# ACCURATE FLUX DENSITIES AT 8.87 GHz OF 195 RADIO SOURCES

By A. J. SHIMMINS\* and J. V. WALL\*

[Manuscript received 6 October 1972]

#### Abstract

Accurate flux densities at 8.87 GHz have been determined with the Parkes 64 m telescope for 195 radio sources, using an on-off integration method. The sources were selected from the Parkes 408 and 2700 MHz catalogues as those having estimated flux densities at 8.87 GHz greater than 0.5 f.u. and relatively small angular sizes. Eighty of the selected sources are identified with QSO's, 40 with galaxies, and one with an HII region, while 74 have not been identified. The estimated accuracy of the flux density is  $\pm 0.02$  f.u. (r.m.s.) due to system noise and  $\pm 3.5\%$  due to other causes. A list of known or newly suspected radio variables in the sample is given.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents accurate flux densities at 8.87 GHz ( $\lambda = 3.4 \text{ cm}$ ) of 195 radio sources. The sources were taken from either the Parkes 408 MHz catalogue (Ekers 1969) or the currently completed parts of the new Parkes 2700 MHz catalogue (Wall *et al.* 1971; Shimmins 1971; Shimmins and Bolton 1972b; and unpublished Parkes data). The sources were selected as having estimated flux densities at 8.87 GHz greater than  $0.5 \text{ f.u.}^+$  and angular sizes small compared with the 2'.55 arc beam of the telescope at this frequency.

The work was undertaken partly to extend the present program of observations of spectra of radio sources to a higher frequency and partly to investigate an on-off technique for the measurement of flux densities under conditions of low signal to noise ratio.

Some of the observed sources are common to other source lists at almost the same frequency: 130 sources at 8.55 GHz by Andrievskii *et al.* (1969), 99 sources at 8.55 GHz by Gorshkov *et al.* (1970), and 60 sources at 8.00 GHz by Stull (1971). In Section VI the present measurements are compared with those of the above authors together with measurements of 146 sources at 10.63 GHz by Doherty *et al.* (1969) and 101 sources at both 6.63 and 10.7 GHz by Bell *et al.* (1971). A number of new variable sources are suggested.

### **II. EQUIPMENT AND OBSERVATIONS**

The observations were carried out at the Parkes Observatory in a single observing session, 4–9 February 1972 (1972.10). The 64 m (210 ft) parabolic reflector was equipped with a cryogenically-cooled parametric receiver developed by the Division of Radiophysics, CSIRO. The centre frequency was 8.87 GHz, the bandwidth 30 MHz, and the system noise temperature 250 K, giving an r.m.s. noise fluctuation of 0.033 K (0.115 f.u.) for an output time constant of 2 s. A noise diode was used to produce a calibration signal of approximately 1 K (3.25 f.u.) at the receiver input.

\* Division of Radiophysics, CSIRO, P.O. Box 76, Epping, N.S.W. 2121.

<sup>† 1</sup> flux unit (f.u.) =  $10^{-26} W m^{-2} Hz^{-1}$ .

The receiver was switched between two feeds, one on axis and another producing a beam 20' arc off axis. The on-axis feed was of the two-hydrid-mode type described by Thomas (1970) and was phased to receive circular polarization. The main beam was circular, with an approximately Gaussian shape of half-power width  $2' \cdot 55$  arc. At high frequencies, the axial focus, lateral focus, and aperture efficiency of the telescope and also the atmospheric extinction are all functions of zenith angle. For the present observations the telescope axial focus was fixed at the optimum value for zenith angle 40° and the lateral focus at the optimum value for zenith angle 45°, while observations were made within the zenith angle range  $30^\circ$ - $50^\circ$  wherever possible.



Fig. 1.—Zenith angle correction factor to compensate for changes in both atmospheric extinction and aperture efficiency and for the telescope being out of axial and lateral focus (axial focus +1.6 cm, lateral focus +4.0 cm).

The combined effects of all variations with zenith angle were determined from observations of the source PKS0915-11 (Hydra A) over a wide range of zenith angles and from additional data supplied by D. E. Yabsley (personal communication). The zenith angle correction factor is shown in Figure 1.

In the past, measurements of flux density at high frequencies, i.e.  $2 \cdot 7$  and  $5 \cdot 0$  GHz, have consisted of forward and reverse scans through the position of the source in both coordinates. On-line analysis with a PDP-9 computer provided simultaneous measurement of the flux density and apparent position of the source. Corrections were subsequently applied for pointing errors (i.e. for the differences between the set and measured coordinates). The large collecting area of the telescope combined with the low system temperature and large bandwidth made possible the determination of the flux density of a source to an accuracy of  $0 \cdot 02$  f.u. in an observing time of less than 5 min.

As the efficiency of the telescope at  $8 \cdot 87$  GHz is somewhat lower, the receiver noise temperature some three times higher, and the bandwidth only one-tenth that of the other receivers, the use of a similar technique would have involved an increase in observing time of at least an order of magnitude. It was therefore decided to use an on-off technique in which a larger fraction of the effective observing time was spent on source. However, as the telescope pointing error, typically 0'·4 arc, is significant compared with the beamwidth, pointing corrections are larger than in the lower-frequency measurements and must be determined during the flux measurement.

The observational procedure adopted was as follows. The telescope was set approximately  $5' \cdot 0$  are away from the source and the receiver sensitivity was determined by measuring the difference between the receiver output with and without the injection of a calibration signal of approximately 1 K. An integration time of 30 s was used and the measurement retained by the computer. The telescope was then



Fig. 2.—Telescope drive cycle for on-off integration series, with times in seconds and off-source locations in beamwidths (bw) as indicated.

set on the nominal position of the source and the on-off drive cycle in declination, shown in Figure 2, was initiated by the computer. At the end of the cycle the data printed out by the computer were:

- (1) The values of the deflections from the on-source-off-source integrations, their mean value, and the scatter.
- (2) The flux density obtained by dividing the mean value by the previous deflection due to the calibration signal and multiplying by the adopted flux equivalent of the calibration signal.
- (3) The values of the deflections at  $\pm 1/8$  beamwidth.
- (4) Two estimates of the displacement between the set and the measured declination. These were computed from the ratio of the  $\pm 1/8$  beamwidth deflections to the mean on-source deflection.
- (5) The percentage correction to the flux density due to the mean of the two displacements calculated in (4).

