

Supplementary material

Evaluating the hydrological, geothermal and anthropic factors in the Baños tarn (Spanish Pyrenees)

Z. Santolaria^{A,B,C,D,H}, T. Arruebo^{D,E}, A. Pardo^{A,D,F}, C. Rodríguez-Casals^{A,D,G},
F. J. Lanaja^{A,B,C,D} and J. S. Urieta^{A,B,D}

^AGrupo de Termodinámica Aplicada y Superficies (GATHERS),
Departamento de Química-Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza,
c/ Pedro Cerbuna 12, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain.

^BInstituto de Investigación en Ingeniería de Aragón (I3A), Universidad de Zaragoza,
c/ Mariano Esquilor s/n, E-50018 Zaragoza, Spain.

^CLaboratorio de Calidad de Aguas y Medio Ambiente, EINA, Universidad de Zaragoza,
c/ María de Luna 3, E-50018 Zaragoza, Spain.

^DFundación Boreas, c/ María Lostal 11, 2ºA, E-50008 Zaragoza, Spain.

^EDepartamento de Geografía y Ordenación del Territorio, Facultad de Filosofía y Letras,
Universidad de Zaragoza, c/ Pedro Cerbuna 12, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain.

^FDepartamento de Ciencias Agrarias y del Medio Natural, Área de Edafología,
Escuela Politécnica Superior de Huesca, Universidad de Zaragoza, Carretera Cuarte s/n,
E-22071 Huesca, Spain.

^GDepartamento de Didáctica de la Ciencias Experimentales, Universidad de Zaragoza,
c/ Pedro Cerbuna 12, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain.

^HCorresponding author. Email: zsant@unizar.es

Table S1. Results of the calculations performed to estimate Brazatos drainage system flow rate

Only anions and cations above detection limit in most samples were used for calculations. Lake ionic budget was calculated using lake water volume (Table 1) and lake ionic concentration data (Table 2). Caldarés, Argualas, Tiberio, Belleza and Laguna ionic inputs were computed from measured ionic content and flow rates (Table 4) and lake water retention time (Table 1).

Brazatos drainage system ionic inputs was estimated as the difference between the lake ionic budget and the sum of measured ionic inputs. Brazatos drainage system flow rate was obtained from lake water retention time (Table 1) and Brazatos hydrochemical data (Table 4)

| | Lake ionic budget (MEq) | Caldarés stream ionic inputs (MEq) | Argualas stream ionic inputs (MEq) | Tiberio spring ionic inputs (MEq) | Belleza spring ionic inputs (MEq) | Laguna spring ionic inputs (MEq) | Brazatos drainage system ionic inputs (MEq) | Brazatos drainage system flow rate (m ³ s ⁻¹) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Na ⁺ | 11900 | 7409 | 588 | 379 | 12 | 29 | 3483 | 0.35 |
| Ca ²⁺ | 146230 | 106732 | 7892 | 298 | 10 | 25 | 31274 | 0.41 |
| Mg ²⁺ | 4429 | 3109 | 348 | 2 | 0.04 | 0.3 | 970 | 0.30 |
| Alk | 142609 | 98954 | 7303 | 394 | 13 | 25 | 35920 | 0.48 |
| Cl ⁻ | 4138 | 2331 | 152 | 106 | 3.3 | 9 | 1536 | 0.60 |
| NO ₃ ⁻ | 4587 | 3109 | 255 | 2 | 0.06 | 0.2 | 1221 | 0.37 |
| SO ₄ ²⁻ | 20194 | 13470 | 1000 | 215 | 4.4 | 21 | 5482 | 0.61 |

Table S2. Results of the ANOVA tests to check depth influence on Baños hydrochemistry

T, temperature; Cond_{20°C}, conductivity; Alk, alkalinity; TP, total phosphorus; IN, inorganic nitrogen; ON, organic nitrogen; Chl-*a*, chlorophyll-*a*; TSS, total suspended solids. *n*; number of samples analysed. *P*-values are significant at $P < 0.05$

| | Winter (<i>n</i> = 6) | | Spring (<i>n</i> = 8) | | Summer (<i>n</i> = 6) | | Autumn (<i>n</i> = 6) | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i> |
| T | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.76 | 0.04 | 0.85 |
| pH | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.26 | 0.64 | 1.90 | 0.24 |
| Cond _{20°C} | 0.01 | 0.94 | 1.28 | 0.30 | 3.40 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.77 |
| Na ⁺ | 0.00 | 1.00 | 8.67 | 0.05 | 2.35 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| Ca ²⁺ | 0.24 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.81 | 0.39 | 0.57 | 2.67 | 0.18 |
| Mg ²⁺ | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 0.62 | 0.21 | 0.67 | 4.80 | 0.09 |
| Alk | 0.37 | 0.57 | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.23 | 0.66 | 0.02 | 0.91 |
| Cl ⁻ | 2.28 | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.77 | 0.43 | 0.21 | 0.67 |
| NO ₃ ⁻ | 0.21 | 0.67 | 0.07 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.34 | 0.59 |
| SO ₄ ²⁻ | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.27 | 0.63 | 0.20 | 0.68 |
| TP | 2.77 | 0.17 | 1.30 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.73 | 3.05 | 0.16 |
| TN | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.02 | 0.91 | 0.01 | 0.95 |
| Chl- <i>a</i> | 2.35 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.59 | 1.33 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.79 |
| TSS | 0.77 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.91 | 0.08 | 0.80 | 0.02 | 0.91 |

Table S3. Results of the ANOVA tests to identify seasonal influence on Baños hydrochemistry

Number of samples for calculation: 13. As Baños hydrochemistry is not influenced by sampling depth, this ANOVA was performed with the two depths mean values. Significant P -values ($P < 0.05$) are highlighted in bold. Abbreviations as in Table S2

| | F | P |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| T | 12.13 | 0.00 |
| pH | 1.69 | 0.20 |
| Cond _{20°C} | 10.21 | 0.00 |
| Na ⁺ | 0.95 | 0.43 |
| Ca ²⁺ | 5.39 | 0.01 |
| Mg ²⁺ | 0.96 | 0.43 |
| Alk | 3.27 | 0.04 |
| Cl ⁻ | 1.30 | 0.30 |
| NO ₃ ⁻ | 0.75 | 0.54 |
| SO ₄ ²⁻ | 3.05 | 0.04 |
| TP | 3.28 | 0.04 |
| TN | 1.32 | 0.29 |
| Chl- <i>a</i> | 2.11 | 0.13 |
| TSS | 7.42 | 0.00 |