The future of faecal microbiota transplantation in gastrointestinal illness
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Abstract. The gut microbiome is made up of hundreds of trillions of microorganisms that reside in a state of homeostatic balance within the healthy individual. Next generation sequencing has provided insight into the diversity of these microorganisms that reside within our gastrointestinal tract; despite developments in metabolomics and culturing techniques, the functions of many of these bacteria remain largely elusive. As such, research into the capacity of the gut microbiome to regulate immune homeostasis has revealed the importance of bacteria in human health, with the potential for exploiting these bacteria only now coming into focus.

A number of diseases have been associated with ‘dysbiosis’, a term that denotes shifts in the relative abundance of the microbial communities in individuals with a disease relative to healthy individuals1,2 (Fig. 1). This is generally characterised by a significant reduction in microbial diversity, and frequently a reduction in the abundance of beneficial commensals and an increase in pathogenic or pathobiont-like species. However, the characterisation of dysbiosis based on taxonomy is challenging, given the significant inter-individual variability at the microbial species level and the effect of environmental factors, such as diet and medications, on microbiome composition3. Additionally, the bioactive capacity of bacteria is not always phylogenetically conserved, with closely related microbes displaying variable immunomodulatory activity4.

Faecal microbiota transplantation

Faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) involves the infusion of healthy human donor faeces into the bowel of a patient most commonly via colonoscopy or enema, though oral routes have also been used (Fig. 2a). In administering FMT, the central hypothesis is that the contribution of the dysbiotic microbiome to disease can be overcome through restoration to one that resembles that of a healthy individual. The basis of this hypothesis is supported by increases in the Shannon diversity index that occur in responders versus non-responders following FMT in a number of diseases6 (Fig. 2b).

Due to a plethora of successful research in the area, FMT is currently the recommended treatment method for recurrent Clostridium difficile infection (rCDI), with a cure rate of greater than 80–85%7. For the treatment of rCDI, FMT is effective regardless of the route of delivery, though lower GI delivery has demonstrated higher efficacy and less associated aspiration events; current consensus statements suggest that this should be individualized based on patient and disease characteristics, with careful consideration of the benefits and risks of each route of administration8.

While antibiotics can be successful in eliminating the C. difficile bacterium, they also reduce the overall diversity of protective bacteria in the gut, creating an environment that encourages spore formation, vegetative growth, and toxin production. It is postulated that the reintroduction of a diverse array of bacteria through FMT restores the colonisation resistance potential of the microbiome, in which resident microbes able to out-compete C. difficile, thus preventing recurrent infection9.

FMT has strong clinical evidence of efficacy for the treatment of rCDI, and emerging evidence for the treatment of a range of other pathologies.
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Figure 1. The healthy human gastrointestinal tract is made up of a diverse array of microorganisms, which contribute to the healthy functioning of the host. Healthy barrier function consisting of mucous layers and effective tight junction formation ensure the separation of these bacteria from the immune system. Shifts in the composition of the microbiota due to environmental and genetic factors lead to progression of gastrointestinal disease, which may be characterised by significant shifts in the microbiota associated with reductions in diversity. When coupled with impaired barrier function, this leads to microbial translocation and recruitment and infiltration of immune cells, resulting in the perpetuation of inflammation and chronic illnesses as a result.

Figure 2. (a) Success rates of faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) in clinical trials vary with disease, disease status and route of administration. In rCDI, Kao et al. (2017) found that FMT via oral capsules as not inferior to delivery by colonoscopy for preventing recurrent infection. (b) The Shannon Diversity Index encompasses the species diversity and evenness of bacterial species within a community; an increased index being representative of communities with large numbers of equally represented taxonomically diverse microbes. Studies have found that in a number of diseases, FMT leads to an increase in bacterial diversity and abundance in responders but not in non-responders, with the composition of the microbiome shifting to one that resembles that of the healthy donor.

