

Supplementary Material

Airborne particulate matter in Southeast Asia: a review on variation, chemical compositions and source apportionment

Supattarachai Saksakulkrai^A, Somporn Chantara^{B,C} and Zongbo Shi^{A,}*

^ASchool of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

^BEnvironmental Science Research Center, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand

^CEnvironmental Chemistry Research Laboratory, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand

*Correspondence to: Email: z.shi@bham.ac.uk

Table S1-1 Contribution of OC and EC (%) to PM mass in SEA countries

Country	Season	Site	PM size	OC	EC	TC	OC/EC	Ref
Thailand								
Phimai	dry	Rural	PM10	28.8	6.1	34.9	4.8	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Bangkok	dry	traffic	PM2.5			55.8		(Chuersuwan <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
	dry	traffic	PM10			42.7		
Chiang Mai	haze	Rural	PM2.5	40.5	6.0	46.5	6.7	(Pani <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	haze	Urban	PM2.5	41.2	8.4	49.7	4.9	
Chiang Mai	LBB	urban	PM2.5	40.2	7.7	47.9	5.2	(Pani <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	MBB	urban	PM2.5	31.4	5.9	37.3	5.3	
	HBB	urban	PM2.5	26.5	4.9	31.4	5.4	
	EBB	urban	PM2.5	32.3	6.0	38.3	5.4	
Chiang Mai	haze	urban	PM2.5	36.7	4.4	41.1	8.3	(Thepnuan <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Chiang Mai	haze	rural	PM2.5	40.9	7.3	48.2	5.6	(Chuang <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Phuket	annual	suburban	PM2.5	7.2	1.5	8.7	4.8	(Choochuay <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Vietnam								
Hanoi	wet	traffic	PM2.5	30.6	8.9	39.6	3.4	(Thuy <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	dry	traffic	PM2.5	37.4	10.1	47.5	3.7	
	wet	mixed	PM2.5	40.2	9.7	49.9	4.2	
	dry	mixed	PM2.5	31.5	8.1	39.6	3.9	
	wet	traffic	PM10	36.6	10.1	46.6	3.6	
	dry	traffic	PM10	73.3	18.3	91.6	4.0	
	wet	mixed	PM10	42.2	8.8	51.0	4.8	
	dry	mixed	PM10	29.2	7.1	36.2	4.1	
Tamdao	wet	rural	PM2.5		8.8			(Co <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
	dry	rural	PM2.5		8.0			
Hanoi	dry	urban	PM2.5	19.2	6.2	25.4	3.1	(Hai and Kim Oanh, 2013)
	dry	urban	PM10-2.5	7.2	1.7	9.0	4.2	
Hanoi	annual	suburban	PM2.5		8.1			(Cohen <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
Mong Duong	wet	Industrial	PM2.5	9.4	5.7	15.1	1.7	(Hang and Kim Oanh, 2014)
	dry	rural	PM2.5	19.0	3.7	22.7	5.2	
Cam Hai	wet	rural	PM2.5	10.7	3.0	13.7	3.6	
	dry	rural	PM2.5	20.9	2.5	23.4	8.5	
Sonla	BBSC	rural	PM2.5	35.2	5.1	40.3	7.0	(Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	BBIC	rural	PM2.5	46.5	7.5	54.0	6.2	
	BBSS	rural	PM2.5	38.9	6.1	45.0	6.4	
Malaysia								
Kuala Lumpur	haze	suburban	PM2.5	25.3	8.3	33.6	3.1	(Khan <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Kuala Lumpur	annual	urban	PM2.5		15.8			(Rahman <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
	annual	urban	PM10		2.6			
Selangor, Malaysia	haze	urban	PM2.5	24.0	9.2	33.3	2.6	(Fujii <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Singapore								
Singapore	non	urban	PM2.5	16.9	8.2	25.1	2.1	(Tham <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	haze	urban	PM2.5	20.1	4.4	24.5	4.6	
	episodic	urban	PM2.5	46.3	3.0	49.4	15.4	
Singapore	haze	urban	PM2.5	52.1	11.2	63.3	4.7	(Hapsari Budisulistiorini <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Singapore	haze	urban	PM2.5	19.7	7.1	26.8	2.8	(See <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
	non	urban	PM2.5	17.7	9.1	26.8	1.9	
Indonesia								
Serpong	Aug – Nov 2008	Industrial	PM2.5		17.2			(Santoso <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
		Residential	PM2.5		12.9			
Avg SEA				30.7	7.5	38.3	4.9	

Table S1-2 Contribution of OC and EC (%) to PM mass in other countries

Country	Season	Site	PM size	OC	EC	TC	OC/EC	Ref
China								
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	14.2	3.4	17.6	4.2	(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	24.6	7.4	32.0	3.3	
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	10.1	2.3	12.4	4.4	(Shen <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
	haze	urban	PM2.5	12.1	3.7	15.7	3.3	
	haze	urban	PM2.5	10.1	4.0	14.1	2.5	
	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	17.7	4.3	22.0	4.1	
Beijing	heavy-polluted	urban	PM2.5	15.8	4.3	20.1	3.7	(Tao <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
	clean	urban	PM2.5	25.0	6.4	31.4	3.9	(Ho <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	18.6	2.4	21.0	7.6	
Xi'an	haze	urban	PM2.5	17.5	3.3	20.8	5.4	
Xiamen	haze	urban	PM2.5	20.0	7.0	27.0	2.9	
Hong Kong	haze	urban	PM2.5	16.5	9.8	26.3	1.7	(Ji <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Beijing	annual	urban	PM2.5	14.9	4.4	19.3	3.4	(Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Brijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	36.5	15.6	52.1	2.3	(Shao <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	21.7	5.6	27.3	3.9	
	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	13.4	4.1	17.6	3.2	
	avg	urban	PM2.5	19.8	5.3	25.0	3.7	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Nanjing	annual	industrial	PM2.5	19.2	6.4	25.6	3.0	(Zhao <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	annual	urban	PM2.5	13.0	4.8	17.8	2.7	
Handan	winter 2013	urban	PM2.5	16.0	6.5	22.5	2.5	
	summer 2013	urban	PM2.5	8.5	3.9	12.4	2.2	
	annual 2013	urban	PM2.5	14.2	5.5	19.7	2.6	(Tan <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Handan	winter 2017	urban	PM2.5	33.6	6.6	40.2	5.1	
	summer 2017	urban	PM2.5	9.3	4.2	13.4	2.2	
	annual 2017	urban	PM2.5	21.0	5.4	26.4	3.9	
Lanzhou	winter	residential	PM2.5	29.4	11.5	40.8	2.6	(Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	summer	residential	PM2.5	28.6	13.0	41.6	2.2	
	annual	residential	PM2.5	29.2	11.8	41.0	2.5	
Ningdong (Yinglite)	winter	industrial	PM2.5	7.0	4.7	11.7	1.5	(Lu <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
	summer	industrial	PM2.5	6.1	3.2	9.4	1.9	
Cixi	haze	mixed	PM2.5	16.8	6.1	22.9	2.8	(Niu <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	non-haze	mixed	PM2.5	18.9	7.4	26.3	2.6	
Xi'an	spring	urban	PM2.5	14.8	3.8	18.5	3.9	
	summer	urban	PM2.5	14.4	3.3	17.7	4.3	
	autumn	urban	PM2.5	18.1	5.1	23.2	3.5	(Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	winter	urban	PM2.5	13.7	3.0	16.7	4.6	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	16.9	4.2	21.1	4.0	(Tao <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Zhengzhou	heavy polluted	urban	PM2.5	16.9	3.5	20.4	4.8	
	other days	urban	PM2.5	14.3	4.7	19.0	3.0	
Chengdu	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	16.6	4.8	21.4	3.4	(Tao <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
	dust	urban	PM2.5	8.4	2.1	10.5	4.0	
	haze	urban	PM2.5	21.0	4.5	25.4	4.7	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	15.5	4.3	19.8	3.6	
Avg China				17.4	5.5	23.0	3.4	