If the value of the pointing correction was 10% or greater, i.e. the displacement in declination 30'' arc or greater, the declination cycle was repeated at an on-source declination indicated by the displacement in (4). Otherwise a new flux calibration was applied and the cycle repeated in right ascension.

For most sources satisfactory observations were obtained with one cycle in each coordinate. In a few extreme cases repeat observations were made in declination and right ascension and a further repeat in declination at the final value for the right ascension.

#### A. J. SHIMMINS AND J. V. WALL

### **III. DATA REDUCTION AND CALIBRATION**

Previous flux density measurements at Parkes have been tied to the spectrum of PKS 0915-11 (Hydra A), for which a power law has been assumed. At 8.87 GHz the source is partially resolved with the 2'.55 arc beam but the structure is not sufficiently well-known to permit the calculation of an accurate size factor (which is between 1.05 and 1.10). This is because of the unknown spectrum of the halo component, which at 1425 MHz contributes 26% of the flux density and is approximately 200" arc in diameter (Fomalont 1971). At 8.87 GHz the halo is not obvious from scans through the source and consequently its spectrum must be very steep.

The flux density scale for the present measurements was determined by comparing measured and estimated values of flux density for 10 stable small-diameter sources which have well-established power-law spectra between 1410 and 5009 MHz. These spectra were extrapolated to 8.87 GHz to determine the estimated flux densities. Some properties of the calibration sources are given in Table 1.

		FLUX I	DENSITY CALIB	RATORS		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Parkes	Other	Identi-	Size	Flux d	lensity	Ratio
source No.	cat. No.	fication	factor	$S_{\rm est}$	$S_{ m meas}$	$S_{\rm est}/S_{ m meas}$
0003-00*	3C2	QSO	1.000	0.91	0.94	0.968
0023 - 26	<b>MSH 10</b>		1.000	2.59	2.45	1.057
0034-01	3C15	Е	1.006	1.03	1.00	1.030
0035 - 02	3C17	Е	1.017	1.72	1.82	0.945
0159 - 11	3C 57	QSO	1.000	0.90	1 · <b>00</b>	0.900
0410 - 75	MSH 1		1.000	2.65	2.54	1.043
$0521 - 36^{\dagger}$	MSH 6	Ν	1.005	7.20	7.11	1.013
1116 + 12		OSO	1.000	1.32	1.33	0.992
1932 - 46	MSH 6	g	1.052	2.03	1.93	1.052
2121 + 24	3C433	db	1.025	2.30	2.31	0.996

TABLE 1LUX DENSITY CALIBRATORS

\* Possibly a variable (see Table 3). Flux density given by Andrievskii *et al.* (1969) is in conflict with other flux density data which support a stable power-law spectrum.

† A slow variable. Value is that estimated for 1972.1.

Subsequent to the observations, corrections for differences between the set and measured coordinates of each source were applied to the flux densities from the on-off series. (These corrections were obtained graphically from the beam pattern obtained from a scan through a strong small-diameter source.) The flux densities from the two on-off series for each source were then averaged. The final flux densities were determined by multiplying these averages by a scale factor obtained from the calibration sources given in Table 1. The measured flux densities of the 10 calibrator sources are given in column 6 of Table 1. The r.m.s. scatter in the ratio of estimated to measured flux densities (column 7) is  $4 \cdot 8 %$ .

The size factors (used in correcting the peak flux densities for partial resolution) have been estimated from all available data on angular structure by means of the formulae given by Shimmins and Bolton (1972a). Most of these data are from observations at frequencies lower than the present measurements (e.g. 408, 1425, and

2650 MHz). In the case of core-halo sources, allowances were made for changes in structure with frequency. The computed size factors for 150 of the 195 sources measured were not significantly different from unity, and in only 20 cases were the factors greater than 1.05.

#### **IV. ESTIMATION OF ERRORS**

In the present observations errors in flux density due to polarization, confusion, and receiver nonlinearity are negligibly small. Flux density errors arise from:

- (1) uncertainties in the zenith angle correction factor,
- (2) changes in atmospheric extinction,
- (3) system noise and telescope tracking "noise",
- (4) short-term changes in receiver sensitivity,
- (5) measurement of the amplitude of the calibration signal, and
- (6) uncertainties in the off-source pointing factors arising from errors in the measured coordinates of the source.

Errors from the uncertainties in the zenith angle correction factor and from changes in atmospheric extinction are estimated at 3.0%, as indicated by the scatter in the observations used to determine the curve given in Figure 1 and from the scatter in a few repeated observations of strong sources. Future repeated observations will establish the value with more certainty, this being the predominant error in the flux densities of the stronger sources.

The error due to system noise and telescope tracking "noise" has been estimated as 0.020 f.u. plus 1.5% of the flux density (r.m.s. errors). The telescope tracking error is typically 2" to 3" arc, which results in fluctuations in output signal when the telescope beam is not exactly on source. For sources weaker than 1.5 f.u. a typical r.m.s. scatter of 0.030 f.u. is calculated from the four amplitude changes obtained from each on-off series. For the same sources the half-differences between the measured amplitudes of the first on-off series (corrected for the off-source pointing factor) and the second on-off series have an r.m.s. scatter of 0.020 f.u. Figure 3 is a histogram of these half-differences. The error agrees well with the estimate obtained from the scatter in the individual amplitudes and indicates that the errors in the first off-source pointing correction factors do not make any significant contribution to the overall errors. For the strong sources the corresponding scatter in the half-differences between the first and second on-off series is estimated as 1.5% of the flux density. This arises principally from tracking "noise", with only a small contribution from errors in the first off-source correction factors. It should be noted from Figure 3 that the half-differences do not have a normal distribution in that there is a long tail to the distribution, approximately 15% of the sources having half-differences greater than two standard deviations and 8% of the sources having half-differences greater than three standard deviations.

