## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

# A Fortuitous, Mild Catalytic Carbon-Carbon Bond Hydrogenolysis by a PhosphineFree Catalyst 
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Synthesis of 2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(piperidin-1-yl)ethanone (2). ${ }^{[1,2]}$


This is a modification of a literature procedure. ${ }^{[1,2]} 10.9 \mathrm{~mL}(7.9 \mathrm{~g}, 77.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triethylamine and $8.4 \mathrm{~mL}(7.3 \mathrm{~g}, 84.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of piperidine were dissolved in 150 mL of stirred dichloromethane cooled in an ice/water bath. $10 \mathrm{~mL}(14.9 \mathrm{~g}$, 70.8 mmol ) of trifluoroacetic anhydride was then added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was washed with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ 1 M HCl , with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ distilled water, with 50 mL of brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and filtered. The organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the 2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(piperidin-1-yl)ethanone ( $65 \%$, colourless oil). The product purified by vacuum distillation (water aspirator) at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (boiling point $53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 2.6 torr $) .{ }^{[1]}{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}:\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 3.54-$ 3.64 (multiplet, 4 H ); 1.65-1.71 (multiplet, 6 H$) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}:\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 155.32$ 116.65, 46.82, 44.56, 26.33, 25.36, 24.17. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{ON}$ : 181.0714. Found: $181.0716,112.0762$, and 69.0704. EA: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{ON}: \mathrm{C} 46.41$, H 5.56, N 7.73. Found: 45.86, 5.56, and 7.62.

## N, N-Diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide.

Prepared as described above. $12.9 \mathrm{~mL}(9.3 \mathrm{~g}, 92.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triethylamine and $8 \mathrm{~mL}(5.6 \mathrm{~g}, 77.3$ mmol ) of diethylamine were dissolved in 150 mL of stirred dichloromethane cooled in an ice/ water bath. $10.9 \mathrm{~mL}(16.2 \mathrm{~g}, 77.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of trifluoroacetic anhydride was then added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction
mixture was washed with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ distilled water, 50 mL brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and filtered. The organic solvent was then removed under reduced pressure to yield the N, N-diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetaminde ( $60 \%$, yellow oil). The product purified by passed through a neutral alumina plug. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}:{ }^{[3]}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 498.118 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 1.20-1.27$ (multiplet, 6 H ); 3.45-3.47 (multiplet, 4 H ). The spectrum matches that reported for this compound. ${ }^{[3]}$

Piperidine-1-carbaldehyde $\mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}:\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 8.01$ (singlet, 1 H$) ; 3.29-3.50$ (multiplet, 4H); 1.52-1.78 (multiplet, 6H).

N,N-Diethylformamide ${ }^{\mathbf{5}}:{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}:\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 8.05$ (singlet, 1 H$) ; 3.37$ (q, $J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.27$ (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.13$ (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
trans $-\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}((S, S)$-skewphos $)((R, R)$-dpen $)(4)$ was prepared as reported previously. ${ }^{[6]}$
Figure S1. ${ }^{l} H$ NMR spectrum ( $\delta 6.5$ to -2.0 ppm ) of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{NH}^{-}\right)\left(\eta^{1,5}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right] B F_{4}(6)$ formed by the reaction of 1, $\left((R, R)\right.$-dpen) and $K O^{t} B u$ in $\sim 1 \mathrm{~atm} H_{2}$ in $\mathrm{THF-d}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Residual solvent, $\delta$; coordinated $(R, R)$-dpen ligand, $N H_{2}=\bullet, N H^{-}=\Theta C H=0$; Non coordinated $(R$, $R)$-dpen ligand $=\otimes$, propylene, $\phi ;$ Free hydrogen gas $=H ;\left(\eta^{3}-C_{3} H_{5}\right)=\alpha ;\left(\eta^{1,5}-C_{8} H_{12}\right)=*$


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and zTOCSY1D spectrum ( $\delta 6.5$ to -2.0 ppm ) of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{NH}^{-}\right.\right.$ $\left.)\left(\eta^{1,5}-C_{8} H_{12}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-C_{3} H_{5}\right)\right] B F_{4}(6)$ formed by the reaction of $1,((R, R)-d p e n)$ and $K O^{t} B u$ in $\sim 1 \mathrm{~atm}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Spectrum top to bottom