Table S1-2 (continue)

Country	Season	Site	PM size	OC	EC	TC	OC/EC	Ref
India								
Delhi	annual	industrail	PM2.5	28.2	9.1	37.3	3.1	(Mandal <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
Delhi	summer	traffic	PM2.5	30.2	13.4	43.6	2.3	(Pant <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
	winter	traffic	PM2.5	37.7	16.7	54.4	2.3	
Delhi	annual	urban	PM2.5	14.1	8.2	22.3	1.7	(Sharma and Mandal, 2017)
	winter	urban	PM2.5	14.7	8.5	23.2	1.7	
	summer	urban	PM2.5	13.8	8.4	22.2	1.6	
Delhi	winter	urban	PM2.5	12.9	5.8	18.7	2.2	(Jain <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
	summer	urban	PM2.5	9.5	5.1	14.6	1.9	
	monsoon	urban	PM2.5	9.8	4.4	14.2	2.2	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	12.0	5.6	17.6	2.2	
Pune	May – Oct 2013	urban	PM2.5	30.5	2.3	32.9	13.1	(Pipal and Satsangi, 2015)
		urban	Pm10	20.0	1.5	21.5	13.8	
Trombay	annual	industrial	PM2.5		12.5			(Police <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	annual	industrial	PM2.5		14.2			
Ahmedabad	winter	urban	PM2.5	32.9	5.4	38.2	6.1	(Rengarajan <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
Van Vihar National Park	annual		PM2.5	30.0	13.0	43.0	2.3	(Kumar and Sunder Raman, 2020)
Avg India				21.2	8.4	26.9	3.5	
UK								
Birmingham	11-21 Sep 2012	Traffic (Tunnel)	PM2.5	30.1	30.0	60.1	1.0	(Pant <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Birmingham	summer 2-18 June 2011	Urbanbg	PM2.5	26.0	9.0	35.1	2.9	(Taiwo, 2016)
			PM2.5-10	13.5	0.3	13.8	42.9	
London	winter	urban	PM2.5	17.5	7.5	25.0	2.3	(Crilley <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Detling , Kent	winter	rural	PM2.5	13.8	3.8	17.5	3.7	
Harwell, Oxfordshire	winter	rural	PM2.5	16.4	5.0	21.4	3.3	
London	winter	urban	PM2.5	22.3	9.6	31.9	2.3	(Yin <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Harwell, Oxfordshire	winter	rural	PM2.5	20.9	6.2	27.1	3.4	
Europe								
Barcelona	annual	urban back	PM2.5	16.1	6.5	22.6	2.5	(Salameh <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Marseille	annual	urban back	PM2.5	31.6	9.2	40.8	3.4	
Genoa	annual	urban back	PM2.5	19.3	10.0	29.3	1.9	
Thessaloniki	annual	urban back	PM2.5	17.7	3.5	21.2	5.1	
Paris, France	annual	suburban	PM2.5	21.1	8.6	29.6	2.5	(Bressi <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
	annual	urban	PM2.5	20.3	9.5	29.7	2.1	
	annual	rural	PM2.5	23.0	9.5	32.5	2.4	
America/Canada								
Toronto, Canada	Annual	urban	PM2.5	7.5	7.0	14.5	1.1	(Jeong <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Alberta, Canada	annual	urban	PM2.5	23.3	9.0	32.3	2.6	(Bari and Kindzierski, 2016)
Los Angeles, US	annual	urban	PM2.5-10	8.2	0.7	8.9	11.6	(Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
	annual	rural	PM2.5-10	5.7	0.4	6.1	15.4	

Table S2-1 Contribution of WSI (%) to PM mass in SEA countries

Country	Season	Site	PM size	Ca ²⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Mg ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	Cl ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻ /SO ₄ ²⁻	Ref
Thailand													
Chiang Mai	haze	Rural	PM2.5	0.4	1.0	1.6		3.1	0.9	6.2	4.4	1.4	(Pani <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	haze	Urban	PM2.5	0.5	1.2	1.6		2.0	1.2	3.5	4.3	0.8	
Chiang Mai	LBB	urban	PM2.5	0.7	4.3	2.5		5.0	1.8	2.5	15.5	0.2	(Pani <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	MBB	urban	PM2.5	0.5	3.6	2.3		3.3	1.1	2.9	8.1	0.4	
	HBB	urban	PM2.5	0.3	2.6	2.0		2.6	0.6	3.0	4.1	0.7	
	EBB	urban	PM2.5	0.3	2.4	1.6		2.4	0.7	2.7	4.5	0.6	
Chiang Mai	haze	urban	PM2.5	1.2		2.0	0.2	5.2	0.2	4.2	13.6	0.3	(Thepnuan <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Chaing Mai	haze	Rural	PM2.5	0.4	0.3	2.6		2.9	0.5	1.3	9.7	0.1	(Chuang <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Chiang Mai	haze	urban	PM2.5	0.6	3.5	2.2		3.3	1.1	2.9	8.4	0.3	(Khamkaew <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	haze	rural	PM2.5	0.5	4.9	2.2		1.2	1.2	5.0	3.0	1.6	
Chiang Mai	hot dry	urban	PM2.5	0.4	0.1	1.3	0.0	2.6	0.1	2.3	8.2	0.3	(Chansuebsri <i>et al.</i> , 2022)
	wet	urban	PM2.5		0.8	1.0		2.4	0.2	2.3	9.1	0.3	
	cold dry	urban	PM2.5		0.0	1.8		2.3	0.1	3.3	8.0	0.4	
	hot dry	Rural near source	PM2.5	0.1	0.2	1.2		1.9	0.1	2.4	6.1	0.4	
	wet	Rural near source	PM2.5		0.8	0.8		2.1	0.3	2.1	8.2	0.3	
	cold dry	Rural near source	PM2.5	0.1	0.5	1.1		1.5	0.4	1.1	5.7	0.2	
Phimai	dry	Rural	PM10	1.2	1.1	1.7	0.5	6.7	0.2	3.0	19.4	0.2	
Bangkok	dry	traffic	PM2.5					0.7	1.2	1.3	2.7	0.5	(Chuersuwan <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
	dry	traffic	PM10					0.6	1.9	1.3	2.1	0.6	
Phuket	annual	suburban	PM2.5	2.3	3.5	0.7	0.3	0.7	1.3	1.3	5.5	0.2	(Choochuay <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Vietnam													
Tamdao	wet	Rural	PM2.5	0.4	0.3	1.6	0.0	14.0	0.1	0.4	30.4	0.0	(Co <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
	dry	Rural	PM2.5	0.6	1.0	2.2	0.2	7.7	0.8	3.1	19.4	0.2	
Hanoi	dry	Urban	PM2.5		0.6	1.2		10.1		8.9	21.8	0.4	(Hai and Kim Oanh, 2013)
	dry	Urban	PM10-2.5		2.5	0.3		3.1		5.9	4.1	1.4	
Mong Duong	wet	indust	PM2.5	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.2	2.3	0.2	0.3	7.1	0.0	(Hang and Kim Oanh, 2014)
	dry		PM2.5	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.2	4.5	0.5	2.7	11.8	0.2	
Cam Hai	wet	Rural	PM2.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	4.1	0.2	0.5	10.0	0.1	
	dry		PM2.5	0.4	0.2	1.1	0.0	6.2	0.4	2.8	17.4	0.2	
Sonla	BBSX	Rural	PM2.5	0.2	0.2	1.4		5.2	0.2	4.0	14.4	0.3	(Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	BBIC	Rural	PM2.5	0.2	0.0	1.7		2.8	0.2	1.7	7.9	0.2	
	BBSS	Rural	PM2.5	0.3	0.0	1.3		5.1	0.3	1.9	15.1	0.1	
Malaysia													
Bangi, Selangor	haze	suburban	PM2.5	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.6		0.2	1.3	3.9	0.3	(Jaafar <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Kuala Lumpur	haze	suburban	PM2.5	1.1	0.8	1.2	0.3	3.0	0.1	1.5	10.8	0.1	(Khan <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Kuala Lumpur	wet	urban	PM2.5	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.3	2.0	0.2	3.7	8.3	0.5	(Khan <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Bachok	wet	Rural	PM2.5	0.6	7.0	2.4	0.6	10.4	4.1	3.8	66.1	0.1	(Farren <i>et al.</i> , 2019)

