





ISSN 1034 7674

HOSPITAL-RELATED MORTALITY IN NSW: PRELIMINARY RESULTS

David Lyle, Shing Chung Fung, Paul Corben and Tim Churches, Epidemiology and Health Services Evaluation Branch, NSW Health Department.

INTRODUCTION

he use of hospital mortality rates as indicators of the quality of hospital care has been considered for some time. Since the release of hospital mortality indicators by the US Health Care Financing Administration in 1984 and 1986¹, methods to improve their validity and reliability have developed, particularly in North America.

This article reports on a preliminary analysis of in-hospital mortality data and identifies the data needed to produce more valid and reliable versions of these indicators.

The mortality outcomes of hospitals or clinical services are influenced by many factors other than the quality of medical care. These include the patients' age, sex, clinical condition, illness severity, co-morbidity, treatment received before hospitalisation, hospital admission and discharge policies, and the type or role of the hospital. Adjustment for such factors is necessary before meaningful comparisons of mortality rates can be made among hospitals or across time for a given hospital. Information on many of these factors is not available from routine data systems.

As a first step in developing indicators of hospital-related mortality we examined deaths occurring in NSW hospitals over the past five years, focusing on the numbers and rates of in-hospital deaths, case-fatality rates (CFRs) for selected conditions, and variations in all-causes mortality within different categories of hospital. Only crude rates are presented here – there has been no attempt to adjust for even basic influences such as casemix, age and sex. The results of basic risk-adjusted analyses using available data will be reported in the near future. Our intention is to determine whether existing data will allow for the development of appropriate indicators or whether additional information is required.

METHODS

We analysed data on separations from all public and private hospitals in NSW over the five consecutive financial years between 1988-89 and 1992-93. The data source was the NSW Inpatient Statistics Collection. Analyses were based on the principal diagnosis or procedure, which was coded according to the *International Classification of Diseases and Causes of Death, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification*² (ICD-9 CM). The following conditions or procedures were selected for review:

- acute myocardial infarction (AMI) (ICD-9CM code N410); cerebrovascular accident (CVA) (430-438);
- aortic aneurysm (441);
 - head injury (800, 801, 803, 804, 850-854);
- hip fracture (820); and
 - coronary artery bypass grafts (CABG) (procedure code 361).

Hospitals were grouped according to a NSW Health Department classification which took into account the level of services provided, casemix complexity and hospital size³. Mortality trends were presented for seven public hospital groups:

Continued on page 26 ▶

Contents

Articles

- 25 Hospital-related mortality in NSW: preliminary results
- **28** Should we screen for colorectal cancer?
- Infectious Diseases
- 32 Notifications

Correspondence

Please address all correspondence and potential contributions to:

The Editor, NSW Public Health Bulletin, Public Health Division, NSW Health Department Locked Bag No 961, North Sydney NSW 2059 Telephone: (02) 391 9218 Facsimile: (02) 391 9232

Hospital-related mortality in NSW

► Continued from page 25

principal referral, major referral, major rural base, district – high, district – medium, district – low, and community.

CFRs (expressed as percentages) were calculated as follows:

CFR = (No. of inpatient deaths/No. of hospital separations) X 100

RESULTS

Between 1988-89 and 1992-93, the number of hospital inpatients (including day-only patients, i.e. patients admitted and discharged on the same day) increased by 27 per cent from 1,212,524 to 1,535,451. Most (74 per cent) of this increase was due to a growth in the number of day-only cases; there was an 84 per cent increase in day-only admissions over the five-year period, compared with a 9 per cent increase in the number of longer-stay patients. In view of the disproportionate growth in day-only patients, who are generally at low risk of dying in hospital, we excluded day-only cases from our analyses to improve the validity of comparisons across the period.

Excluding day-only patients, there were 1,010,324 separations from, and 23,201 deaths in, NSW hospitals in 1992-93, giving an overall in-hospital mortality rate of 2.3 per cent. This figure has remained relatively stable since 1988-89 (Table 1).

