An outbreak of meningococcal disease in the Northern Sydney Area provided an opportunity for the Area's Public Health Unit (PHU) to review the criteria for undertaking a mass vaccination program and to relate its experiences to other public health professionals. An outbreak was declared in August 1994 after two students attending the same high school developed meningococcal disease within six days of each other. The students were separated by one school year and close contact between them could not be established although the sister of the second case was in the same class as the index case.

Local Accident and Emergency departments and general practitioners (GPs) were alerted but no additional cases were identified. The initial response of the PHU included the distribution of a letter to household and close personal contacts of each of the affected students giving general information about meningococcal disease and advising them to obtain a prescription for an antibiotic (Rifampicin) from their GP. Information about the disease was provided to the school's other students and to their parents by letters from the PHU and the school's principal.

After the laboratory report that both meningococcal cases were serogroup C, a meeting of public health, paediatric and infectious disease experts was called by the Area's Director of Public Health to decide what further action might be required. The decision to undertake a mass vaccination program was made using the following criteria:

- an outbreak had occurred as defined by two or more epidemiologically linked cases occurring within a 30-day period in a definable population, i.e. a school;
- meningococci isolated from the two patients were identical as determined by serogrouping and antibiotic sensitivity (ideally subtyping should be performed);
- the population to be vaccinated was clearly defined - in this case all the current students and staff at the school;
- the vaccine was effective in the age range of the defined population and was protective against the serogroup responsible for the two reported cases. Also, sufficient vaccine was available to vaccinate the defined population;
- the epidemiology of meningococcal disease in NSW indicates that winter and spring have the highest risk of transmission; and
- the benefit was considered to outweigh the cost with respect to:
  - the cost of the vaccine
  - the staff and logistics required
  - the excessive public anxiety which might be created due to media attention.

Two vaccination clinics scheduled one week apart were undertaken by PHU staff who collected consent forms and ticked off vaccinated students on class lists. The time required to mix individual doses of the vaccine with its diluent was the most important factor limiting the rate of vaccination during this clinic. The efficient running of the clinic required sufficient numbers of qualified immunisers; those were arranged by the PHU's public health nurse who drew on hospital, community and council sources.

To increase the uptake at the second clinic, the parents of all students who had not been vaccinated were posted a letter explaining the benefits of vaccination. By the end of the two clinics 590 students (about 85 per cent of the total) and 69 members of staff had been vaccinated.

Allaying public anxiety about meningitis is usually thought to be the most important public health task following an outbreak. By means of telephone contact, letters and meetings, PHU staff worked closely with the school community and GPs to allay anxiety and ensure the successful management of the mass vaccination program. All media inquiries were channeled to the Director of the PHU.

We considered the vaccination program to be successful and at the time of writing this report (six weeks after the index case) no additional cases associated with the outbreak had been notified.