Table S2-1 (continue)

Country	Season	Site	PM size	Ca ²⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Mg ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	Cl ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻ /SO ₄ ²⁻	Ref
Singapore													
Singapore	non	urban	PM2.5			1.3					19.5		(Tham <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	haze	urban	PM2.5			1.1					13.2		
	episodic	urban	PM2.5			0.5					2.9		
Singapore	haze	urban	PM2.5	0.9	0.4	0.9		5.4	0.6	4.1	11.2	0.4	(Hapsari Budisulistiorini <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Singapore	haze	urban	PM2.5		2.8	2.8		5.0	4.1	3.9	20.9	0.2	(See <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
	non	urban	PM2.5		4.1	2.2		4.9	3.2	3.1	22.8	0.1	
Indonesia													
Riau	haze	Near source	PM2.5					1.0	1.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	(Fujii <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	haze	background	PM2.5					0.8	0.1	0.7	1.6	0.4	
Avg SEA				0.6	1.6	1.4	0.3	3.8	0.8	2.7	11.4	0.4	

Table S2-2 Contribution of WSIs (%) to PM mass in other countries

Country	Season	Site	PM size	Ca ²⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Mg ²⁺	NH4 ⁺	Cl ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻ /SO ₄ ²⁻	Ref
China													
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	0.8	0.4	1.0	0.3	14.5	0.8	18.4	40.3	0.5	(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	2.4	0.7	1.3	0.4	10.5	0.7	14.4	26.4	0.5	
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.1	12.4	2.1	24.1	19.7	1.2	(Shen <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
	haze	urban	PM2.5	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.1	13.3	0.6	16.2	24.5	0.7	
	haze	urban	PM2.5	0.4	0.3	1.0	0.1	17.2	2.3	17.4	26.1	0.7	
	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	2.9	0.5	2.0	0.2	6.3	1.4	8.2	11.2	0.7	
Beijing	Heavy-polluted	urban	PM2.5	0.2	0.5	1.2		12.5	3.4	14.9	18.6	0.8	(Tao <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
	Clean	urban	PM2.5	0.9	0.7	1.1		8.4	4.8	10.7	12.3	0.9	
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5					9.5		16.2	19.5	0.8	(Ho <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Xi'an	haze	urban	PM2.5					11.0		22.4	20.6	1.1	
Xiamen	haze	urban	PM2.5					11.6		22.7	31.6	0.7	
Hong Kong	haze	urban	PM2.5					0.6		4.6	11.0	0.4	
Beijing	annual	urban	PM2.5										(Ji <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	0.3	0.6	2.2	0.4	8.8	5.0	18.2	14.6	1.2	(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	1.2	0.9	0.3	0.6	0.1	6.2	26.9	14.0	1.9	
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	2.1	1.5	1.9	0.3	8.1	4.9	4.3	9.5	0.4	(Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Beijing	haze	urban	PM2.5	0.3	0.3	0.7		8.3	2.2	15.7	11.3	1.4	(Shao <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	0.6	0.3	0.5		4.6	1.3	8.0	5.8	1.4	
	avg	urban	PM2.5	0.3	0.3	0.7		7.4	2.0	14.3	10.0	1.4	
Nanjing	annual	industrial	PM2.5	1.2	0.9	0.5		3.8	2.6	9.7	20.9	0.5	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	annual	urban	PM2.5	1.1	0.9	0.6		4.9	2.1	12.4	18.3	0.7	
Taiyuan	annual	urban	PM2.5	1.3	2.4	0.8	0.4	4.9	3.1	5.6	14.3	0.4	(He <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Shenzhen	winter	suburban	PM2.5	3.4	4.8			9.7	2.5	8.1	20.0	0.4	(Dai <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
	summer	suburban	PM2.5	4.0	9.3			4.2	3.4	6.1	11.4	0.5	
Handan 2013	winter	urban	PM2.5	0.3		1.0		9.0	4.6	10.0	15.0	0.7	(Zhao <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	Summer	urban	PM2.5	0.4		0.7		7.5	1.1	9.3	22.4	0.4	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	0.6		0.9		8.4	3.7	7.4	16.5	0.5	
Handan 2017	winter	urban	PM2.5	0.5		1.5		10.4	6.6	16.3	16.0	1.0	
	summer	urban	PM2.5	0.5		0.5		9.6	1.1	7.7	20.7	0.4	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	0.6		0.9		9.9	0.9	15.3	15.1	1.0	
Lanzhou	winter	residential	PM2.5	1.8	0.7	1.0		5.6	4.3	6.0	9.8	0.6	(Tan <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
	summer	residential	PM2.5	3.8	0.8	1.1		5.7	2.0	5.5	12.6	0.4	
	annual	residential	PM2.5	2.3	0.8	1.0		5.6	3.8	5.9	10.4	0.6	
Ningdong (Yinglite)	winter	industrial	PM2.5	4.1	0.6	0.4		2.9	1.1	4.7	5.9	0.8	(Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	summer	industrial	PM2.5	6.5	0.4	0.2		1.0	0.3	1.6	5.7	0.3	