Errors due to short-term changes in receiver sensitivity and in the measured amplitude of the calibration signal are estimated as 1.0% r.m.s. The scatter in the amplitude of the calibrations is 1.4%, which is due partly to short-term changes in receiver sensitivity but which to a large extent is due to errors in the measurement

of the amplitude of the calibration signal. This is confirmed by the fact that the scatter in the half-differences of flux densities from the first and second on-off series is significantly less when the mean calibration amplitude is used than when the individual calibration amplitudes are applied separately to the associated on-off measurements.

Errors in the off-source pointing factors, which arise from uncertainties in the measured source coordinates, are estimated to be less than 0.7%. (It is estimated that for sources stronger than 2 f.u. the r.m.s. errors in the measured coordinates are less than 5" arc, while for sources of 1 f.u. they are approximately 8".)





The resultant errors in the flux densities due to the sources of error discussed above are given by a standard error of  $\{(0.020)^2 + (0.035 S)^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  f.u., where S is the flux density at 8.87 GHz. Approximately 10% of the sources show abnormally large differences between the flux densities from the declination and right ascension measurements, and these sources have been given larger estimated errors. Finally, it should be noted that for the 20 sources with size factors greater than 1.05, an additional error corresponding to 10% of the size factor has been given.

## V. NOTES ON TABLE 2

Table 2 contains details of the measured sources, the flux densities at  $8 \cdot 87$  GHz, and the estimates of errors. All flux densities are at epoch  $1972 \cdot 10$ . Additional information is:

Column 1. Parkes source number. Sources from the Parkes 2700 MHz catalogues have three digits in the declination part of their number.

Column 2. 3C, Third Cambridge catalogue (Edge *et al.* 1959); 4C, Fourth Cambridge catalogue (Pilkington and Scott 1965; Gower *et al.* 1967); MSH, catalogues of Mills *et al.* (1958, 1960, 1961).

Column 3. The measured peak flux density.

- Column 4. The size factor to correct for partial resolution with the  $2' \cdot 55$  arc beam.
- Column 5. The integrated flux density at 8.87 GHz.
- Column 6. The estimated standard error in the peak flux density.

																																var?				
	(6)	Remarks	NRAO5, var?	Scint., NRAO7, var?	Scint.			NRAO 30	NRAO 33	NRAO 34	1		NGC253	Verv blue, in cluster, var	PHL 923, DA 32, var ?	Var.		Scint.		NRAO 67	Var?	Var?			NRAO 88	Scint., NRAO 91, var?	Var.	NRAO 98	Var.			NGC 1068, NRAO 112, 7				NGC 1218 NB AO 124
STONDOG OLDEN IN	(8)	Source structure*		$\sim 7^{"}$ (MM)	Doub sepn. 65". PA 129° (F2)		<18"×<15" (E; FM)	Trip., 18"×<15" (Hd; FM)	Core $20^{\circ}$ + halo (T1)	$41" \times 10"$ (B; FM)	<15" EW (FM)	Doub., sepn. 108", PA 136° (E)	Core 42" $D$ .+halo ~ 300" $D$ . (E; F2)		<7" (MM)	<7" (Mi)		$<18"\times<15"$ (E: FM)	<18"×<15" (FM)	$38'' \times < 8''$ (FM; B)	$<18"\times<15"$ (FM)	<7" (MM)		30"×<15" (C; H; FM)	<7" (MM), <1"·5 (B)	<0".05 (P)	Doub., $< 30" \times < 24"$ (C)	Doub., 50" EW (F1)	<7" (MM)	$<30" \times 32" (M; FM)$	0"·002 (K)	$<21" \times 12"$ (FM; B)	<24" D. (E)			Core < 45" × 30" + halo 55" × 80" (E2)</td
	(7) ntifi-	tion Mag.		19.5	20			17.1	19.6	18.5		18	7.0	18.5	17.3	18.4	<b>18 · 0</b>	19			18.5	17.0		19	17.5		19.0	18.5	18.0		16.6	9.8			18.0	14.7
	) Idei	cai Type		QSO	60	Η	Η	Ш	Ш	Щ	Η	щ	S	50	OSO	QSO	oso	qp	Ш	Ш	හ	QSO	Η	QSO	QSO	Π	QSO	щ	oso	E	oso	S		IIIA	QSO	
	(6) Std	error (f.u.)	90.0	0.04	0.03	0·06	60.0	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.18	0.05	0.14	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	60.0	0.03	0.04	0.10	0·0	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.10
	(5) Flux	density (f.u.)	1.62	0.94	0.48	$1 \cdot 03$	2.45	$1 \cdot 00$	$1 \cdot 82$	$1 \cdot 08$	0.71	2.16	1 · 47	3.45	$1 \cdot 22$	3 · 82	$1 \cdot 42$	0.66	0.83	0.86	0.82	$1 \cdot 16$	$1 \cdot 18$	0.75	$1 \cdot 00$	2.78	$1 \cdot 74$	$1 \cdot 07$	$1 \cdot 14$	0.82	2.36	$1 \cdot 20$	0.89	0.36	$1 \cdot 26$	2.92
	(4) Size	factor	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 123$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 006$	1.017	$1 \cdot 035$	$1 \cdot 000$	1.410	$1 \cdot 100$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 042$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 026$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 074$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 028$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 150$
	(3) Peak	lux dens. (f.u.)	1.62	0.94	0.43	$1 \cdot 03$	2.45	0.95	$1 \cdot 79$	$1 \cdot 04$	0.71	1.53	1·34	3.45	$1 \cdot 22$	3·82	$1 \cdot 42$	0.66	0.83	0.83	0.82	1.16	$1 \cdot 18$	0.73	$1 \cdot 00$	2·78	$1 \cdot 74$	$1 \cdot 00$	$1 \cdot 14$	0·80	2.36	$1 \cdot 20$	0.89	0.36	1.26	2.54
	ue	f MSH		1	7		10	6	6	11	10	11	52					9	6	6				15	21			S		10		14	6			ę
	(2) er catalogi	numbers 4C		-00.1				-01.3	-02.3	09.2					-00.6	$01 \cdot 2$			08.6							15.5			13.14			-00.13				03.5
	Oth	3C		ы				15	17	18										38					57			62				11				78
	(1) Parkes	source number	0003-06	0003 - 00	0020 - 25	0022-423	0023 - 26	0034 - 01	0035 - 02	0038 + 09	0039-44	004342	0045 - 25	0048-09	0056 - 00	0106 + 01	0112-017	0114 - 21	$0116 \pm 08$	0117-15	0119 + 11	0122 - 00	0149 + 21	0157 - 31	0159 - 11	0202 + 14	020217	$0213 - 13 \cdot 2$	0229 + 13	0235 - 19	0237 - 23	0240 - 00	0252-71	0253 + 13	0302-623	0305 + 03

