Abstract: Aim: The aim of the Child Dental Health Survey in Lithgow was to establish the oral health status of primary schoolchildren to assist the local council in deciding whether to fluoridate the water and to provide a baseline for future monitoring of changes in caries rates. Methods: All six primary schools in Lithgow were invited to participate, and 653 children aged 6–12 years were clinically examined for dental caries. World Health Organization criteria were used, whereby a decayed tooth is defined as a cavity into the dentine. Caries prevalence was measured as the mean number of decayed, missing and filled teeth (primary: dmft; secondary: DMFT). Significant caries indices were calculated to categorise children with the mean dmft/DMFT score of the highest 30 percentage (SiC) and the highest 10 percentage (SiC10) of caries. Data for Lithgow were compared with school dental service data for the socioeconomically comparable fluoridated towns of Bathurst and Orange. Results: The primary dentition caries estimates (dmft, SiC and SiC10) in Lithgow children aged 6 years were 0.92, 2.72 and 5.81, respectively; the estimates for permanent dentition caries (DMFT, SiC and SiC10) in Lithgow children aged 12 years were 0.69, 2.05 and 6.41, respectively. The caries prevalence in the permanent dentition of Lithgow children was significantly higher than that in children living in the fluoridated towns of Bathurst and Orange. No significant differences were observed in the estimates for primary teeth. Conclusion: Although the mean levels of dental caries in schoolchildren in Lithgow were low, oral health inequalities exist between children residing in non-fluoridated Lithgow and the fluoridated locations of Orange and Bathurst. The local council decided that Lithgow will have fluoridated water by December 2010.

The prevalence of dental caries has declined over time among children in most industrialised countries,1,2 which can be attributed to increased use of fluorides, improved oral hygiene and a decreased frequency of sugar intake.3 In 1958, the World Health Organization (WHO) recognised the importance of community water fluoridation and has repeatedly supported it as a good public health policy to reduce the risk of dental caries.4 However, the role of the community in decisions to fluoridate and the legislation for its implementation vary throughout the world. For example, water fluoridation is mandatory in Singapore and Ireland,5 whereas in the United States there is no federal legislation on fluoridation and the decision depends on each state.5

Water fluoridation is not mandatory in New South Wales (NSW) and the decision to fluoridate water supplies rests with local government councils under the NSW Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Act 1957.6
Fluoridation has been the predominant public health approach for caries prevention in NSW because of widespread coverage with public water supplies, and it is the most cost-effective, equitable, safe means of providing protection from tooth decay. More than half of NSW residents live in Sydney, which commenced water fluoridation in 1968. In 1993, 100% of metropolitan Sydney residents live in Sydney, which commenced water fluoridation in the Blue Mountains, Evans et al. found differences in caries prevalence between non-fluoridated and fluoridated areas of NSW. Consequently, to promote water fluoridation in the 6% of rural communities in NSW that are non-fluoridated, locally relevant, up-to-date information on oral health is required.

Lithgow is a rural town located 145 km west of Sydney, with a population of 19,756. In 2005 it was the only area within the boundaries of the Sydney West Area Health Service that remained unfluoridated (Siwaneswaran S, written communication, December 2005). The aim of the Child Dental Health Survey in Lithgow was to establish the oral health status of schoolchildren aged 6–12 years to assist the local council decide whether to fluoridate the water, and to provide a baseline for future monitoring of changes in caries rates. In contrast to the previous broad surveys of children’s oral health in NSW, which included only a few children from this community, a systematic survey of Lithgow children’s dental health was conducted by two dental health professionals using WHO criteria.

Methods
The school principals of all six listed primary schools in the Lithgow local government area were approached by letter to take part in the survey. The parents of all schoolchildren were then invited to take part in the dental survey through a take-home information pack containing information and a consent form. Weekly reminders were sent for 4 weeks using the school newsletters. Children participated in the survey if written consent had been obtained from their parents.

Children aged 6–12 years were clinically examined between August 2006 and November 2006. Children were examined by two public health dental specialists in schoolrooms with halogen lights as the light source. Teeth were examined wet, and if necessary a ball-ended WHO probe was used for exploration. The diagnostic criteria for dental caries were those of the WHO, whereby a decayed tooth is defined as a cavity into the dentine. The scores of the primary examiner (AA) were calibrated daily under the supervision of a calibrating examiner (RWE), and examiner reliability was assessed via Cohen’s Kappa statistic on duplicated scores obtained from both intra- and inter-examinations. The kappa value for inter-examiner reliability was 0.93, and the intra-examiner reliability was 0.98.

Caries prevalence is often represented as the mean number of teeth that are decayed, missing due to decay (extracted) or filled due to decay (termed dmft for primary dentition and DMFT for permanent dentition). In this study, caries prevalence in primary teeth is reported for children aged 6–10 years as primary (baby) teeth exfoliate with age, and that in permanent teeth is reported for children aged 6–12 years. As the distribution of caries prevalence is not normal but is highly skewed to particular population subsections, mean scores do not capture this skewness. The significant caries index (SiC) is the mean dmft/DMFT score of the 30% of the population with the highest scores. This index can be modified to the mean dmft/DMFT score of the 10% of the population with the highest scores (SiC10).