Table S2-2 (continue)

Country	Season	Site	PM size	Ca ²⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Mg ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	Cl ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻ /SO ₄ ²⁻	Ref
Zhengzhou	haze	urban	PM2.5					11.4		32.6	10.3	3.2	(Dong <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
	sandy haze	urban	PM2.5					10.3		29.9	13.7	2.2	
	non-haze	urban	PM2.5					13.0		26.9	12.7	2.1	
Cixi	haze	mixed	PM2.5					0.8	0.3	1.1	0.8	1.3	(Lu <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
	non-haze	mixed	PM2.5					0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.8	
Xi'an	spring	urban	PM2.5	2.2	1.1	0.6	0.2	4.9	2.0	10.6	12.1	0.9	(Niu <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	summer	urban	PM2.5	1.9	0.9	0.6	0.1	6.7	0.6	9.1	21.6	0.4	
	autumn	urban	PM2.5	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.1	4.9	2.1	9.5	10.8	0.9	
	winter	urban	PM2.5	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.2	6.5	3.2	11.1	12.0	0.9	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	1.5	1.0	0.8	0.2	5.7	2.2	10.1	13.1	0.8	
Zhengzhou	heavy polluted	urban	PM2.5					8.3		11.5	15.1	0.8	(Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	other days	urban	PM2.5					8.9		12.1	16.6	0.7	
Chengdu	non-haze	urban	PM2.5	1.6	0.4	2.3		4.2	1.9	8.6	13.3	0.6	(Tao <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
	dust	urban	PM2.5	3.0	0.7	0.8		0.3	1.2	2.4	5.2	0.5	
	haze	urban	PM2.5	1.3	0.2	4.1		4.7	4.2	7.9	11.8	0.7	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	1.8	0.4	2.3	0.0	3.5	2.0	7.3	11.6	0.6	
Avg China				1.6	1.1	1.1	0.2	7.2	2.5	12.0	14.9	0.9	
India													
Delhi	summer	traffic	PM2.5		0.7	1.5		8.5	3.7	7.5	17.1	0.4	(Pant <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
	winter	traffic	PM2.5		0.2	1.4		12.4	10.0	11.9	9.4	1.3	
Delhi	annual	urban	PM2.5		3.6	3.9		8.7	5.8	8.6	10.4	0.8	(Sharma and Mandal, 2017)
	winter	urban	PM2.5		2.5	2.9		8.1	5.3	9.0	8.2	1.1	
	summer	urban	PM2.5		4.8	5.3		10.1	6.6	7.1	12.3	0.6	
Delhi	summer	urban	PM2.5	3.9	4.1	3.9	0.5	8.5	8.3	4.5	10.0	0.4	(Saxena <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
	monsoon	urban	PM2.5	5.1	17.5	5.9	2.5	6.9	15.6	8.6	23.8	0.4	
	winter	urban	PM2.5	1.6	3.2	2.8	0.5	6.8	6.6	8.7	8.5	1.0	
	spring	urban	PM2.5	2.2	3.6	3.0	0.3	8.9	6.8	7.4	10.2	0.7	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	2.5	5.1	3.4	0.8	8.2	8.8	8.8	11.7	0.8	
Delhi	winter	urban	PM2.5	1.6	3.1	2.8	0.2	9.1	6.0	11.0	10.2	1.1	(Jain <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
	summer	urban	PM2.5	3.1	3.7	3.6	0.4	6.5	6.9	6.3	10.1	0.6	
	monsoon	urban	PM2.5	4.2	5.9	3.9	0.9	4.4	6.0	5.1	14.2	0.4	
	annual	urban	PM2.5	2.3	3.7	3.3	0.4	7.5	6.3	8.9	10.6	0.8	
Ahmedabad	winter	urban	PM2.5	0.9	0.8	1.6	0.1	5.8	0.0	2.2	17.4	0.1	(Rengarajan <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
Van Vihar National Park	annual		PM2.5	1.8	3.6	2.2	0.4	4.7	3.3	6.9	7.6	0.9	(Kumar and Sunder Raman, 2020)
Avg India				2.6	4.1	3.2	0.6	7.8	6.6	7.6	12.0	0.7	

Table S2-2 (continue)

Country	Season	Site	PM size	Ca ²⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Mg ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	Cl ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻ /SO ₄ ²⁻	Ref
UK													
Birmingham	summer 2-18 June 2011	urban background	PM2.5	0.4	4.4			11.8	4.2	10.9	24.5	0.4	(Taiwo, 2016)
			PM2.5-10	1.9	5.7			17.0	15.0	26.8	3.9	6.9	
London	Winter	urban	PM2.5			0.4							(Crilley <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Detling, Kent	winter	rural	PM2.5										
Harwell, Oxfordshire	winter	rural	PM2.5			0.5							
London	winter	urban	PM2.5						4.3	22.3	11.5	1.9	(Yin <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Harwell, Oxfordshire	winter	rural	PM2.5						4.6	29.1	15.5	1.9	
Europe													
Barcelona	annual	urban back	PM2.5		1.6			5.4		5.4	15.1	0.4	(Salameh <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Marseille	annual	urban back	PM2.5		0.5	0.5		8.7		8.7	11.2	0.8	
Genoa	annual	urban back	PM2.5		0.7	0.4		3.6		3.6	25.7	0.1	
Thessaloniki	annual	urban back	PM2.5		0.5	0.3		5.6		6.5	10.5	0.6	
Paris, France	annual	suburban	PM2.5	0.5	1.1	0.9	0.2	9.9	1.2	19.1	13.8	1.4	(Bressi <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
	annual	urban	PM2.5	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.2	9.5	1.3	19.6	13.5	1.5	
	annual	rural	PM2.5	0.4	1.1	1.0	0.2	9.5	1.3	17.5	15.1	1.2	
America													
Toronto, Canada	Annual	urban	PM2.5		0.4		0.1	9.0	0.3	16.8	18.6	0.9	(Jeong <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Alberta, Canada	annual	urban	PM2.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.6	4.4	0.3	4.4	8.4	0.5	(Bari and Kindzierski, 2016)
Los Angeles, US	annual	urban	PM2.5-10					0.4	4.8	16.2	5.4	3.0	(Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
	annual	rural	PM2.5-10					0.2	2.1	4.8	2.2	2.2	