The risk of in-hospital death varied according to principal diagnosis as illustrated in Table 2. The CFR for patients presenting with an aortic aneurysm ranged between 20 and

MEDIAN 25-75 PERCEN	TILE RANGES
Hospital Role	
Principal Referral	
Major Referral	⊢• ⊣
Major Rural Base	F
District - High	├
District - Medium	
District - Low	+- 1
Community	-
0	1 2 3 4

TABLE 1 UNADJUSTED ALL CAUSES IN-HOSPITAL MORTALITY, NSW 1988-89 TO 1992-93						
	Deaths	Total separations	CFR (%)			
1988-89	21,318	926,769	2.30			
1989-90	22,434	969,492	2.3			
1990-91	22,014	984,269	2.23			
1991-92	23,365	987,423	2.37			
	23,201	1,010,324	2.29			

Day-only cases excluded

22 per cent over the five years and for patients with AMI or CVA between 13 and 16 per cent. Among patients with proximal femoral fracture the rate remained around 4 per cent, while nearly 3 per cent of patients with head injury and around 2 per cent of patients having CABG surgery died as inpatients.

The average CFR within acute hospital role groups ranged from 2 to 3 per cent over the five-year period (Table 3). In contrast, much higher rates were reported for other hospital groups such as public nursing homes (17 per cent) and public subacute hospitals (19 per cent) which included hospices and rehabilitation centres.

Figure 1 depicts the variability of CFRs among public hospitals in 1992-93, displaying the median and interquartile range for each type of hospital. In the same year, the CFR in principal referral hospitals ranged from 1.0 per cent to 3.5 per cent, while for major rural base hospitals the range was between 1.8 per cent and 2.8 per cent, and for public hospitals it was from 0.4 per cent to 11.5 per cent.

DISCUSSION

Some 44,000 people die in NSW each year and just over half these deaths occur in hospital. In 1992-93 this translated into an all causes in-hospital mortality rate for NSW of 2.3 per cent. How does this compare with other States or countries? While it is of limited value to compare in-hospital mortality rates without adjusting for risk (i.e. using crude rates only), such a comparison does provide a context in which to place the NSW experience. For this purpose we selected the USA as an initial benchmark. In 19874 a survey of short-term, general, non-federal hospitals reported an all category mortality rate of 2.9 per cent, and the following CFRs for specific conditions: aortic aneurysm 20.6 per cent. CVA 10.5 per cent, AMI 14.9 per cent, hip fracture 4.2 per cent, and CABG 5.1 per cent. With the notable exception of CABG these figures are generally consistent with the aggregate results from all NSW hospitals. It cannot be concluded from these crude comparisons that outcomes for CABG are better in NSW than in the USA because the rates have not been adjusted for differences in patient characteristics and the impact these might have on outcomes. This draws attention to the importance of appropriate risk-adjustment to allow valid comparisons to be made.

Continued on page 28

TABLE 2

UNADJUSTED IN-HOSPITAL MORTALITY FOR SELECTED PATIENT GROUPS, 1988-89 TO 1992-93

	Deaths	Total separations	CFR (%)		Deaths	Total separations	CFR (%)
CVA				AMI			
1988-89	2,036	14,110	14.4	1988-89	1,650	10,534	15.7
1989-90	2,058	14,592	14.1	1989-90	1,632	10,829	15.1
1990-91	2,013	14,866	13.5	1990-91	1,630	11,008	14.8
1991-92	2,136	15,343	13.9	1991-92	1,605	11,235	14.3
1992-93	2,005	15,520	12.9	1992-93	1,587	11,192	14.2
Aortic aneurysm			4	Head injury	100.00		
1988-89	254	1,225	20.7	1988-89	214	7,355	2.92
1989-90	271	1,349	20.1	1989-90	213	7,469	2.85
1990-91	295	1,417	20.8	1990-91	212	6,931	3.06
1991-92	306	1,431	21.4	1991-92	211	6,710	3.14
1992-93	342	1,556	22.0	1992-93	182	6,593	2.76
Hip fracture				CABG			
1988-89	232	5,259	4.42	1988-89	82	3,809	2.15
1989-90	219	5,150	4.25	1989-90	81	4,474	1.81
1990-91	218	5,197	4.20	1990-91	122	4,836	2.52
1991-92	194	5,378	3.62	1991-92	107	5,178	2.07
1992-93	223	5,516	4.04	1992-93	108	5,602	1.93