FMT in inflammatory bowel diseases

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the gastrointestinal tract, of which the two main manifestations are ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD). In 2017, IBD was estimated to effect 6.8 million people globally.
corticosteroids, immunomodulatory drugs, and biologic antibody-based therapies, as well as surgery for resection of the affected area of the gut. Despite the success of these approaches there still remains a therapeutic gap, with 10–30% of IBD patients being recalcitrant to medical treatment.\textsuperscript{12}

The microbiome of IBD patients is notably different to that of healthy individuals. IBD patients maintain significantly reduced taxonomic richness and a shift in abundance of key phyla, with general reductions in the abundance of bacterial families including Erysipelotrichales, Bacteroidales, and Clostridiales and increases in the abundance of Veillonellaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, Pasteurellaceae, and Fusobacteriaceae.\textsuperscript{13} In addition, evidence supports the association of specific bacteria, including adherent-invasive \textit{Escherichia coli}\textsuperscript{14} and \textit{Mycobacterium avium} subspecies \textit{paratuberculosis}\textsuperscript{15} with IBD, although it remains unclear if these organisms directly drive disease pathogenesis or are merely more abundant in the presence of underlying gut inflammation.

This taxonomic dysbiosis is coupled with functional dysbiosis, which has been increasingly explored in the literature. Non-targeted metabolomic analyses have revealed increases in metabolites including primary bile acids, amino acids and sphingolipids, and reductions in tetrapyrrole, triacylglycerol, cholesterol, and long chain fatty acids, in IBD patients when compared with non-IBD controls.\textsuperscript{16} Changes in many of these metabolites are believed to be related to bacterial processes.\textsuperscript{17} Therefore alternative treatment approaches including FMT, aimed at restoring an ‘anti-inflammatory’ gut microbiome, are gaining traction.

**FMT in ulcerative colitis**

UC presents as continuous, superficial inflammation and ulceration of the colon and rectum, in which symptoms occur intermittently as the disease flares and remits. Complications of UC can include toxic megacolon, colorectal cancer, and extraintestinal manifestations in the liver, eyes, skin, and joints.\textsuperscript{18} Research into the use of FMT in UC has been promising despite disease heterogeneity. To date, four double-blinded placebo-controlled RCTs have been conducted in the area,\textsuperscript{19–22} accompanied by a large number of case reports, case series, and cohort studies. These studies generally involve multiple FMT treatments, up to five enemas per week over two months. These studies have demonstrated that FMT is efficacious in inducing remission in mild-moderately active UC, with primary remission rates following FMT reported in a meta-analysis to be approximately 30%,\textsuperscript{26} which is similar to that of many biologic agents studied in UC.

Generally these clinical trials have reported increased microbial diversity and altered composition in UC patients that achieve remission following FMT, when compared with pre-FMT samples or patients that do not respond.\textsuperscript{25} Following a double-blind trial of 81 patients with active UC, Paramsothy et al. (2019) reported that patients in remission after FMT had increased abundance of \textit{Eubacterium hallii} and \textit{Roseburia inulivorans}, which contrasted with the higher abundance of \textit{Fusobacterium goniniformans}, \textit{Sutterella wadsworthensis}, and \textit{Escherichia} spp. in patients that did not respond to FMT. Significant changes in the functional capacity of the microbiome have also been reported to co-occur with the taxonomic shifts following FMT. Paramsothy et al. (2019) also reported that UC patients who achieved remission after FMT had higher levels of short chain fatty acid biosynthesis and secondary bile acids when compared with non-responders, who maintained increased heme and lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis profiles.\textsuperscript{23}

**FMT in Crohn’s disease**

Research is ongoing into the use of FMT in CD, the subtype of IBD that exhibits transmural, discontinuous inflammation throughout the gastrointestinal tract. As of April 2020, five placebo- or sham-controlled RCTs were listed on the U.S. National Library of Medicine Clinical Trials website as being in the pre-recruitment or recruitment phases of study. The results of these studies will be informative; however, there is insufficient evidence at present to support FMT for treatment of CD.\textsuperscript{7}

The efficacy of FMT in IBD appears much lower than in rCDI, potentially reflecting the multifactorial aetiology of IBD, and the likelihood that bacterial species within this dysbiotic microbiome have well developed niches and therefore difficult to displace.\textsuperscript{25} The higher variability of response seen in IBD studies when compared with rCDI is likely reflective of differences in study methodologies examined in IBD, and highlights the potential of donor and/or patient dependent effects.