Table S3-1 Contribution of crustal and trace elements (%) to PM in SEA countries

Country	Season	Site	PM size	Na	K	Mg	Al	Si	Ca	Ti	V	Mn	Fe	Ni	Cu	Zn	Pb	As	Ref
Thailand																			
Bangkok	dry	traffic	PM2.5	2.116	1.420	0.681	2.768		4.319		1.609	0.072	2.072	0.377	0.116	1.130	0.261	0.449	(Chuersuwan <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
	dry	traffic	PM10	1.739	1.119	0.768	2.794		4.431		1.045	0.083	1.970	0.028	0.083	0.777	0.167	0.296	
Chiang Mai	LBB	urban	PM2.5		3.929	1.071	2.679									0.893			(Pani <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	MBB	urban	PM2.5		3.548	1.075	1.828									0.430			
	HBB	urban	PM2.5		3.269	1.538	1.218									0.064			
	EBB	urban	PM2.5		3.139	1.211	1.704					0.045		0.045		0.448			
Chiang Mai	haze	urban	PM2.5		3.545	1.128	1.869					0.021		0.032	0.021	0.494			(Khamkaew <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	haze	rural	PM2.5		3.217	1.020	2.005					0.024		0.036	0.012	0.240			
Vietnam																			
Hanoi	annual	suburban	PM2.5	0.228	1.804		0.415	2.000	0.850	0.057	0.006	0.113	0.730	0.007	0.019	0.902	0.437		(Cohen <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
Mong Duong	wet	indust	PM2.5			0.171	0.143	0.571	1.143	0.029	0.011	0.086	0.857	0.029	0.086	0.286	0.200		(Hang and Kim Oanh, 2014)
	dry		PM2.5			0.167	0.333	1.333	0.833	0.033	0.013	0.050	0.667	0.050	0.033	0.333	0.167		
Cam Hai	wet	Rural	PM2.5			0.011	0.148	0.741	0.370	0.074	0.026	0.074	0.741	0.074	0.011	0.370	0.370		
	dry		PM2.5			0.002	0.189	0.566	0.755	0.038	0.019	0.094	0.377	0.038	0.038	0.377	0.377		
Tamdao, Veit	wet	Rural	PM2.5				1.600	4.800	1.200	0.400		0.160	1.200		0.008	0.400	0.400		(Co <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
	dry	Rural	PM2.5			0.196	1.961	4.902	1.176	0.008	0.196	0.020	0.392	0.004	0.020	0.098	0.039		
Malaysia																			
Kuala Lumpur	haze	suburban	PM2.5		0.656	0.046	0.065				0.007	0.007	0.031	0.004	0.013	0.086	0.025	0.018	(Khan <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Kuala	wet	urban	PM2.5	1.435	0.435	0.391	0.609		0.348		0.024	0.022		0.007	0.038	0.046	0.043	0.005	(Khan <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Kuala lumpur	annual	urban	PM2.5	1.043	1.453	0.447	0.559	1.192	0.484	0.030	0.015	0.022	0.410	0.011	0.063	0.145	0.119	0.034	(Rahman <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
	annual	urban	PM10	1.602	1.825	1.024	3.649	7.566	4.406	0.196	0.022	0.040	1.958	0.018	0.049	0.134	0.098	0.036	
Selangor	haze	urban	PM2.5		1.971		0.400	0.953	0.252	0.029			0.229			0.132	0.084		(Fujii <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Singapore																			
Singapore	haze	urban	PM2.5			0.236	2.683	0.301	1.534	0.034	0.071	0.034	1.242	0.282	0.902	0.753	0.278		(See <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
	non	urban	PM2.5			0.439	2.076	0.443	1.031	0.064	0.124	0.059	1.465	0.544	1.457	1.191	0.300		
Avg SEA				1.360	2.238	0.612	1.441	2.114	1.542	0.083	0.228	0.057	0.956	0.093	0.175	0.442	0.210	0.140	

Table S3-2 Contribution of crustal and trace elements (%) to PM in other countries

Country	Season	Site	PM size	Na	K	Mg	Al	Si	Ca	Ti	V	Mn	Fe	Ni	Cu	Zn	Pb	As	Ref
China																			
Beijing	Haze	urban	PM2.5	0.549	1.037	0.305	0.579		1.037		0.001	0.049	0.671	0.001	0.030	0.395	0.258	0.055	(Shen <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
	Haze	urban	PM2.5	0.976	1.037	0.451	1.116		1.280		0.004	0.051	0.854	0.005	0.024	0.237	0.182	0.020	
	Haze	urban	PM2.5	0.560	1.120	0.184	0.464		1.360		0.007	0.042	0.800	0.006	0.021	0.332	0.236	0.014	
	Non-haze	urban	PM2.5	0.980	1.569	1.333	2.941		3.922		0.006	0.100	2.549	0.005	0.041	0.229	0.176	0.020	
Nanjing	annual	industrial	PM2.5				0.600			0.050		0.051	0.650	0.011	0.048	0.341	0.159	0.009	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
	annual	urban	PM2.5				0.547			0.052		0.052	0.619	0.012	0.053	0.360	0.171	0.009	
Ji'nan, Shangdong		urban	PM2.5				0.644			0.040		0.059	1.030	0.010	0.030	0.347	0.158	0.040	(Zhou <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
		industrial	PM2.5				0.515			0.038		0.085	1.854	0.008	0.031	0.338	0.154	0.046	
Lanzhou	Winter	residential	PM2.5							0.119	0.010	0.178		0.012	0.057	0.412	0.876	0.028	(Tan <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
	summer	residential	PM2.5							0.269	0.011	0.182		0.013	0.069	0.393	0.416	0.029	
	annual	residential	PM2.5							0.153	0.010	0.182		0.012	0.061	0.417	0.796	0.029	
Ningdong (Yinglite)	winter	industrial	PM2.5	0.314	0.333	0.574	2.065		4.832	0.061		0.051	0.988	0.003	0.003	0.051	0.035	0.013	(Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	summer	industrial	PM2.5	0.337	0.516	1.274	4.611		12.434	0.121		0.058	2.027	0.005	0.005	0.058	0.016	0.005	
Zhengzhou	haze	urban	PM2.5		1.094		1.356	1.481	1.663			0.090	1.179	0.002	0.034	0.224	0.078	0.026	(Dong <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
	Sandy haze	urban	PM2.5		1.680		3.569	8.227	2.541			0.083	2.522	0.003	0.014	0.137	0.040	0.014	
	Non-haze	urban	PM2.5		1.700		3.277	5.356	6.617			0.182	3.119	0.004	0.028	0.320	0.060	0.053	
Cixi	Haze	mixed	PM2.5	0.485	0.351	0.152	0.285	0.971	1.036	0.009	0.006	0.025	0.313	0.004	0.021	0.315	0.054		(Lu <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
	Non-haze	mixed	PM2.5	0.674	0.399	0.155	0.517	1.118	0.685	0.013	0.009	0.031	0.541	0.006	0.039	0.373	0.062		
Zhengzhou	Heavy polluted	urban	PM2.5			0.138	0.416					0.033	0.361	0.002	0.014	0.293	0.080	0.010	(Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	Other days	urban	PM2.5			0.364	0.735					0.053	0.730	0.003	0.023	0.415	0.172	0.013	
Chengdu	Non-haze	urban	PM2.5				1.097	0.907	0.898	0.104			1.287						(Tao <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
	Dust	urban	PM2.5				5.361	5.567	3.353	0.363			4.412						
	haze	urban	PM2.5				0.351	0.339	0.300	0.045			0.581						
	annual	urban	PM2.5				1.809	1.712	1.291	0.143			1.794						
Avg China				0.609	0.985	0.493	1.565	2.853	2.883	0.105	0.007	0.082	1.375	0.006	0.032	0.299	0.209	0.024	

Table S3-2 (Continue)[illegible]

Table S3-2 (Continue)