Spectrum 1: zTOCSY1D, sel.excite @ -1.34 ppm.(Coordinated $(R, R)$-dpen ligand)
Spectrum 2: zTOCSY1D, sel.excite @ 2.94 ppm. $\left(\eta^{1,5}-C_{8} H_{12}\right)$
Spectrum 3: zTOCSY1D, sel.excite @ -1.34 ppm. $\left(\eta^{3}-C_{3} H_{5}\right)$
Spectrum 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{NH}^{-}\right)\left(\eta^{1,5}-\mathrm{C}_{8} H_{12}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum ( $\delta 6.5$ to -2.0 ppm ) of the product (7) formed by the reaction between 1, $\left((R, R)\right.$-dpen) and $K O^{t} B u$ in $\sim 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Top: @-20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; middle: @ -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after an hour); bottom: @ $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after 4 hours)


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum ( $\delta 9.0$ to -12.0 ppm ) of the product formed by the reaction between 1, $\left((R, R)\right.$-dpen) and $K O^{t} B u$ in $\sim 1$ atm $H_{2}$ in $T H F-d_{8}$ at $R T$.


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum ( $\delta 5.0$ to 0.0 ppm ) of the product formed by the reaction between 1, ( $(R, R)$-dpen) and $K O^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ in $\sim 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in $T H F-d_{8}$ at $R T$.

Residual solvent, $\delta$; Non-coordinated $(R, R)$-dpen ligand $=\otimes$, propane $=P$; Free hydrogen gas $=H$; Ethylamine $=E$


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{NNMR}$ of a gHSQC spectrum (6 to -2 ppm) of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{NH}\right)\left(\eta^{1,5}-C_{8} H_{12}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-C_{3} H_{5}\right)\right] B F_{4}(6)$ formed by the reaction of 1, $((R, R)-$ dpen) and $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ in $\sim 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. coordinated $(R, R)$-dpen ligand, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}=\bullet, \mathrm{NH}^{-}$ $=\Theta$; Non coordinated $(R, R)$-dpen ligand $=\otimes$

Top: Only the first increment of ${ }^{1} H_{-}{ }^{15} N N M R$ of a $g H S Q C$ was recorded to show proton directly attached to nitrogen. The data were acquired at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using ${ }^{1} J_{1 H-15 N}=90 \mathrm{~Hz}$ with the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ decoupler set at 90 ppm.

Bottom: ${ }^{1} H$ NMR of the mixture containing 6.


Figure S7. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR spectrum ( $\delta-65$ to -85.0 ppm ) of the product formed by the reaction between the catalyst and 10 equivalents of substrate in $T H F-d_{8}$ at room temperature at different times. (Unidentified intermediate $=U$ )

Top: After 15 min; middle: after 16 hours; bottom: after 20 hours


Figure S8. The $\delta 8.5$ to 1 ppm ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum showing the formation of piperidine-1carbaldehyde resulting from the hydrogenation of 2,2,2-trifluoro-(piperidin-1-yl)ethanone (2) using $4 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure at RT .

Residual solvent $=\delta$; Starting material, $2=\Delta$; product $=$ *


Figure S9. The ${ }^{l} H$ NMR spectrum showing the formation of $N, N$-diethylformamide resulting from the hydrogenation of $N$, $N$-diethyl-2, 2,2-trifluoroacetamide using 4 atm $H_{2}$ pressure at $R T$.

Residual solvent $=\delta$; Starting material $=\Delta ;$ product $=*$
Top: Starting material; middle: product ( $\delta 4$ to 0 ppm); bottom: product ( $\delta 9$ to 0 ppm)


## Control Experiments.

## Hydrogenation using ruthenium nanoparticles.

20.7 mg of Ruthenium black ( 0.014 mmol assuming $7 \%$ of Ru atoms are on the surface) were weighed into a test tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a rubber septum. After purging with hydrogen gas for 10 minutes the ruthenium black was reduced by heating at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes under hydrogen ${ }^{7}$. After 30 minutes the test tube placed inside the stainless steel autoclave equipped with the test tube holder and flushed with hydrogen using cannulas, needle lines, and bubblers. 2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(piperidin-1-yl)ethanone (2, $1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 90$ equiv.) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) and $\mathrm{KO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ ( $0.1875 \mathrm{mmol}, 14$ equiv.) in THF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added using gas tight syringes under

1 atm hydrogen. Additional THF added to make the final volume to be 2.5 mL . Hydrogenated at $4 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred for 22 hours at room temperature.