Day-only cases excluded

TABLE 3

UNADJUSTED IN-HOSPITAL MORTALITY BY HOSPITAL ROLE, NSW 1988-89 TO 1992-93

Hospital role	Deaths	Total separations	CFR (%)	Hospital role	Deaths	Total separations	CFR (%)
Principal referral				District – medium			
1988-89	4,564	156,848	2.91	1988-89	4,214	181,291	2.32
1989-90	4,455	158,732	2.81	1989-90	4,524	195,282	2.32
1990-91	4,768	176,689	2.70	1990-91	4,084	191,108	2.14
1991-92	5,329	195,777	2.72	1991-92	3,967	187,860	2.11
1992-93	5,105	199,756	2.56	1992-93	4,021	191,191	2.10
Major referral				District – low			
1988-89	1,203	56,519	2.13	1988-89	1,614	80,522	2.01
1989-90	1,214	57,923	2.10	1989-90	1,664	82,660	2.01
1990-91	1,179	58,426	2.02	1990-91	1,802	85,687	2.10
1991-92	1,247	59,016	2.11	1991-92	1,856	81,248	2.28
1992-93	1,671	79,875	2.09	1992-93	1,797	79,731	2.25
Major rural base				Community			
1988-89	1,069	50,540	2.12	1988-89	1,313	51,028	2.57
1989-90	1,076	51,881	2.08	1989-90	1,391	53,958	2.58
1990-91	1,002	52,000	1.97	1990-91	1,367	54,959	2.49
1991-92	1,071	52,152	2.05	1991-92	1,613	55,830	2.89
1992-93	1,092	54,021	2.02	1992-93	1,629	57,453	2.83
District – high							
1988-89	2,367	85,214	2.78				
1989-90	2,667	91,792	2.91				
1990-91	2,530	88,238	2.87				
1991-92	2,547	86,150	2.96				
1992-93	2,645	90,183	2.93				

Day-only cases excluded

PUBLIC HEALTH EDITORIAL STAFF

The Bulletin's editorial advisory panel is as follows:

Dr Sue Morey, Chief Health Officer, Public Health Division, NSW Health Department; Professor Stephen Leeder, Director, Department of Community Medicine, Westmead Hospital; Professor Geoffrey Berry, Head, Department of Public Health, University of Sydney; Dr Christine Bennett, General Manager, Royal Hospital for Women; Dr Michael Frommer, Deputy Director, Epidemiology and Health Services Evaluation Branch, NSW Health Department; Ms Jane Hall, Director, Centre for Health Economics Research and Evaluation; and Ms Lyn Stoker, Acting Manager, Health Promotion Unit.

The editor is Dr George Rubin, Director, Epidemiology and Health Services Evaluation Branch, NSW Health Department.

The Bulletin aims to provide its readers with population health data and information to motivate effective public health action. Articles, news and comments should be 1,000 words or less in length and include a summary of the key points to be made in the first paragraph. Please submit items in hard copy and on diskette, preferably using WordPerfect 5.1, to the editor, Public Health Bulletin, Locked Mail Bag 961, North Sydney 2059. Facsimile (02) 391 9232.

Please contact, your local Public Health Unit to obtain copies of the NSW Public Health Bulletin.

Please contact your local Public Health Unit to obtain copies of the NSW Public Health Bulletin.

SHOULD WE SCREEN FOR COLORECTAL CANCER!

Bernie Towler, Public Health Officer, Epidemiology and Health Services Evaluation Branch;

Les Irwig, A/Professor (Epidemiology), Department of Public Health, University of Sydney;

Marion Haas, Public Health Officer, Epidemiology and Health Services Evaluation Branch;

Angela Plunkett, Associate Lecturer, Department of Public Health, University of Sydney;

 $Glenn\ Salkeld,\ Lecturer\ (Health\ Economics),\ Department$ of Public Health, University of Sydney.