As it is possible that the children examined were not representative of the school-aged population, the estimated resident population at 30 June 2006 of children aged 6–12 years in Lithgow was used to calculate sampling weights for each child. These weights were applied when calculating age-specific indices to produce estimates that were representative of children aged 6–12 years in Lithgow.

Data from Lithgow were compared with school dental service data from the socio-economically comparable fluoridated townships of Bathurst and Orange. Statistical analysis was undertaken with EpiInfo (version 3.3.2, CDC, Atlanta, USA). Comparisons between the mean dmft/DMFT scores of children in Lithgow and Bathurst and Orange were tested with non-parametric methods (Wilcoxon signed rank test).

Approval to conduct this survey was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committees of the University of Sydney, the NSW Department of Education and Training, the Catholic Education Board, and the Sydney West Area Health Service. The parents of children found to have caries were notified and given a referral to the public dental health system of the township.

Results
All the schools agreed to participate. Of the 1589 parents contacted, 689 (44%) gave consent for their children to be examined. Clinical data were available for the 653 children who were at school on the day of the examinations.
The overall sample included equal proportions of boys and girls. No statistically significant difference in caries experience was observed by gender in the primary or permanent dentition.

The population-adjusted caries experience in primary teeth of children aged 6–10 years is shown in Table 1. The mean dmft score increased from 0.92 to 1.91 between the ages of 6 and 9 years and then declined to 1.43 at age 10 years. The percentage of children with primary decay (dmft > 0) steadily increased across the age range 6–9 years, from 29.2% to 64.5%; however, this percentage subsequently decreased, and at 10 years of age only 53.9% of children showed evidence of decay in the primary teeth.

The SiC and SiC10 for the primary teeth of children aged 6–10 years are shown in Figure 1. For the 30% of children with the most decay, the mean dmft per child was considerably higher than the mean for the entire age group, and ranged between 2.72 and 4.38 dmft per child. For the 10% of children with the most caries, the SiC10 scores of 6- and 9-year-old children were more than six times and three times greater than the mean dmft scores, respectively.

The population-adjusted caries prevalence in permanent teeth of children aged 6–12 years is shown in Table 2. The mean DMFT score across all age groups was low, with a 12-year-old DMFT of 0.69. Less than 15% of children aged 6–8 years had permanent tooth decay (DMFT > 0); however, by the end of primary school, 28.4% of children aged 12 years had permanent tooth decay.

The SiC and SiC10 for the permanent teeth of children aged 6–12 years are shown in Figure 2. The SiC scores increased from 0.07 DMFT per child for children aged 6 years to 2.05 DMFT per child for children aged 12 years and, for each age group, ranged from approximately 2.5 to 3 times higher than the average DMFT. Across all age groups, the SiC10 scores were 4–10 times higher than the corresponding age-specific mean DMFT scores.

The caries rates in the primary dentition of Lithgow children were not statistically different from those in Bathurst and Orange (Table 3). In Lithgow, the mean dmft for children aged 6 years was 0.92, lower than the values recorded by the school dental services in Bathurst and Orange; however, this difference was not statistically significant.

Caries prevalence in the permanent dentition of Lithgow children was significantly higher than that of children living in the fluoridated towns of Bathurst and Orange (Table 4). The mean DMFT for children aged 12 years was 0.69 in Lithgow, 0.33 in Orange and 0.29 in Bathurst.

Discussion
Caries rates in the permanent teeth of Lithgow children were significantly higher than in those living in the fluoridated towns of Orange and Bathurst. No statistically significant differences were observed in caries prevalence in primary teeth between the three townships. The data from the survey provide an important snapshot of the oral health of children in Lithgow.
Table 2.  Population-adjusted permanent dentition caries experience (DMFT) statistics of children aged 6–10 years in Lithgow, NSW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Children (n)</th>
<th>Decayed (D)</th>
<th>Missing (M)</th>
<th>Filled (F)</th>
<th>DMFT</th>
<th>DMFT &gt; 0 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.01 0.08</td>
<td>0.00 0.00</td>
<td>0.02 0.16</td>
<td>0.02 0.24</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.01 0.09</td>
<td>0.00 0.00</td>
<td>0.04 0.22</td>
<td>0.05 0.23</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.10 0.42</td>
<td>0.00 0.00</td>
<td>0.10 0.42</td>
<td>0.21 0.63</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.08 0.34</td>
<td>0.06 0.37</td>
<td>0.18 0.61</td>
<td>0.32 0.81</td>
<td>17.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.07 0.37</td>
<td>0.00 0.00</td>
<td>0.41 0.82</td>
<td>0.48 0.89</td>
<td>29.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.11 0.51</td>
<td>0.06 0.37</td>
<td>0.49 1.03</td>
<td>0.66 1.25</td>
<td>29.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.15 0.53</td>
<td>0.02 0.16</td>
<td>0.53 1.18</td>
<td>0.69 1.29</td>
<td>28.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMFT: Decayed, Missing due to caries, Filled Teeth index for permanent (adult) teeth.
SD: Standard Deviation.