8.87 GHZ FLUX DENSITIES OF RADIO SOURCES

TABLE 2

99

\* See abbreviations of references at end of table.

							• •	<b>FABLE 2</b>	(Contin	(pan	
(I)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	E		(8)	(6)
Parkes	0	ther catalogue	~	Peak	Size	Flux	Std	Ident	ifi-	• - - 5	F
source number	30	numbers 4C M	I SH	lux dens. (f.u.)	factor	density (f.u.)	error (f.u.)	catic Type	on Mag.	Source structure*	Kemarks
0316 + 16		16.9		1.62	1.000	1.62	90.0	IIB		<0".05 (P)	Scint., CTA 21
0320 + 05		05.14		0.44	$1 \cdot 000$	0.44	0.02	50	20	$<18" \times <15"$ (FM)	Scint.
0332-403				1.80	1.000	$1 \cdot 80$	0.07	oso	18		
0336-01				4.39	$1 \cdot 000$	4.39	0.15	oso	17.5	<7" (MM)	CTA 26, DA 110, var.
0403 - 13				2.20	$1 \cdot 000$	2.20	0.08	oso	17.1	<0".05 (P)	Scint.
0405 - 12			2	1.85	$1 \cdot 008$	$1 \cdot 87$	0.07	oso	14.8	Doub., sepn. 17", PA 7° (MM)	
0409 + 22	108	22.8		0.59	$1 \cdot 000$	0.59	0.03	Ξ			NRAO 167
0410-75			1	2.54	$1 \cdot 000$	2.54	60.0	IIIB		<24" D. (E)	
0410 + 11	109	11.18		$1 \cdot 12$	$1 \cdot 181$	$1 \cdot 32$	0.05	z	18.7	Doub., sepn. 78" (M; F1)	NRAO 169
0413-21			4	$1 \cdot 07$	$1 \cdot 000$	1.07	0.06	QSO?	19.5	$<30" \times <15"$ (FM)	Scint.
0420 - 01				$1 \cdot 78$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 78$	0.06	oso	18.0	<7" (MM)	Var.
$0422 \pm 00$			5?	0.64	$1 \cdot 000$	0.64	0.03	Η			Var?
0428 + 20				1.72	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 72$	0.06	IIIA		<30" NS (C)	
0430 + 05	120	$05 \cdot 20$		11-69	$1 \cdot 000$	11-69	0.41	s	15.0	<0″·05 (P)	Scint., NRAO 182, var.
0438-43			6	3.79	1.000	3.79	0.19	50	19.5	<24"×<15" (E; FM)	Var.
0440 - 00		-	15	1.93	$1 \cdot 000$	1-93	0.07	QSO	19.2	<0".05 (P)	NRAO 190, DA 145, var.
0451 - 28				2.05	$1 \cdot 000$	2.05	0.07	oso	19	$<40"\times<15"$ (FM)	Var?
0453 - 20			52	1.26	$1 \cdot 068$	1.35	0.05	щ	14	$48" \times < 15"$ (E; C; FM)	
0454-46		1	12	2.36	$1 \cdot 000$	2.36	0.08			<15" EW (FM)	
0458 - 02		$-02 \cdot 19$		2.09	$1 \cdot 000$	2.09	0.07	z	20		DA 157, BSO, no UVX, var.
0459 + 25	133	25.16		$1 \cdot 62$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 62$	0.06	Η		Doub., sepn. 12" EW (T2)	CTD 31, NRAO 199
$0500 \pm 019$				1.35	$1 \cdot 000$	1.35	0.05	Η			
0506 - 61			-	<b>2</b> .63	$1 \cdot 000$	2.63	0.13	I			
0507 + 17				1.40	$1 \cdot 000$	1.40	0.05	ШС			Var?
0518+16	138	16.12		2·78	$1 \cdot 000$	2.78	0.10	QSO	18.8	0".4, PA 70° (Mi)	Scint., NRAO 205, var.
0521-36			9	7 · 08	$1 \cdot 005$	7.11	0.25	z	16.8	<30"×14" (E; FM)	Var.
0531 + 19				$1 \cdot 77$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 77$	0.06	щ	17-7	<24" EW (C)	
0537-441				8 · 69	$1 \cdot 000$	8 · 69	0.46	QSO	15		
0605-08				4·33	$1 \cdot 000$	4·33	0.15	ШA		<40" NS (FM)	Var?
0607 - 15				$1 \cdot 18$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 18$	0.05	Η			Var.
0610 + 26	154	26.20		1.45	$1 \cdot 060$	1.54	0.06	ШA		<30"×45", doub. (T2; M)	CTD 42, NRAO 230
0624 - 05	161	-05.23	4	4·09	$1 \cdot 012$	$4 \cdot 14$	0.15	Ξ		$20^{\circ} \times < 15^{\circ}$ (FM)	Scint., NRAO 236, slow var.
0625-53			ŝ	0.92	1.170	$1 \cdot 08$	0.04			$84" \times 36"$ (E)	
0637-75			1	7.06	$1 \cdot 000$	7.06	0.25	п		<18" D. (E)	Var.
0715-25			4	0.92	$1 \cdot 000$	0.92	0.04	ША		<18"×<15" (FM; E)	
0723 - 008				1.92	$1 \cdot 000$	1.92	0.07	Η			DW 0723-00, var.
0727 - 11				$4 \cdot 80$	$1 \cdot 000$	$4 \cdot 80$	0.25	Η			
0735 + 17				1.71	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 71$	0.06	Ш		<30"×<15" (FM)	Scint., var.