**FMT in irritable bowel syndrome**

As with IBD, research into the use of FMT in other illnesses associated with gut dysbiosis is emerging. Despite affecting up to 1 in 5 individuals, the aetiology of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is poorly understood and treatment options are limited. Data collected through RCTs has been mixed, and when administration routes were analysed together, FMT did not consistently improve symptoms in patients despite positive results in some individual trials.\textsuperscript{25} Many studies pool subtypes of IBS, which include constipation predominant, diarrhoea predominant, and mixed subtype, despite the potential for different underlying pathophysiology, which may impact the analysis of efficacy in these trials. Available
RCT data appears to show more success in the diarrhoea predominant subtype; however, further studies are required to understand the characteristics of patients.

FMT for extra-intestinal illnesses

Changes in the gut microbiota have also been reported to co-occur with progression of chronic liver disorders such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), alcoholic liver disease, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In NAFLD for example, gut dysbiosis and increased gut permeability are associated with chronic and systemic liver inflammation that can increase the risk for developing HCC; the gut microbiota is therefore a potential target for managing this disease. As a result, clinical trials are currently underway to assess the efficacy of FMT in the context of liver disease (NCT02496390, NCT02469272).

As in other emerging clinical areas, there has been some preliminary success in the use of FMT in recurrent hepatic encephalopathy (HE), a complication of cirrhosis that manifests as an altered mental status. When compared with standard of care treatments, including lactulose and rifaximin treatment, those who received FMT had reduced HE recurrence and liver-related hospitalisation events, as well as improved cognition, demonstrating the promise of FMT in this setting.

Beyond faecal microbiota transplantation

Ongoing study in the emerging area of FMT therapy is clearly needed. Despite its preliminary successes, practical difficulties associated with FMT including donor recruitment and screening, manipulation of faeces, choice of delivery route, and lack of regulation, have encouraged research into the development of more defined therapies to overcome these barriers.

Research on products that contain either single microbial species, or a defined consortia of microbes, in an attempt to harness those bacteria with specific beneficial immunomodulatory capacities, is gaining traction. These products may contain live or dead bacteria, or a deconstructed consortia of microbes, in an attempt to harness those bacterial bioactive compounds. In the case of IBD, these products may be developed to modulate aberrant immune responses or increase mucosal barrier integrity.

Examples of specific bacterial bioactive compounds include the microbial anti-inflammatory molecule (MAM) peptide produced by Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, which inhibits pro-inflammatory signalling in epithelial cells and reduces inflammation in murine models of chemically induced colitis, and polysaccharide A (PSA) from Bacteroides fragilis, which was found to suppress pro-inflammatory cytokines. There is the potential for products such as these to be developed into single formulation ‘probiotics’ that can be taken orally to treat disease.

Probiotics and consortia products also offer the potential for regulated standardised treatments, though thus far these therapies have had limited success and require further trial in a clinical setting. Products such as SER-287 by SeresTherapeutics, and Rebiotix product RBX2660, are currently in the clinical trial phases for IBD and rCDI respectively.

Conclusion

Harnessing the power of the microbiome is an attractive therapeutic option for a number of diseases. However, as treatment approaches shift towards personalisation the use of FMT to manage disease may appear archaic. Nevertheless, its success in the treatment of rCDI and emerging successes in other clinical areas demonstrates its value within the treatment armamentarium. Regulatory pressures and a need for greater safety and reporting are resulting in a preference for FMT products originating from stool banks or commercial facilities. There is likely to be further evolution of microbial directed therapies; however, whether this will be single bacteria or consortia products remains to be seen, and whether these products will be superior to FMT depends on their success in clinical trials in the years to come.
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