When deer must die: large uncertainty surrounds changes in deer abundance achieved by helicopter- and ground-based hunting in New Zealand forests

David M. Forsyth^{A,G}, David S. L. Ramsey^A, Clare J. Veltman^B, Robert B. Allen^C, Will J. Allen^D, Richard J. Barker^E, Chris L. Jacobson^F, Simon J. Nicol^A, Sarah J. Richardson^C and Charles R. Todd^A

^AArthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research, Department of Sustainability and Environment, 123 Brown Street, Heidelberg, Vic. 3084, Australia.

^BScience and Technical Group, Department of Conservation, c/- Private Bag 11052, Palmerston North 4442, New Zealand.

^CLandcare Research, PO Box 40, Lincoln 7640, New Zealand.

^DLearning for Sustainability, PO Box 30108, Barrington, Christchurch 8244, New Zealand.

^EDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand.

^FSustainability Research Centre, University of the Sunshine Coast, Locked Bag 4, Maroochydore DC, Qld 4551, Australia.

^GCorresponding author. Email: dave.forsyth@dse.vic.gov.au

Table S1. Details of the aerial 1080 poison operations conducted to kill brushtail possums in the Waihaha and Waiotaka study sites

Deer repellent was added to baits used in the non-treatment areas but not to baits used in the treatment areas

	Waihaha	Waiotaka
Treatment area		
First non-toxic pre-feed	Carrot bait (2 kg ha ⁻¹)	6-g cereal bait (2 kg ha ⁻¹)
Second non-toxic pre-feed	Carrot bait (2 kg ha ⁻¹)	Not applicable
Toxic	Carrot bait (5 kg ha ⁻¹ with	12-g cereal bait (2 kg ha ⁻¹
	0.08% 1080)	with 0.15% 1080)
Start date for toxic baits	17 May 2005	10 June 2006
Non-Treatment area		
First non-toxic pre-feed	Carrot bait (2 kg ha ⁻¹)	Carrot bait (3 kg ha ⁻¹)
Second non-toxic pre-feed	Carrot bait (2 kg ha ⁻¹)	Not applicable
Toxic + deer repellent	Carrot bait (5 kg ha ⁻¹ with	Carrot bait (5 kg ha ⁻¹ with
	0.08% 1080)	0.08% 1080)
Start date for toxic baits	17 May 2005	10 June 2006