TABLE 2 (Continued)

100

# A. J. SHIMMINS AND J. V. WALL

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.99 1.000 0.99 0.04 QSO? 19 Var? 17.44 0.41 1.000 0.41 0.03 g 19 Scint. 22.21 1.56 1.000 1.56 0.06 QSO? 19.5	3 · 19 · 1000 3 · 19 0 · 16 QSO? 18 < 0″ · 05 (P) Scint. 0 · 91 1 · 000 0 · 91 0 · 04 III < 24" D. (E) 1 0 · 96 1 · 000 0 · 96 0 · 04 III < 24" D. (E) 208 14 · 28 0 · 30 1 · 002 0 · 30 0 · 02 OSO 17 · 4 Doub., sept. 10″ · 5. PA 77° (MM) Scint., NRAO 301	208·1 14·29 0·56 1·000 0·56 0·03 III <255 × <18" (FM) NRAO 303 19 0·94 1·038 0·97 0·04 III <35 <sup>*</sup> × 36" (FM) NRAO 303 1 1·95 1·000 1·95 0·07 QSO 16·6 <7" (MM) Var.	215 16·26 0·31 1·015 0·32 0·02 050 18·3 Trip., 25', PA 140° (MM) NRAO 315 01·24 1·12 1·000 1·12 0·04 050 17·5 0·14 218 4 7·87 1·050 8·25 0·28 D 14·8 Core 15'×47', PA 37', +halo (F2) Hydra A, NRAO 319, halo 200' D. 4 2·47 1·007 2·49 0·09 III <00'×15' (FM)	225 7     14 · 32     0 · 50     1 · 00     0 · 03     III     Scint., NRAO 332       228     14 · 34     0 · 72     1 · 085     0 · 78     0 · 03     QSO     18 · 0     54 * × <15" (FM)     Scint., NRAO 337       237     07 · 30     1     1 · 11     1 · 026     1 · 24     0 · 05     III     30 * × <15" (F1; M)     Scint., NRAO 347       03 · 18     7     0 · 61     1 · 03     g     19 · 4     <18" × <15" (FM)     DA 288	245       12·37       1·04       1·00       1·04       0·04       QSO       17·2       Doub, sepn. 3" (Hd; B; P)       Scint., NRAO 358, var?         21·28       1·05       1·000       1·05       0·04       QSO?       19         01·28       10       3·26       0·12       QSO       18·3       <0°05 (P)       Scint., DA 293, var?         171       1·000       1·71       0·06       0·06       0SO       17·0         2·29       1·000       2·29       0·08       0SO       17·0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	274 12·45 41·59 1·170 48·6 1·5 E 9·9 Core $20^{\circ} \times 40^{\circ}$ + halo $400^{\circ}$ D. (F2) M87, Virgo A, NGC 4486, NRAO 401 $-02 \cdot 55$ 0·80 1·000 0·80 0·04 QSO 16·7 7 <sup>\circ</sup> (M1) Scint., var? Scint., var? 1·08 1·000 1·08 0·04 QSO 18·2 Var? 1·72 1·000 1·72 0·06 III $< 0^{\circ}$ 50 <sup>\circ</sup> 55 (P) Scint. Sci	abhreviations of references at end of table.
-00.28	17-44 22-21	14 · 28	1 14.29	16·26 01·24	14.32 14.34 07.30 03.18	12.37 21.28 01.28	12.39	02.3	12·44 	viations of
		208	208 • 1	215 218	225? 228 237	245		273	274	ee abbrevia
0736+01 0742+103 0743-006 0744-67 0802+21	$\begin{array}{c} 0805-07\\ 0818+17\\ 0820+22\\ 0823+033\\ 0823+033\\ \end{array}$	0.025 + 0.020 0.0834 - 20 0.0834 - 19 0.0842 - 75 0.0850 + 14	0.0851 + 14 0.0859 - 25 0.0859 - 14	0903+16 0906+01 0915-11 0920-39	$\begin{array}{c} 0939 + 14 \cdot 0 \\ 0947 + 14 \\ 1005 + 07 \\ 1039 + 02 \end{array}$	1040+12 1049+21 1055+01 1057-79 1104-445	1116 - 46 $1116 + 12$ $1127 - 14$ $1136 - 13$	1151 - 34 1213 - 17 1215 - 45 1226 + 02	1228+12 1229-02 1237-10 1245-19	×

