A Further Extension of the Linear Relationship Between Molecular Rotation and Bond Refraction in Open-Chain Monosubstituted Alkanes†

Bo-Long Poh

School of Chemical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia.

Abstract

It has been shown that, in the correlations of molecular rotations with bond refractions for open-chain monosubstituted alkanes, $H(CH_2)_b(CH_3)C^*H(CH_2)_nX$ $(b>1, n\ge0)$, the compounds with different n and X for a given b can now be grouped into a single series.

Configuration determination of compounds is an important aspect of chemical research. Since optically active compounds have a common physical property, namely molecular rotation, that is easily measurable with a simple and inexpensive instrument, an ideal method for the determination of their configurations is one that can relate directly their molecular rotations to their configurations. We have shown the usefulness of the simple empirical equation¹

$$[M]_D = m \sum R_D + I$$

in correlating the molecular rotations with bond refractions of structurally related series of monosubstituted alkanes² and carbohydrates³ ($[M]_D$ is the molecular rotation, ΣR_D is the sum of bond refractions, and m and I are constants for a given series of compounds; the subscript D denotes the sodium D line).

$$H_{3}C \xrightarrow{\text{C*} \atop \text{E}} (CH_{2})_{n}X \qquad (1) \quad b \geq 1, \ n \geq 0$$

In our earlier correlations² of open-chain monosubstituted alkanes (1) we were not able to combine the compounds with different n and X for a given b into one single series. For each given b there were n separate series to be correlated.

[†] This work was done at the Max-Planck-Institut für Experimentelle Medizin (Göttingen, West Germany) during the author's sabbatical leave.

¹ Davis, D. D., and Jensen, F. R., J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35, 3410.

² Poh, B.-L., Aust. J. Chem., 1980, 33, 1409.

³ Poh, B.-L., Carbohydr. Res., 1982, 108, in press.

	_
_	7
_	4
ξ	•
	_
,	ĸ
- 3	2
	7
	₹
_	ē
Ė	2
6	đ
•	DIPUID
7	÷
7	К
2	3
7	₹
4	3
	=
٠	•
ζ	2
no of monocurpotitutod o	2
r	3
ŧ	ň
. >	4
2	2
	3
٠	Э
٠	3
,	=
٠.	
٠,	7
٠	,
an actotions	
2	4
	=
٩	2
**	3
7	3
2	ą
7	S
- 5	,
7	•
•	2
_9	ā
	3
-	₹
٩	۰
٠	υ
7	5
٠,	2
Ž	INTOICEMEN
d	2
_	_
	_
_	3
۲	÷
,	1
_	۲
7	٦
•	=
٩.	ď
Toble	4
•	

