Faecal microbiota transplantation: is it the future for pig production?
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Abstract. Piglet mortality is a major issue for the pork industry globally and until recently, the main method for improving growth performance and reducing disease in commercial practice is centred on anti-microbial use. Antibiotic resistance is a global concern and, as such, animal production industries are seeking alternatives to antibiotics. Different approaches under investigation include but are not limited to management of the intestinal microbial environment. The gastrointestinal microbiota is involved in a myriad of processes that impact host health and well-being. Recently, interest in maintaining a healthy microbiome in order to improve herd health is increasing. In this article, we focus on faecal microbiota transplantation as a method for manipulating and improving the gastrointestinal microbiota in pigs in order to improve health and performance.

Currently, 11–15% of all piglets born alive die prior to weaning within the pork industry globally\(^1\)–\(^3\). This represents a major welfare concern and economic loss to industry. To date, much research has gone into reducing this loss but with varied success. The current management methods for reducing piglet mortality caused by sickness, such as diarrhoea, and improving growth performance in weaned pigs, is the administration of antibiotics, with their use often being both therapeutic and prophylactic. Organisations such as the World Health Organization, the US Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control have identified antibiotic resistance as a global concern, as what were once common treatable infections are now becoming life threatening\(^4\). As such, alternatives to antibiotics need to be explored.

The intestinal tract houses a community of microorganisms that has a mutualistic relationship with the host, known as the enteric microbiome\(^5\). These microorganisms include bacteria, fungi, archaea, protozoa and viruses\(^6\)–\(^8\). The enteric microbiome is involved in a myriad of processes, some of which include immune system maintenance and development, intestinal barrier function, nutrient metabolism and competitive exclusion of pathogens\(^8\)–\(^10\). While antibiotics are effective in pathogen removal, they also impact the commensal microbiome\(^11\). If a healthy microbiome is maintained, the need for therapeutic interventions such as antibiotic administration will be reduced as the animal will be better equipped to cope with external stressors. This is where the interest surrounding methods for influencing the microbiome, through management such as pre- and pro-biotics and faecal microbiota transfers, has expanded.

In particular, one such method that has demonstrated efficacy in treating *Clostridium difficile* infections in humans is faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT). FMT involves the transfer of faeces from a healthy donor into the gastrointestinal tract of a recipient. This can be done either orally (Figure 1) or rectally via an enema\(^12\). The objective being that the beneficial bacteria within the healthy donors’ faeces will competitively exclude the pathogenic bacteria within the unhealthy or sick recipient, therefore altering the microbiota and in the case of *C. difficile* infections, treating the disease\(^12\) (Figure 2). This method can also be used for altering the microbiota of the recipient to resemble that of the donor for the
objective of creating a phenotypic change. FMT was first described by Ge Hong in 4th century China for the treatment of food poisoning and severe diarrhoea. Today, FMT is commonly known for its efficacy for the treatment of *C. difficile* infections in humans. FMT has demonstrated a success rate of >90% in patients with reoccurring *C. difficile* where antibiotic use has been unsuccessful due to the formation of spores. The use of FMT in other areas of human health and disease prevention are becoming increasingly popular; however, its efficacy in treating other diseases in humans to date is not as high. Although this is the case, investigation into its use within production animals such as pigs is increasing.

Recent studies investigating its use in pig production have shown promising but inconsistent results. Several research groups have demonstrated that the administration of multiple oral FMT to piglets from birth can increase average daily gain, reduce the incidence of diarrhoea and improve intestinal barrier and immune system function. However, in contrast to this, others demonstrated a negative effect on intestinal integrity and growth when piglets received FMT directly or were reared on sows receiving FMT. When examining the human literature, where additional phenotypic traits were transferred with FMT that mimicked the donor, it is evident that the donor used can significantly impact the results observed. As such, particular care needs to be taken when selecting the appropriate donor as the risk of transferring undesirable traits is high. Further, Niederwerder *et al.* found that FMT was an effective preventative effect against porcine circovirus associated disease in pigs co-infected with porcine circovirus type-2 and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. The pigs that received one dose of FMT daily for seven days following weaning from healthy donor sows had a significant reduction in morbidity and mortality and increased antibody levels.

Studies where FMT in pigs was employed as a research model for humans have also found promising results that not only provide evidence for its effects on enteric microbiota modulation but also host metabolism. Wan *et al.* demonstrated that oral FMT from 1 to 6 days of age reduced fatty acid oxidative catabolism and amino acid biosynthesis of piglets. Additionally, Brunse *et al.* observed that rectal FMT from 10-day-old donor pigs to caesarean-derived pre-term piglets changed their colonic carbohydrate metabolism from lactate to propionate production, increasing colonic pH. Rectal FMT also preserved goblet cell mucin stores and reduced the incidence of necrotizing enterocolitis. When comparing routes for FMT, it has been noted that when combining oral and rectal transplantation, piglet mortality increased. Conversely, those that
received only rectal administration did not suffer the same problems\textsuperscript{23}. Further supporting the findings of the previous studies, Geng \textit{et al.} \textsuperscript{24} demonstrated that FMT reduced susceptibility to epithelial injury and modulated tryptophan metabolism in a piglet inflammatory bowel disease model. When taken collectively, it is evident that FMT in pigs not only alters microbial membership but also has effects on host metabolism, intestinal barrier function and the immune system.

Although FMT is a promising prospect it is not commercially applicable in its current form, with most studies administering multiple doses for 1–2 weeks in order to demonstrate an effect and fasting or stomach acid reduction protocols in place to improve post-gastric bacterial survival. Recently, our research group identified that the administration of a single FMT dose at weaning resulted in durable changes to 35 days of age (14 days post FMT) (TL Nowland \textit{et al.}, unpubl. data). To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate changes to the microbiome of piglets after a single dose of FMT. However, whether this is possible in a younger pig and whether it lasts long term is yet to be determined. Additionally, some scepticism surrounds the use of FMT commercially due to the biosecurity risk that it entails as rigorous testing is needed in order to prevent the transfer of diseases\textsuperscript{13}. If FMT is being considered in pigs for the treatment of a disease, then it is likely that the recipients are sick and probably relatively immunocompromised. Thus, the risk from possible transfer of pathogens will be increased. However, a possible refinement to FMT to minimise this risk is suggested by the work of Hu \textit{et al.} \textsuperscript{19}. These authors used a native Chinese pig breed with increased resistance to stress-influenza virus to investigate the potential for FMT to alter the microbiome at different gut locations. Future work needs to determine the identity of specific bacteria involved in this resistance. Such a targeted approach to disease control would have a major advantage over the ‘shot gun’ approach of conventional FMT. It is evident that research surrounding the use of FMT within pig production is still in its infancy. Although, an increased number of studies are investigating the use of FMT as a tool for increasing growth, feed efficiency and treating enteric diseases in pigs, there is still a long way to go before it will be applicable to industry.
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