Country	Season	Site	PM size	Na	K	Mg	Al	Si	Ca	Ti	V	Mn	Fe	Ni	Cu	Zn	Pb	As	Ref
Europe																			
Barcelona	annual	urban back	PM2.5		0.688	0.253			0.780		0.032	0.027	0.833	0.016	0.038	0.226	0.032		(Salameh <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Marseille	annual	urban back	PM2.5		1.148	0.735			5.571		0.031	0.056	1.352	0.020	0.087	0.122	0.041		
Genoa	annual	urban back	PM2.5		0.714	0.257			0.786		0.100	0.029	1.014	0.050	0.043	0.136	0.043		
Thessaloniki	annual	urban back	PM2.5		1.164	1.186			5.495		0.030	0.245	2.441	0.070	0.226	0.207	0.110		
America																			
Toronto, Canada	Annual	urban	PM2.5		0.418		0.201	0.490	0.552	0.025	0.002	0.017	0.543	0.002	0.025	0.090	0.021	0.005	(Jeong <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Alberta, Canada	annual	urban	PM2.5				0.045		0.000	0.004	0.001	0.034	0.176	0.005	0.021	0.080	0.005	0.002	(Bari and Kindzierski, 2016)
Los Angeles, US	annual	urban	PM2.5-10			1.332	2.550		2.855	0.250			4.239		0.199	0.078			(Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
	annual	rural	PM2.5-10			0.910	3.574		2.273	0.321			3.275		0.229	0.055			

References

- Bari, M.A., Kindzierski, W.B., 2016. Eight-year (2007–2014) trends in ambient fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and its chemical components in the Capital Region of Alberta, Canada. *Environ. Int.* 91, 122–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.02.033>
- Bressi, M., Sciare, J., Gherzi, V., Bonnaire, N., Nicolas, J.B., Petit, J.E., Moukhtar, S., Rosso, A., Mihalopoulos, N., Féron, A., 2013. A one-year comprehensive chemical characterisation of fine aerosol (PM_{2.5}) at urban, suburban and rural background sites in the region of Paris (France). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 7825–7844. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-7825-2013>
- Chansuebsri, S., Kraisitnitikul, P., Wiriya, W., Chantara, S., 2022. Fresh and aged PM_{2.5} and their ion composition in rural and urban atmospheres of Northern Thailand in relation to source identification. *Chemosphere* 286, 131803. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131803>
- Cheung, K., Daher, N., Kam, W., Shafer, M.M., Ning, Z., Schauer, J.J., Sioutas, C., 2011. Spatial and temporal variation of chemical composition and mass closure of ambient coarse particulate matter (PM_{10-2.5}) in the Los Angeles area. *Atmos. Environ.* 45, 2651–2662. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.02.066>
- Choochuay, C., Pongpiachan, S., Tipmanee, D., Deelaman, W., Suttinun, O., Wang, Q., Xing, L., Li, G., Han, Y., Palakun, J., Poshyachinda, S., Aukkaravittayapun, S., Surapipith, V., Cao, J., 2020. Long-range transboundary atmospheric transport of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, carbonaceous compositions, and water-soluble ionic species in southern thailand. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 20, 1591–1606. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2020.03.0120>
- Chuang, M.T., Chou, C.C.K., Sopajaree, K., Lin, N.H., Wang, J.L., Sheu, G.R., Chang, Y.J., Lee, C. Te, 2013. Characterization of aerosol chemical properties from near-source biomass burning in the northern Indochina during 7-SEAS/Dongsha experiment. *Atmos. Environ.* 78, 72–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.056>
- Chuersuwan, N., Nimrat, S., Lekphet, S., Kerdumrai, T., 2008. Levels and major sources of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in Bangkok Metropolitan Region. *Environ. Int.* 34, 671–677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2007.12.018>
- Co, H.X., Dung, N.T., Oanh, N.T.K., Hang, N.T., Phuc, N.H., Le, H.A., 2014. Levels and composition of ambient particulate matter at a mountainous rural site in northern Vietnam. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 14, 1917–1928. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2013.09.0300>
- Cohen, D.D., Crawford, J., Stelcer, E., Bac, V.T., 2010. Characterisation and source apportionment of fine particulate sources at Hanoi from 2001 to 2008. *Atmos. Environ.* 44, 320–328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.10.037>
- Crilley, L.R., Bloss, W.J., Yin, J., Beddows, D.C.S., Harrison, R.M., Allan, J.D., Young, D.E., Flynn, M., Williams, P., Zotter, P., Prevot, A.S.H., Heal, M.R., Barlow, J.F., Halios, C.H., Lee, J.D., Szidat, S., Mohr, C., 2015. Sources and contributions of wood smoke during winter in London: Assessing local and regional influences. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 15, 3149–3171. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-3149-2015>
- Dai, W., Gao, J., Cao, G., Ouyang, F., 2013. Chemical composition and source identification of PM_{2.5} in the suburb of Shenzhen, China. *Atmos. Res.* 122, 391–400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.12.004>
- Dong, Z., Su, F., Zhang, Z., Wang, S., 2020. Observation of chemical components of PM_{2.5} and secondary inorganic aerosol formation during haze and sandy haze days in Zhengzhou, China. *J. Environ. Sci. (China)* 88, 316–325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2019.09.016>

- Farren, N.J., Dunmore, R.E., Mead, M.I., Nadzir, M.S.M., Samah, A.A., Phang, S.M., Bandy, B.J., Sturges, W.T., Hamilton, J.F., 2019. Chemical characterisation of water-soluble ions in atmospheric particulate matter on the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 19, 1537–1553. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-1537-2019>
- Fujii, Y., Huboyo, H.S., Tohno, S., Okuda, T., Syafrudin, 2019. Chemical speciation of water-soluble ionic components in PM_{2.5} derived from peatland fires in Sumatra Island. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 10, 1260–1266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2019.02.009>
- Fujii, Y., Mahmud, M., Tohno, S., Okuda, T., Mizohata, A., 2016. A case study of PM_{2.5} characterization in Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia during the southwest monsoon season. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 16, 2685–2691. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2015.04.0277>
- Hai, C.D., Kim Oanh, N.T., 2013. Effects of local, regional meteorology and emission sources on mass and compositions of particulate matter in Hanoi. *Atmos. Environ.* 78, 105–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.006>
- Hang, N.T., Kim Oanh, N.T., 2014. Chemical characterization and sources apportionment of fine particulate pollution in a mining town of Vietnam. *Atmos. Res.* 145–146, 214–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.04.009>
- Hapsari Budisulistiorini, S., Riva, M., Williams, M., Miyakawa, T., Chen, J., Itoh, M., Surratt, J.D., Kuwata, M., 2018. Dominant contribution of oxygenated organic aerosol to haze particles from real-time observation in Singapore during an Indonesian wildfire event in 2015. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 18, 16481–16498. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16481-2018>
- He, Q., Yan, Y., Guo, L., Zhang, Y., Zhang, G., Wang, X., 2017. Characterization and source analysis of water-soluble inorganic ionic species in PM_{2.5} in Taiyuan city, China. *Atmos. Res.* 184, 48–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.10.008>
- Ho, K., Sai, S., Ho, H., Huang, R., Chuang, H., Cao, J., Han, Y., Lui, K., Ning, Z., Chuang, K., Cheng, T., Lee, S., Hu, D., Wang, B., Zhang, R., 2016. Chemical composition and bioreactivity of PM_{2.5} during 2013 haze events in China 126, 162–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.055>
- Jaafar, S.A., Latif, M.T., Razak, I.S., Wahid, N.B.A., Khan, M.F., Srithawirat, T., 2018. Composition of carbohydrates, surfactants, major elements and anions in PM_{2.5} during the 2013 Southeast Asia high pollution episode in Malaysia. *Particuology* 37, 119–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2017.04.012>
- Jain, S., Sharma, S.K., Vijayan, N., Mandal, T.K., 2020. Seasonal characteristics of aerosols (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) and their source apportionment using PMF: A four year study over Delhi, India. *Environ. Pollut.* 262, 114337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114337>
- Jeong, C.H., Traub, A., Huang, A., Hilker, N., Wang, J.M., Herod, D., Dabek-Zlotorzynska, E., Celo, V., Evans, G.J., 2020. Long-term analysis of PM_{2.5} from 2004 to 2017 in Toronto: Composition, sources, and oxidative potential. *Environ. Pollut.* 263, 114652. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114652>
- Ji, D., Zhang, J., He, J., Wang, X., Pang, B., Liu, Z., Wang, L., Wang, Y., 2016. Characteristics of atmospheric organic and elemental carbon aerosols in urban Beijing, China. *Atmos. Environ.* 125, 293–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.020>
- Jiang, N., Li, Q., Su, F., Wang, Q., Yu, X., Kang, P., Zhang, R., Tang, X., 2018. Chemical characteristics and source apportionment of PM_{2.5} between heavily polluted days and other days in Zhengzhou, China. *J. Environ. Sci. (China)* 66, 188–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.05.006>