~ .	u q	Substituent and [MI _D (neat, $22\pm3^{\circ}$ C)	p	No Substituent and [M] (neat, $22\pm3^{\circ}$ C)
. 1	2 0	[0	4	OH -7.9, CO ₂ H -6.1, CO ₂ Et -2.9, CN -6.0, NH ₂ -16, COCI 3.5F
	2	HBB 87.1, 13.0 H G 12.2 G 13.4 C H O 20.3, 13.4 G 13.4 G O A C 3.0 H U - 5.1.1 O H - 5.2 C C O H 10.4 A C O Et 11.4 C F - 8.0 C	4	2 Br 16·8, CO ₂ H 4·1, A NH ₂ -1·7, A OH -4·0, A CO ₂ Et 2·3, C H - 11·4, A CN -1·6 ^F
. ,	2		4	3 COC 3·5, F Br 8·3, A CO ₂ H 1·7, A H - 1·7, A OH - 0·7, A NH ₂ - 0·8, A CO ₂ H 2·8, C CONH, 1·6, F-0 CN 5·3, P
,		CHO 12.0, A.E Ph 35.1, K CO	4	Br 5·3, A OH 0, A NH2 0·5, CN 2·0 ^F
•	£ 7		4 v	5 H 0·8," NH ₂ 2·4" 0 COCI 21," CN 43," CO ₂ H 26," OH 12·5," CO ₂ Et 32·6,"
	2 °	1 H 11-4, ^c Br 14-9, ^a OH 12-0, ^b NH ₂ 16, ¹ CO ₂ Et 13-7, ^b CO ₂ H 12-2, ^a 1 H 10-5 C OH 12-5 b Br 14-0, ^a	V	I 90.4° Br 50.0° C OAC 13 ⁴
	, e	H 12.5, OH 12.3, BI 14.0.	ט ע	2 Br 14·7, A CO ₂ H 1·9, c NH ₂ - 3·6, c H - 12·0, OH - 6·1, c
.,	~	OH 12·1, A CO ₂ H 21·4, A CO ₂ Et 27·5, C Ph 38, C CN 49, F Cl 46·5, B		CO_2 Et 0.5^c
		Br 63, B 1 92, B COCI 14·4, F CONH ₂ 24·F. ^G NH ₂ 5, M OAc 22 ^H CO, H - 3·6 A OH - 6·8 C CO, Ft - 0·7 C NH, - 14 I COCI 4·6 ^F	v v	3 Br 6·2, ^A CO ₂ H 0·8, ^c OH -2·6, ^c H -2·4, ^c CO ₂ Et 1·7 ^c 4 Br 4·0 ^A OH -1·9 ^c H -0·8 ^c
. • •	. ~	Br 21·0, CO ₂ H 6·9, NH ₂ -0·4, OH -2·1, CO ₂ Et 5·5, C	9	0 OH 13, B NH ₂ 7, NO ₂ 30.4, B F 16.4, OCI 54, B Br 81·1, B I 115, B
		H -9.9, ^L COCI 6.8, ^F CN 2.0 ^F		CO_2H 27°
	~ · · ·	3 Br 14.5 , CO ₂ H 3.7 , OH 0, CNH ₂ -0.7 , CO ₂ Et 5.9 C	9 1	$1 \text{CO}_2\text{H} \ 26,^{\text{A}} \ \text{H} = 12.5^{\text{A}}$
	~ ~ 4 ~	+ Br /-8, OH -/, H -/. 5 H 2·4c	- 1	0 CN 40,4 CO ₂ H 21,4 OH 13,4 CO ₂ Et 36:37 1 OH -11:2,1 2:5, ³ CO ₃ H -8:1 ⁴
•	-	OH 12.2, C NH ₂ 7.8, CO ₂ H 24.3, CO ₂ Et 30.7, C Ph 39, C CN 51, F I 81, C COCI 12, N CONH ₂ 18, F.G OAC 17 ⁴¹	r r	2 $CO_2H \cdot 1.9^A$ 3 $CO_2H \cdot 0.6^A$
· · · ·	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	^A Levene, P. A., and Rothen, A., J. Org. Chem., 1937, 1, 76. ^B Ref. 1. ^C Marker, R. E., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1936, 58, 976. ^D In ethanol. ^E In heptane.		¹ Levene, P. A., and Kuna, M., J. Biol. Chem., 1941, 140, 259. ² Levene, P. A., and Rothen, A., J. Biol. Chem., 1936, 115, 415. ³ Levene, P. A., and Harris, S. A., J. Biol. Chem., 1935, 111, 725. ⁴ Levene, P. A., and Marker, R. E., J. Biol. Chem., 1933, 103, 299. ⁵ M. Levene, P. A., Rothen, A., and Marker, R. E., J. Biol. Chem., 1937, 120, 759.
	7 E Z	Tevene, F. A., Kothen, A., and Market, K. E., J. Biol. Chem., 1936, 115, 233. Gln 75% alcohol. H. Klyne, W., 'Determination of Organic Structure by Physical Methods' pp. 73–130 (Academic Press: New York 1955).	: 0	 Levene, F. A., and Runa, M., J. Biol. Chem., 1941, 140, 253. Pfilipo, J. S., Jr, and Romano, L. J., J. Org. Chem., 1975, 40, 1514. P. Levene, P. A., and Marker, R. E., J. Biol. Chem., 1933, 100, 685. Q. Brewster, J. H., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 5475.

Short Communications 201

The combination of compounds with different n and X for a given b into the same series is now possible if we use the terms

$$\sum R_D(C^*(CH_2)_nX) - \sum R_D(C^*(CH_2)_hH)$$

instead of $\sum R_D(C^*(CH_2)_nX)$ for the substituents $(CH_2)_nX$ when n > 0. For n = 0, $\sum R_D(C^*X)$ is used as before. When the literature $[M]_D$ values for six different series of monosubstituted alkanes (Table 1) are plotted against $\sum R_D$ values by this approach, satisfactory correlations are obtained (Table 2) (the method of calculating the $\sum R_D$ values has been described; the $\sum R_D$ values for $n \ge 2$ are calculated for the more stable antiperiplanar conformer, and they give better correlations). The present extension will enhance the usefulness of the empirical equation in the correlations of molecular rotations of open-chain monosubstituted alkanes.

Table 2. Correlations of molecular rotations with bond refractions in monosubstituted alkanes (1) p, number of points used in correlations; r, correlation coefficient

Series	p	r	Slope (m)	Intercept (I)		Series	p	r	Slope (m)	Intercept (I)
b=2	56	0.978	3 · 74	6.18	*	b = 5	27	0.950	5.18	1.13
b = 3	34	0.925	5 · 43	0 · 20		b = 6	10	0.967	7.09	8 · 79
b = 4	38	0.910	4.60	1 · 12		b = 7	9	0.952	5 · 21	5.76

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a research fellowship, and the Max-Planck-Institut für Experimentelle Medizin for the use of its library facilities.

Manuscript received 21 September 1982