## **Supplementary Material**

## Assessing the suitability of various screening methods as a proxy for drought tolerance in barley

*Md. Hasanuzzaman*<sup>A,C</sup>, *Lana Shabala*<sup>A</sup>, *Tim J. Brodribb*<sup>B</sup>, *Meixue Zhou*<sup>A</sup> and Sergey Shabala<sup>A,D</sup>

<sup>A</sup>School of Land and Food, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 54, Hobart, Tas. 7001, Australia.


<sup>B</sup>School of Biological Science, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 55, Hobart, Tas. 7001, Australia.

<sup>C</sup>Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-

Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

<sup>D</sup>Corresponding author. Email: sergey.shabala@utas.edu.au

Suppl. Fig. 1



**Fig S1.** (A) Relative grain yield per plant in drought-affected four barley genotypes (expressed as % of control). (B) Correlation (Pearson's R<sup>2</sup> value) between grain yield per plant (% of control) and PEG-affected root length (% control). (C) Correlation (Pearson's R<sup>2</sup> value) between grain yield per plant (% of control) and drought tolerance index estimated by the number of newly grown leaves per plant one week after recovery from drought. Values labelled with different low case letters are significantly different at P < 0.05.