INTRODUCTION

n NSW in 1991 colorectal cancer was the second most common cancer affecting women and men, after breast and prostate cancer, respectively. Because of the burden of this disease and because prevention is not possible, populationbased screening to detect and remove colorectal adenomas and early asymptomatic cancers has been advocated by some2. However, the decision to implement population-based screening is a complex one. Early detection and treatment of colorectal neoplasms (adenomas and cancers) must be shown to be effective in reducing disease mortality and any benefits of screening must outweigh potential morbidity caused. Other considerations include the feasibility, cost and acceptability of screening to Australians and the likelihood of patient and physician compliance with a screening

We examined the evidence about the effectiveness of screening and related issues to inform screening policy. This included a comprehensive and critical review of the literature, preliminary economic analysis of screening in the Australian health care setting and correspondence with Australian investigators for information about local research relevant to screening. A summary of this review and its recommendations are presented below and in Table 4. The full report, including the tabulated results of the critical appraisal of the literature, is available from the Epidemiology and Health Services Evaluation Branch.

IS SCREENING EFFECTIVE?

Whether screening is effective is the first and crucial question to ask before embarking on a screening program. Randomised controlled trials provide the best quality evidence about screening effectiveness. There are five large trials (one non-randomised) investigating the effectiveness of screening using the faecal occult blood test (FOBT) Hemoccult^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13}. The New York trial^{12,13} is evaluating Hemoccult additional to sigmoidoscopy which was offered to all study participants. The major characteristics and mortality findings of the trials are given in Table 5. In all trials, Hemoccult positive subjects were referred for further investigation which was mainly colonoscopy, or alternatively, sigmoidoscopy with double contrast barium enema. Colorectal neoplasms detected were removed.

Three of the trials have reported mortality findings, analysed by intention to treat^{4,11,13}. Only the Minnesota trial⁴ has reported a statistically significant 33 per cent reduction in colorectal cancer mortality with annual screening (Table 5). However, the findings of the Minnesota trial must be viewed with caution for several reasons. There are inconsistencies in the numbers of colorectal cancers

Hospital-related mortality in NSW

► Continued from page 26

While our development of risk-adjusted indicators is still at an early stage, there are a few excellent examples of clinical groups in NSW that have produced and implemented such indicators to monitor the quality of their services. One such example is the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS). ANZICS, partially funded by the NSW Health Department's Health Outcomes Program, is installing a standard clinical information system in intensive care units throughout Australia to provide riskadjusted mortality data to the participating units. The information from this system will allow individual units to compare their overall performance and condition-specific mortality to an international benchmark and facilitate monitoring of trends in performance. The development of such systems is complementary to the work being carried out in the Epidemiology and Health Services Evaluation Branch.

The next steps in our exploration of hospital mortality will be to:

recalculate in-hospital mortality rates adjusting for age, sex and casemix, and condition-specific mortality rates adjusting for age and sex; evaluate methods for using existing inpatient data in the measurement of co-morbidity and severity of

illness and in risk adjustment;

- compare the use of routinely reported inpatient data and these algorithms against results derived from more comprehensive clinical databases, e.g. Trauma Registries:
- demonstrate the feasibility and usefulness of linking routinely reported inpatient data to mortality data to extend this analysis to include post-discharge
- assess the usefulness of additional data items from existing hospital information systems and processes which may be of value in the measurement of comorbidity, severity of illness and risk adjustment. This evaluation will determine whether suitable data items are captured by hospital records, the extent and consistency of recording across institutions and the feasibility of computerisation.

This work will be assisted by a grant from the Commonwealth Government and will include collaboration with the Victorian Department of Health and Community Services.

^{1.} US Congress, Office of Technology Assessment. The Quality of Medical Care: Information for Consumers, OTA-H-386 (Washington, DC; US Government Printing Office, June 1988) Chapter 4 p71.

2. International Classification of Diseases and Causes of Death, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification Vol 1, 1986. Ann Arbor Michigan Library of Congress Natl 77, 04479.

Clincial Modification Vol 1, 1986. Ann Arbor Michigan Library of Congress No. 77-94472.

3. NSW Public Hospital Comparison Data 1991/2. NSW Health Department, State Health Publication No. (IC) 93-134.

4. Elixhauser A, Andrews RM, and Fox S. Clinical Classification for Health Policy Research: Discharge Statistics by Principal Diagnosis and Procedure. Division of Provider Studies Research Note 17 Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, 1993 Rockville, MD Public Health Service (ACHCPR Publication No. 93-0043).