- Khamkaew, C., Chantara, S., Janta, R., Pani, S.K., Prapamontol, T., Kawichai, S., Wiriya, W., Lin, N.H., 2016. Investigation of biomass burning chemical components over Northern Southeast Asia during 7-SEAS/BASELine 2014 campaign. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 16, 2655–2670. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2016.03.0105>
- Khan, M.F., Hwa, S.W., Hou, L.C., Mustaffa, N.I.H., Amil, N., Mohamad, N., Sahani, M., Jaafar, S.A., Nadzir, M.S.M., Latif, M.T., 2017. Influences of inorganic and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on the sources of PM_{2.5} in the Southeast Asian urban sites. *Air Qual. Atmos. Heal.* 10, 999–1013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-017-0489-5>
- Khan, M.F., Sulong, N.A., Latif, M.T., Nadzir, M.S.M., Amil, N., Hussain, D.F.M., Lee, V., Hosaini, P.N., Shaharom, S., Yusoff, N.A.Y.M., Hoque, H.M.S., Chung, J.X., Sahani, M., Tahir, N.M., Juneng, L., Maulud, K.N.A., Abdullah, S.M.S., Fujii, Y., Tohno, S., Mizohata, A., 2016. Comprehensive assessment of PM_{2.5} physicochemical properties during the Southeast Asia dry season (southwest monsoon). *J. Geophys. Res.* 121, 14589–14611. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025894>
- Kumar, S., Sunder Raman, R., 2020. Source apportionment of fine particulate matter over a National Park in Central India. *Sci. Total Environ.* 720, 137511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137511>
- Lee, C. Te, Ram, S.S., Nguyen, D.L., Chou, C.C.K., Chang, S.Y., Lin, N.H., Chang, S.C., Hsiao, T.C., Sheu, G.R., Ou-Yang, C.F., Chi, K.H., Wang, S.H., Wu, X.C., 2016. Aerosol chemical profile of near-source biomass burning smoke in sonla, vietnam during 7-SEAS campaigns in 2012 and 2013. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 16, 2603–2617. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2015.07.0465>
- Li, C., Tsay, S.C., Hsu, N.C., Kim, J.Y., Howell, S.G., Huebert, B.J., Ji, Q., Jeong, M.J., Wang, S.H., Hansell, R.A., Bell, S.W., 2013. Characteristics and composition of atmospheric aerosols in Phimai, central Thailand during BASE-ASIA. *Atmos. Environ.* 78, 60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.04.003>
- Li, H., Yang, M., Li, F., Wang, J., Sun, Y., Wang, C., 2016. Chemical characterization and source apportionment of PM_{2.5} aerosols in a megacity of Southeast China. *Atmos. Res.* 181, 288–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.07.005>
- Liang, X., Huang, T., Lin, S., Wang, J., Mo, J., Gao, H., Wang, Z., Li, J., Lian, L., Ma, J., 2019. Chemical composition and source apportionment of PM₁ and PM_{2.5} in a national coal chemical industrial base of the Golden Energy Triangle, Northwest China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 659, 188–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.335>
- Lu, H., Zhu, Z., Wang, S., 2020. A full-scale analysis of chemical characteristics of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ during haze and non-haze episodes in Cixi city, China. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 11, 1000–1008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2020.02.014>
- Mandal, P., Sarkar, R., Mandal, A., Saud, T., 2014. Seasonal variation and sources of aerosol pollution in Delhi , India 529–534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-014-0479-x>
- Niu, X., Cao, J., Shen, Z., Ho, S.S.H., Tie, X., Zhao, S., Xu, H., Zhang, T., Huang, R., 2016. PM_{2.5} from the Guanzhong Plain: Chemical composition and implications for emission reductions. *Atmos. Environ.* 147, 458–469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.10.029>
- Pani, S.K., Chantara, S., Khamkaew, C., Lee, C. Te, Lin, N.H., 2019. Biomass burning in the northern peninsular Southeast Asia: Aerosol chemical profile and potential exposure. *Atmos. Res.* 224, 180–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.03.031>
- Pani, S.K., Lin, N.H., Chantara, S., Wang, S.H., Khamkaew, C., Prapamontol, T., Janjai, S., 2018. Radiative

response of biomass-burning aerosols over an urban atmosphere in northern peninsular Southeast Asia. *Sci. Total Environ.* 633, 892–911. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.204>