## Hydrogenations in the presence of $\mathbf{H g}$ metal.

Cis- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{COD})(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(1,0.007 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{mg})$, and 2 equiv. of $(R, R)$-dpen $(0.014$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were weighed out into a test tube equipped with stir bar and septum. Freshly distilled THF ( 0.5 mL ) was then added by cannula under argon pressure into the test tube. It was then heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min while stirring (pale brown, clear liquid). After 30 minutes the resulting solution transferred to another test tube containing 200 equiv. of $\mathrm{Hg}(282.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41$ mmol ) under 1 atm hydrogen atmosphere. 2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(piperidin-1-yl)ethanone (2, 129.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.715 \mathrm{mmol}, 100$ equiv.) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) and $\mathrm{KO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ ( $0.107 \mathrm{mmol}, 15$ equiv.) in THF ( 0.5 mL ) were added using gas tight syringes under 1 atm hydrogen atmosphere. Additional THF added to make the final volume to be 2.5 mL . Hydrogenated at $4 \mathrm{~atm}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}$ and stirred for 22 hours at room temperature.

## Crystallographic Experimental Details

## Crystal Structure deposited on Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC deposition number: 1442770 )

Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details
A. Crystal Data
formula
formula weight
$\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}$
crystal dimensions (mm)
994.58
$0.19 \times 0.08 \times 0.03$
crystal system
orthorhombic
space group
P212121 (No. 19)
unit cell parameters ${ }^{a}$
$a(\AA)$
10.4622 (2)
$b(\AA)$
17.2073 (3)
$c(\AA)$
25.8113 (5)
$V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calcd }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$
4646.71 (15)

4
1.422
$\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$

## B. Data Collection and Refinement Conditions

diffractometer
radiation $(\lambda[\AA])$
temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
scan type
data collection $2 \theta$ limit (deg)
total data collected
independent reflections
number of observed reflections ( NO )
structure solution method
refinement method
2013 ${ }^{c}$ )
absorption correction method
range of transmission factors
data/restraints/parameters
Flack absolute structure parameter $d$
goodness-of-fit ( $S)^{e}$ [all data]
Bruker D8/APEX II CCD ${ }^{b}$
$\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(1.54178)$ (microfocus source)
-100
$\omega$ and $\phi$ scans ( $1.0^{\circ}$ ) (5 s exposures)
140.48
$9431(-12 \leq h \leq 12,-20 \leq k \leq 21,-31 \leq l \leq 31)$
$9431\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.1258\right)$
$8751\left[F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2} \geq 2 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}\right)\right.$ ]
intrinsic phasing (SHELXT-2014C)
full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ (SHELXL-
multi-scan (TWINABS)
0.7533-0.5249

9431 / 0 / 522
-0.010(7)
1.051
final $R$ indices $f$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1}\left[F_{\mathrm{O}}^{2} \geq 2 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)\right] & 0.0415 \\
w R_{2} \text { [all data] } & 0.1010 \\
\text { largest difference peak and hole } & 0.822 \mathrm{a}
\end{array}
$$