## ACCURATE FLUX DENSITIES AT 8.87 GHz

101

								TABLE 2	: (Conti	nued)	
(1) Parkes	10 1	(2) her catalog	ue	(3) Peak	(4) Size	(5) Flux	(6) Std	(7 Iden	) tifi-	(8)	(6)
source	Ľ,	numbers	LISW	flux dens.	factor	density	error	r cati	п	Source structure*	Remarks
number	אר	+ -	HCM	('n'I)		(.u.)	(I.u.)	Iype	Mag.		
1252 + 11				$1 \cdot 08$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 08$	0.04	oso	16.6	<5" (MM)	Var.
1253 - 05	279	-05.55	20	14.01	$1 \cdot 000$	14.01	0.75	oso	17.8	$<0" \cdot 025 (P)$	Scint., NRAO 413, var.
1302 - 49			1	$1 \cdot 70$	$1 \cdot 068$	1.81	0.07	s	9.2	Core $< 30$ " D. + halo (E)	NGC 4945, 40" D. (Scans)
1306 - 09			0	$1 \cdot 44$	1.017	1.46	0.06	D	18.5	<25"×24" (FM; E)	Scint., very blue, var?
1313 + 07		07.32	S	0.41	1.295	0.53	0.03	D	15.5	Doub., sepn. 100", PA 73° (F2)	Comps $< 30$ " D.
1323 - 61				2.08	$1 \cdot 000$	$2 \cdot 08$	$0 \cdot 07$			•	
$1328 + 25 \cdot 4$	287	25.43		2.42	$1 \cdot 000$	2.42	60.0	QSO	17.7	<0″ • 05 (P)	CTD 81, NRAO 424
1345 + 12		12.50		2.54	1:000	2.54	60.0	s	17.0	$<18"\times<15"$ (FM)	Scint., var.
1351 - 018				0.94	$1 \cdot 000$	0.94	0.04	Ш			BSO with UVX 60" n.p.
1354 - 17			15	0.80	$1 \cdot 000$	0.80	0.03	III		$<60"\times<60"$ (Scans)	
1354 + 19		19 - 44		$1 \cdot 63$	$1 \cdot 004$	1.64	0.06	QSO	16.0	Doub., sepn. 13", PA 160° (MM)	Var.
1355 - 41			ŝ	0.81	1.152	0.93	0.04	QSO	16.5	$84" \times 24"$ , PA 133° (E)	
1402 - 012				0.67	$1 \cdot 000$	0.67	0.03	oso	18.5		
$1416 \pm 06$	298	06.49	5	$1 \cdot 22$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 22$	0.05	oso	16.8	<6"×1"·5 (Mi; B)	Scint., NRAO 441
1421 - 49				4.50	$1 \cdot 000$	4·50	0.16				
1422 + 20		20.33		0.44	$1 \cdot 000$	0.44	0.02	oso	17.5	Doub., sepn. 8", PA 10° (MM)	
1424 - 41				1.31	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 31$	0.05	OSO	18.0	<15" EW (FM)	Scint.
$1434 \pm 03$		$03 \cdot 30$	10	0.85	$1 \cdot 000$	0.85	0.04	Η		$<18" \times <15"$ (FM)	Complex NS
1445 - 16				0.66	$1 \cdot 000$	0.66	0.03	Ξ			
1451 - 375				$1 \cdot 21$	$1 \cdot 000$	1.21	0.05	oso	17.5		
1453 - 10			21	0.94	1.032	16.0	0.04	ÓSO	17-4	Doub., sepn. 33", PA 155° (MM)	37" sepn. (Hd). scint.
$1502 \pm 036$				0.81	$1 \cdot 000$	0.81	0.04	oso	19		Part 4C 03 · 31
1508 - 05		-05.64	S	1.98	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 98$	0.07	oso	16	$<24'' \times <18''$ (C; F1)	
1510 - 08			6?	3.70	$1 \cdot 000$	3.70	0.13	oso	16.5	<0".05 (P)	Var.
1514 + 00		00·56	9	0.95	1.640	1.56	0.06	ш	13.9 ک	Trip., sepn. 232", PA 37° (F2)	Comps 60", 50", 50" D., DA 378
								oso	18 ۰ 8 ک		
1514 + 07	317	07 - 40	S	0.41	$1 \cdot 026$	0.42	0.02	щ	14.5	$30" \times < 15"$ (FM)	NRAO 474
1514-24				1.83	$1 \cdot 000$	1.83	0.07	щ	16.2	<50" × $<$ 15" (FM)	AP Lib, var?
$1518 + 04 \cdot 7$		04.51		0.48	$1 \cdot 106$	0.53	0.03	50	18.7	$60^{\circ}$ (Scans) × <24" (C)	
$1532 \pm 01$				1 · 16	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 16$	0.05	Ħ			Var?
1546 + 027				$1 \cdot 44$	$1 \cdot 000$	1.44	0.05	QSO	17.5		Var.
1549-79				2.61	$1 \cdot 000$	2.61	60.0	Ш		<18" D. (E)	
1555 + 001				1.66	1.000	1.66	0·06	Π			DA 393, DW 1555+00
1602 + 01	327.1	$01 \cdot 48$	6	0.73	$1 \cdot 000$	0.73	0.03	ШA		$<21" \times 8"$ (FM; B)	NRAO 491
1607 + 26				0.89	$1 \cdot 000$	0.89	0.04	QSO ?	19	<15"×<15" (FM)	CTD 93
1610-77				3 · 27	$1 \cdot 000$	3.27	$0 \cdot 12$				
1616 + 06				0.49	$1 \cdot 000$	0.49	0.03				DW 1616+06, var?
1622-29				1.98	$1 \cdot 000$	1.98	0.07	ШA		<75"×<15" (FM)	
1635 - 14			13	0.42	$1 \cdot 000$	0.42	0.02	VIII			
1645 + 17	346?	17.71		0.62	1.000	0.62	0.03	QSO ?	19.0	<18"×<1"·5 (FM; B	NRAO 474, var ?