- Pant, P., Shi, Z., Pope, F.D., Harrison, R.M., 2017. Characterization of Traffic-Related Particulate Matter Emissions in a Road Tunnel in Birmingham , UK : Trace Metals and Organic Molecular Markers 117–130. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2016.01.0040>
- Pant, P., Shukla, A., Kohl, S.D., Chow, J.C., Watson, J.G., Harrison, R.M., 2015. Characterization of ambient PM_{2.5} at a pollution hotspot in New Delhi , India and inference of sources. *Atmos. Environ.* 109, 178–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.074>
- Pipal, A.S., Satsangi, P.G., 2015. Study of carbonaceous species , morphology and sources of fine (PM_{2.5}) and coarse (PM₁₀) particles along with their climatic nature in India. *Atmos. Res.* 154, 103–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.11.007>
- Police, S., Kumar, S., Tiwari, M., Girish, G., 2018. Particuology Chemical composition and source apportionment of PM_{2.5} and PM_{2.5} – 10 in Trombay (Mumbai , India), a coastal industrial area. *Particuology* 37, 143–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2017.09.006>
- Rahman, S.A., Hamzah, M.S., Wood, A.K., Elias, M.S., Salim, N.A.A., Sanuri, E., 2011. Sources apportionment of fine and coarse aerosol in Klang Valley, Kuala Lumpur using positive matrix factorization. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 2, 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.5094/APR.2011.025>
- Rengarajan, R., Sudheer, A.K., Sarin, M.M., 2011. Wintertime PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ carbonaceous and inorganic constituents from urban site in western India. *Atmos. Res.* 102, 420–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.09.005>
- Salameh, D., Detournay, A., Pey, J., Pérez, N., Liguori, F., Saraga, D., Bove, M.C., Brotto, P., Cassola, F., Massabò, D., Latella, A., Pillon, S., Formenton, G., Patti, S., Armengaud, A., Piga, D., Jaffrezo, J.L., Bartzis, J., Tolis, E., Prati, P., Querol, X., Wortham, H., Marchand, N., 2015. PM_{2.5} chemical composition in five European Mediterranean cities: A 1-year study. *Atmos. Res.* 155, 102–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.12.001>
- Santoso, M., Lestiani, D.D., Mukhtar, R., Hamonangan, E., Syafrul, H., Markwitz, A., Hopke, P.K., 2011. Preliminary study of the sources of ambient air pollution in Serpong, Indonesia. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 2, 190–196. <https://doi.org/10.5094/APR.2011.024>
- Saxena, M., Sharma, A., Sen, A., Saxena, P., Saraswati, Mandal, T.K., Sharma, S.K., Sharma, C., 2017. Water soluble inorganic species of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} at an urban site of Delhi, India: Seasonal variability and sources. *Atmos. Res.* 184, 112–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.10.005>
- See, S.W., Balasubramanian, R., Wang, W., 2006. A study of the physical, chemical, and optical properties of ambient aerosol particles in Southeast Asia during hazy and nonhazy days. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 111, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006180>
- Shao, P., Tian, H., Sun, Y., Liu, H., Wu, B., Liu, S., Liu, X., Wu, Y., Liang, W., Wang, Y., Gao, J., Xue, Y., Bai, X., Liu, W., Lin, S., Hu, G., 2018. Characterizing remarkable changes of severe haze events and chemical compositions in multi-size airborne particles (PM₁, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) from January 2013 to 2016–2017 winter in Beijing, China. *Atmos. Environ.* 189, 133–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.06.038>
- Sharma, S.K., Mandal, T.K., 2017. Urban Climate Chemical composition of fine mode particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in an urban area of Delhi , India and its source apportionment. *Urban Clim.* 21, 106–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2017.05.009>

- Shen, R., Schäfer, K., Shao, L., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Wang, Y., Li, F., Liu, Z., Emeis, S., Schmid, H.P., 2017. Chemical characteristics of PM_{2.5} during haze episodes in spring 2013 in Beijing. *Urban Clim.* 22, 51–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2016.01.003>
- Shridhar, V., Khillare, P.S., Agarwal, T., Ray, S., 2010. Metallic species in ambient particulate matter at rural and urban location of Delhi 175, 600–607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.10.047>
- Taiwo, A.M., 2016. Source Apportionment of Urban Background Particulate Matter in Birmingham , United Kingdom Using a Mass Closure Model 2015, 1244–1252. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2015.09.0537>
- Tan, J., Zhang, L., Zhou, X., Duan, J., Li, Y., Hu, J., He, K., 2017. Chemical characteristics and source apportionment of PM_{2.5} in Lanzhou, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 601–602, 1743–1752. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.050>
- Tao, J., Zhang, L., Engling, G., Zhang, R., Yang, Y., Cao, J., Zhu, C., Wang, Q., Luo, L., 2013. Chemical composition of PM_{2.5} in an urban environment in Chengdu, China: Importance of springtime dust storms and biomass burning. *Atmos. Res.* 122, 270–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.11.004>
- Tao, J., Zhang, L., Gao, J., Wang, H., Chai, F., Wang, S., 2015. Aerosol chemical composition and light scattering during a winter season in Beijing. *Atmos. Environ.* 110, 36–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.037>
- Tham, J., Sarkar, S., Jia, S., Reid, J.S., Mishra, S., Sudiana, I.M., Swarup, S., Ong, C.N., Yu, L.E., 2019. Impacts of peat-forest smoke on urban PM_{2.5} in the Maritime Continent during 2012–2015: Carbonaceous profiles and indicators. *Environ. Pollut.* 248, 496–505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.02.049>
- Thepnuan, D., Chantara, S., Lee, C. Te, Lin, N.H., Tsai, Y.I., 2019. Molecular markers for biomass burning associated with the characterization of PM 2.5 and component sources during dry season haze episodes in Upper South East Asia. *Sci. Total Environ.* 658, 708–722. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.201>
- Thuy, N.T.T., Dung, N.T., Sekiguchi, K., Thuy, L.B., Hien, N.T.T., Yamaguchi, R., 2018. Mass concentrations and carbonaceous compositions of pm_{0.1}, pm_{2.5}, and pm₁₀ at urban locations in hanoi, vietnam. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 18, 1591–1605. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2017.11.0502>
- Xu, X., Zhang, H., Chen, J., Li, Q., Wang, X., Wang, W., Zhang, Q., Xue, L., Ding, A., Mellouki, A., 2018. Six sources mainly contributing to the haze episodes and health risk assessment of PM_{2.5} at Beijing suburb in winter 2016. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 166, 146–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.09.069>
- Yin, J., Cumberland, S.A., Harrison, R.M., Allan, J., Young, D.E., Williams, P.I., Coe, H., 2015. Receptor modelling of fine particles in southern England using CMB including comparison with AMS-PMF factors. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 15, 2139–2158. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2139-2015>
- Zhang, R., Sun, X., Shi, A., Huang, Y., Yan, J., Nie, T., Yan, X., Li, X., 2018. Secondary inorganic aerosols formation during haze episodes at an urban site in Beijing, China. *Atmos. Environ.* 177, 275–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.12.031>
- Zhang, Yang, Huang, W., Cai, T., Fang, D., Wang, Y., Song, J., Hu, M., Zhang, Yuanxun, 2016. Concentrations and chemical compositions of fine particles (PM_{2.5}) during haze and non-haze days in Beijing. *Atmos. Res.* 174–175, 62–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.02.003>
- Zhao, L., Wang, L., Tan, J., Duan, J., Ma, X., Zhang, C., Ji, S., Qi, M., Lu, X.H., Wang, Y., Wang, Q., Xu, R., 2019. Changes of chemical composition and source apportionment of PM_{2.5} during 2013–2017 in urban

Handan, China. *Atmos. Environ.* 206, 119–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.02.034>

Zhou, S., Yuan, Q., Li, W., Lu, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., 2014. Trace metals in atmospheric fine particles in one industrial urban city: Spatial variations, sources, and health implications. *J. Environ. Sci. (China)* 26, 205–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(13\)60399-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(13)60399-X)