$a$ Obtained from least-squares refinement of 9510 reflections with $6.84^{\circ}<2 \theta<139.46^{\circ}$.
$b_{\text {Programs for diffractometer operation, data collection, data reduction and absorption correction }}$ were those supplied by Bruker. The crystal used for data collection was found to display nonmerohedral twinning. Both components of the twin were indexed with the program CELL_NOW (Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2004). The second twin component can be related to the first component by $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the $\left[\begin{array}{lll}-0.03 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ axis in real space and about the $\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ axis in reciprocal space. Integrated intensities for the reflections from the two components were written into a SHELXL-2013 HKLF 5 reflection file with the data integration program SAINT (version V8.34A), using all reflection data (exactly overlapped, partially overlapped and non-overlapped). The refined value of the twin fraction (SHELXL-2014 BASF parameter) was $0.483(2)$.
${ }^{c}$ Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112-122.
$d_{\text {Flack, H. D. Acta Crystallogr. 1983, A39, 876-881; Flack, H. D.; Bernardinelli, G. Acta }}$ Crystallogr. 1999, A55, 908-915; Flack, H. D.; Bernardinelli, G. J. Appl. Cryst. 2000, 33, 1143-1148. The Flack parameter will refine to a value near zero if the structure is in the correct configuration and will refine to a value near one for the inverted configuration.
$e_{S}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}^{2}}^{2}\right)^{2 /(n-p)}\right]^{1 / 2}(n=$ number of data; $p=$ number of parameters varied; $w=$ $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0653 P)^{2}+0.5429 P\right]^{-1}$ where $\left.P=\left[\operatorname{Max}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3\right)$.
$f_{R_{1}}=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{O}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{O}}\right| ; w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}^{2}}\right)^{\left.2 / \Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{4}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} .}\right.$

Table 2. Selected Interatomic Distances $(\AA)$
(a) within the $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left\{2,4-\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} P\right)_{2}\right.\right.$-pentane $\}\{1,2$-diphenylethylenediamine $\left.\}\right]$ molecule

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance | Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru | Cl 1 | 2.4163(13) | C21 | C22 | 1.392(9) |
| Ru | Cl 2 | $2.4148(14)$ | C21 | C26 | 1.397(8) |
| Ru | P1 | $2.2638(13)$ | C22 | C23 | 1.389(9) |
| Ru | P2 | 2.2816(12) | C23 | C24 | $1.373(11)$ |
| Ru | N1 | 2.170 (5) | C24 | C25 | $1.398(12)$ |
| Ru | N2 | $2.185(4)$ | C25 | C26 | $1.386(10)$ |
| P1 | C1 | 1.851(6) | C31 | C32 | $1.401(8)$ |
| P1 | C11 | 1.834(6) | C31 | C36 | $1.406(8)$ |
| P1 | C21 | 1.832(6) | C32 | C33 | $1.380(9)$ |
| P2 | C3 | 1.868(6) | C33 | C34 | $1.384(10)$ |
| P2 | C31 | 1.835(6) | C34 | C35 | $1.388(10)$ |
| P2 | C41 | 1.852(6) | C35 | C36 | $1.387(9)$ |
| N1 | H1NA | 0.92(9) | C41 | C42 | $1.400(8)$ |
| N1 | H1NB | 0.88(10) | C41 | C46 | $1.394(8)$ |
| N1 | C6 | 1.492(6) | C42 | C43 | 1.387(8) |
| N2 | H2NA | 0.94(8) | C43 | C44 | $1.375(10)$ |
| N2 | H2NB | 0.85(9) | C44 | C45 | $1.384(10)$ |
| N2 | C7 | 1.491(7) | C45 | C46 | 1.390 (8) |
| C1 | C2 | 1.535(8) | C51 | C52 | $1.389(8)$ |
| C1 | C4 | 1.529(8) | C51 | C56 | $1.389(7)$ |
| C2 | C3 | 1.537(8) | C52 | C53 | $1.404(9)$ |
| C3 | C5 | $1.535(9)$ | C53 | C54 | $1.384(11)$ |
| C6 | C7 | 1.540(7) | C54 | C55 | $1.387(11)$ |
| C6 | C51 | 1.514(7) | C55 | C56 | $1.377(9)$ |
| C7 | C61 | 1.520(6) | C61 | C62 | $1.380(8)$ |
| C11 | C12 | 1.398(8) | C61 | C66 | $1.390(9)$ |
| C11 | C16 | 1.386(8) | C62 | C63 | $1.393(8)$ |
| C12 | C13 | 1.388(9) | C63 | C64 | $1.372(11)$ |
| C13 | C14 | $1.389(12)$ | C64 | C65 | 1.390 (10) |
| C14 | C15 | 1.380(12) | C65 | C66 | $1.388(8)$ |
| C15 | C16 | 1.393(9) |  |  |  |
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