102

# A. J. SHIMMINS AND J. V. WALL

1730 - 13				4.65	$1 \cdot 000$	4.65	0.17	ШA		<18"×<18" (H: FM) NI	
1001 - 001				2.60	$1 \cdot 000$	2.60	60.0	AIII		<30" EW (C)	5
10+1001				$1 \cdot 19$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 19$	0.05	oso	19.0		5 TB
181463			1	2.40	$1 \cdot 000$	2.40	60.0	́ а	18	<24" D (F)	ar <i>c</i>
1821 + 10				$1 \cdot 29$	$1 \cdot 000$	1.29	0.05	° III	2	(T)	cint.
1830 - 21				6.68	$1 \cdot 000$	6.68	0.74	HH			
1904 - 80				$1 \cdot 76$	1.000	1.76	5.0			$< 00 \times < 00$ (Scans)	
193246			9	$1 \cdot 83$	1-052	1.93	0.07	Ċ	200		
1933 - 400				1.10	1.000	1.10	0.0	200	10.01	42 ×<18" PA 110° (E) Sc	sint.
1934 - 63				2.92	1.000	2.92 2.92	51.0		10.01		
1936 - 623				0.70	1 - 000	02.0	0.02	20	<b>+.0</b> 1	<18 D. (E) Se	syfert galaxy
$1949 \pm 02$	403	02.50	10	1.13	1 - 230	1.30	20.0	ŭ	16 6	- -	
1954 - 388				1.90	1.000	1.90	20.0	c 20	C.01	Doub., sepn. 76", PA 82" (F2) CC	omps 40", 25" D., NRAO 616
2012 + 23	409	23.53		1.74	1.014	1.76	10.0		C.01	Va	ar ?
2052-47				1.84	1.000	1.84	20.0	۲۸		IN (FM) CL>X 77	RAO 625
2106 - 413				1.92	1.000	1.92	0.0	am		<15 EW (FM)	
2121 + 24	433	24 · 54		2.26	1.025	2.31	0.12				
2127 + 04				1.45	1.000	1.45	71.0	9 E	1.01	30 ×17 (FM) CT	FD 130, NRAO 658
2128 - 12				1.76	1.000	25.1	5.0			<18 ×<15" (H; FM)	
$2134 \pm 004$				17.30	000	0/.1	90-0	280	16.0	<7" (MM)	
2141-75				06.71	000.1	05.21	0.43	oso	17	0"·0015 (K) D/	A 553, PHL 61
$2145 \pm 0.6$		06.60		10.4	000.1	C6.0	0.04				
2203-18		60.00	-	10.4	000.1	4.01	0·14	oso	16.5	<0" · 05 (P) Va	
10 - 0100			-	3.30	1.000	3.30	0.12	oso	19.5	<0".05 (P) Soi	int int
10+0177		69 · 10		0.64	$1 \cdot 000$	0.64	0.03	IIIB		$<18" \times <15"$ (FM)	
2210-03		-03.79	9	2.89	$1 \cdot 000$	2.89	0.10	oso	16.4	<7" (MM)	
2223-05	446	-50.92	10	4 · 46	$1 \cdot 000$	4.46	0.16	0S0	18.4		
2227-08				1.96	$1 \cdot 000$	1.96	0.10	0SO	18	201 DO	int., NKAO 687, var.
2230 + 11		11 · 69		2.48	$1 \cdot 000$	2.48	60·0	0S0	17.3		
2247 + 14		14.82		0.92	1.000	0.92	0.04		17.5		int., CTA 102, var.
2251 + 15	454·3	15.76		13.29	$1 \cdot 000$	13.29	0.47		16.1		
2307 + 10		10.70?		0·88	$1 \cdot 000$	0.88	0.04		1 01		nt., NRAO 701, var.
2313 + 03	459	03.57	S	0.68	$1 \cdot 000$	0.68	0.03	z	18.7		
2319 + 07				0.59	$1 \cdot 000$	0.59	0.03	OSO	17.5		ub. EW (B), NRAO 709
2324 - 02			11	0.61	$1 \cdot 221$	0.74	0.03	Уш	18		r ?
2331 - 41			4	0.81	$1 \cdot 026$	0.83	0.04	Ξ	2		A 602
2333-528				$1 \cdot 08$	$1 \cdot 000$	$1 \cdot 08$	0.04	ł		Var > 30 (E)	r?
$2344 \pm 09$		09 · 74		$1 \cdot 74$	1.000	1.74	0.06	OSO	16.0		
2345 - 16				2.74	1.000	2.74	0.10		10.5		r ?
2353 - 68				1.04	1.000	10.4			0.01	<24 ×<24 (C)	
2354 - 11				20.1	000-1	5.5	40.0 40.0		17-0		
				10-1	000.T	1.0/	0.04	QSO	19.0	<30″×<24″ (C)	
* Abb. B, Bash (1968)	c, Col	s of referen e, Milne, ar	nces ar	re: vll (unpubli	shed data fi	com Parkes	interferom	eter): F	Ekers (16	370). El Econolocat (1060). Foi econol	
H, Beverley H	arris (un	published c	lata fr	rom Parkes	interferom	eter); Hd.	Hazard (1	965) (occ	ultation of	1971), 11, FOHMADHI (1908); F2, FOMAIONT (1971); 1949): K. Wallarmond, 22 21 21070), 32 32 11	FM, Fomalont and Moffet (1971);
MM, Macdon	ald and ]	Miley (1971	l); P,	Palmer et	al. (1967);	Scans, data	a from sci	uns at 50	09 MHz	Parkes Observatory): T1 Toulo: (1970); M, Maltby and	d Moffet (1962); Mi, Miley (1971);
(1968) (occulta	tion data	÷								(1 arms Ouse valuation ), 11, 1aylor (1900) (occultation	on data); T2, Taylor and De Jong