The caries experience in the permanent dentition was similar to that for primary teeth. The DMFT for children aged 12 years in Lithgow was 0.69, lower than the statewide DMFT score for 11–12-year-olds reported in 2007.13 The dmft was also lower than the mean for 5–6-year-olds in the Sydney West Area Health Service, which was found to be 1.77 in 2007.13 Our data for other age groups were consistent with those for other non-fluoridated areas of NSW, such as Mudgee (dmft = 1.4) (Sivaneswaran S, written communication, August 2009).

The caries rates in the permanent teeth of Lithgow children were higher than the rates in children living in Orange and Bathurst. This may indicate that water fluoridation reduces the risk for dental decay, as noted in other publications from NSW.11–13 This was not, however, the case for the primary dentition. The finding that the differences in caries prevalence were not statistically significant for primary teeth may have been due to differences in the populations sampled in the three communities. Furthermore, our rates for the fluoridated and unfluoridated areas were lower than the statewide data.13 This may be due to overreporting of dental decay in statewide examinations, as the State diagnostic criteria included cavitated and non-cavitated carious lesions.

We used the WHO clinical criteria to diagnose caries, whereas the school dental service has access to radiographs as well, resulting in differences in diagnostic criteria and the finding of more caries. In our study, the examiners’ scores were highly reliable, as the clinical examinations were conducted by trained and calibrated dental public health specialists; the school dental service data were...
collected by uncalibrated examiners. Our diagnostic criteria for caries are more specific than sensitive, and our technique was less invasive, as we did not use radiographs as a diagnostic tool.

The findings of our study on caries prevalence among school-aged children and on parental support on water fluoridation were presented to the local council in the hope that this information would encourage it to support the fluoridation proposal. Following this study, the local council commissioned an independent body (Western Research Institute, Bathurst) to conduct a survey on support for fluoridation in Lithgow. It is heartening to note that 72% of households voted in favour of fluoridation (Murphy T, written communication, August 2009), and the council at its ordinary meeting in November 2007 resolved that: ‘council proceed with water fluoridation and request the installation of the necessary infrastructure’. Subsequently, Lithgow Council wrote to the Director-General of Health requesting fluoridation of the public water supplies under Section 6 of the Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Act 1957. By the end of 2010, the Lithgow community will have access to fluoridated water. Future investigations will be needed to assess the benefit of water fluoridation in Lithgow.

**Conclusion**

Although the mean levels of dental caries in schoolchildren in Lithgow were low, oral health inequalities exist between children residing in non-fluoridated Lithgow and the fluoridated locations of Orange and Bathurst. The local council has decided that Lithgow will have fluoridated water.

**Editor's note**

Lithgow was gazetted to be fluoridated on 31 August 2010 and this will be implemented during 2011.
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*Table 3. Primary dentition caries experience among children aged 6 years in unfluoridated Lithgow and the socioeconomically comparable fluoridated towns of Bathurst and Orange, NSW*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Children (n)</th>
<th>Decayed (d)</th>
<th>Missing (m)</th>
<th>Filled (f)</th>
<th>dmft</th>
<th>dmft &gt; 0 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithgow</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.52 2.01</td>
<td>0.08 0.33</td>
<td>0.33 0.82</td>
<td>0.92 2.03</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.74 1.72</td>
<td>0.04 0.28</td>
<td>0.40 1.31</td>
<td>1.18 2.12</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathurst</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.76 1.48</td>
<td>0.07 0.33</td>
<td>0.28 1.03</td>
<td>1.11 2.06</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*dmft: decayed, missing due to caries, filled teeth index for primary (baby) teeth. SD: Standard Deviation. Source: School Dental Service, NSW Health.*

*Table 4. Permanent dentition caries experience among children aged 12 years in unfluoridated Lithgow and the socioeconomically comparable fluoridated towns of Bathurst and Orange, NSW*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Children (n)</th>
<th>Decayed (D)</th>
<th>Missing (M)</th>
<th>Filled (F)</th>
<th>DMFT</th>
<th>DMFT = 0 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithgow</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.15 0.53</td>
<td>0.02 0.16</td>
<td>0.53 1.18</td>
<td>0.69 1.29</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.04 0.29</td>
<td>0.00 0.00</td>
<td>0.28 0.98</td>
<td>0.3* 1.01</td>
<td>87.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathurst</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.18 0.77</td>
<td>0.00 0.00</td>
<td>0.11 0.32</td>
<td>0.29* 0.85</td>
<td>85.7*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DMFT: Decayed, Missing due to caries, Filled Teeth index for permanent (adult) teeth. SD: Standard Deviation. *Significantly different from Lithgow, p < 0.05. Source: School Dental Service, NSW Health.*


