 250 µM phenol. (I) DPV
curves of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer containing 125 µM 4-ethylguaiacol (s) or 4-methylguaiacol (t). The red curves in these figures correspond to the DPV response of 5.0 µM guaiacol in pH 8.4 boric acid–borax buffer.