# ACCURATE FLUX DENSITIES AT 8.87 GHz

103

			VARIABLE	SOURCES				
		Ę	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	3	(9)	6		(8)
Ξ		(3)	(4) Ratio of flux d	(C) Iensities	(0)	Identific	ation	Remarks*
PKS number	Other catalogue numbers	PKS/BSB	PKS/CRI	PKS/M	Z/DMP	Type	Mag.	
		1.34 1.44	0.98:					Var?
0003-06			0.65			oso	19-5	Optical var. (SVW)
0003-00	30 7, 40 - 00. I, MAN		1 · 44	3.3, 1.1		60	18.5	Known var. (S)
00-2400	4C -M.6 PHI 923 DA 32		1.24:			oso	17.3	Var? (W)
00-9010	40-00-0, 1111/23, 26.22		1.16:			QSO	18-4	Known var. (K; M; H; PKS)
110-110		0.62				60	18.5	Var? (B)
1177 00			0.70, 0.67			QSO	17.0	Var?
0122 - 00 0202 + 14	4C 15 · 5. NRAO 91		1.36			oso	17.5	
0.002 - 1.7			:06.0			0SO	0.41	Known var. (N)
0202-11	4C 13 · 14	$1 \cdot 30, 1 \cdot 05$	1.37			QSO	18.0	Known var. (B)
	2C 71 AC _00.13 NGC 1068		0.70	$0 \cdot 77$	1 · 10:	s	9.8	Var? at mm wavelengtns (EF)
00-0 <del>4</del> 70	CC 11, TC - 00 12, ICC 1000		1.74			oso	17.5	Known var. (K; H; PKS)
0336-01	CIA 20, DA 110		0.83			oso	18.0	Known var. (W)
0420 - 01			0.59					Var ? (W)
0422 + 00			0.91			S	15.0	Known var. (K; M; H)
0430+05	30 120, 40 03.20					ы	19.5	Known var. (H)
043843	MSH 9		0.81			oso	19.2	Known var. (K; H)
0440 - 00	NRAO 190, DA 145		10.0			0SO	19	Var? (PKS)
0451-28			1.22.			z	20	Known var. (W)
0458-02	4C-02·19, DA 15/	1.07						Var?
0507 + 17		10.1	0.87		0.84	oso	18.8	Known var. (M)
0518 + 16	30 138, 40 10.12		.00.0			z	16.8	Known var. (H)
0521 - 36	MSH 6		1.80					Var?
0605 - 08			0.54					Known var. (K)
CI - 1090	20161 40 05.73		1 · 14:					Slow var. (H)
0624 - 05	3C 161, 4C-03.23							Known var. (H)
0637-75	MSH I			0.68 1.11				Known var. (BS)
0723 - 008	DW 0723-00		0.010					Known var. (K; M)
0735 + 17			1.79			OSO	18.0	Known var. (K; PKS)
0736 + 01		1.06.	0.80			0203	19.0	Var? (B)
0805 - 07		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.88.0			oso	16.6	Known var. (K)
0859 - 14	MSH 1	10.1	0.66			0SO	17.5	Var?
$0906 \pm 01$	4C 01 · 24	10.1	8		1.92	oso	17.2	Var?
1040+12	3C 245, 4C 12·37		1.39			0SQ	18.3	Known var. (K; PKS)
1055 + 01	4C01.25, DA 223, MDEL 24		•					

TABLE 3

104

# A. J. SHIMMINS AND J. V. WALL

1127 - 14		0.77	0.87		oso	16.9	Var?
1136-13	MSH 8		1.58		oso	16.0	Var?
1148 - 00	4C - 00.47		0.77		ÓSÓ	17.7	Known var. (K; PKS)
1213-17		:00					Known var. (B)
1226 + 02	3C 273, 4C 02 · 32		1.24	1.02:	QSQ	12.8	Known var. (D; K; M; PKS)
1229 - 02	$4C - 02 \cdot 55$	0.77	1 · 14:		QSO	16-7	Var?
1237 - 10		:96.0	1.05:		QSQ	18.2	Var ? (B)
1252+11			1.10:		QSQ	16.6	(PKS)
1253 - 05	3C 279, 4C-05·55		0.71		oso	17.8	Known var. (K; M; PKS)
1306-09	MSH 2		0.64		Ð	18.5	Var?
1345 + 12	4C 12·50		1.07:		ŝ	17.0	Known var. (KPT)
1354 + 19	4C 19 · 44		1.10:		QSQ	16.0	Known var. (M)
1510-08			06.0		QSO	16.5	Known var. (K; M; H; PKS)
1514 - 24	AP Lib		0.76		Щ	16.2	Known optical var.
1532 + 01		0.77					Var?
$1546 \pm 027$			0.62, 1.37		QSO	17.5	Known var. (BS)
1616 + 06	DW 1616+06	0.61	0.34				Var?
1645 + 17	4C 17 · 71, NRAO 474		0.50		QSO?	19.0	Var?
1730 - 13	NRAO 530		1.33				Known var. (K; M)
1741 - 03					IIIA		Var? (W)
1801 + 01		1 · 61			oso	19.0	Var?
1954 - 388							Var? (PKS)
2145 + 06	4C 06 · 69		0.91:		oso	16.5	Known var. (M)
2216 - 03	4C-03·79		1 · 45		QSO	16.4	Var?
2223 - 05	3C 446		0.92:		oso	18.4	Known var. (K; M)
2230 + 11	CTA 102		0.75		oso	17.3	Known var. (M)
2251 + 15	3C 454 · 3		0.54	0.61	oso	16.1	Known var. (K; M; H)
2319 + 07		1 - 44			oso	17.5	Var?
2331 - 41	MSH 4				Ш		Var? (PKS)
2344 + 09	4C 09 · 74	1 · 43	$1 \cdot 29$		QSO	16.0	Var?
* Abbre	viations of references are:						

B, Bell et al. (1971); BS, Brandie and Stull (1971); D, Dent (1965); EF, Epstein and Fogarty (1969); H, Harris (1969); K, Kellermann and Pauliny-Toth (1968); KPT, Kellermann et al. (1968); M, Medd et al. (1968); PKS, unpublished data from Parkes Observatory; S, Stull (1971); SVW, Sandage et al. (1965); W, Wall (1972).

# ACCURATE FLUX DENSITIES AT 8.87 GHz

Column 7. Optical identification or field class for the source where known. These data are mainly drawn from published or unpublished identification work of the Parkes Observatory. The following abbreviations apply: QSO, quasi-stellar object; QSO?, possible quasi-stellar object; S, E, D, db, and N, galaxies with these optical classifications; g, galaxy too faint to classify from the Palomar Sky Atlas; II, field contains several faint galaxies within positional errors; III, a few stars of normal colour; IIIA, as for III, with some obscuration possibly present; IIIB, a blank field; IIIC, a very crowded star field; IV, an obscured field; HII, an ionized hydrogen region.

Column 8. Abbreviations used are: doub., a two-component source; sepn., angular separation; PA, position angle; trip., a three-component source; NS, north-south; EW, east-west; D., diameter. Where two angular sizes are given, the north-south size is given first, followed by the east-west size.

Column 9. Remarks, including other catalogue numbers not given in column 2. Abbreviations (in addition to those given above for column 8) used are: BSO, blue stellar object; comps, components; CTA, Caltech list A of Harris and Roberts (1960); CTD, Caltech list D of Kellermann and Read (1965); DA, catalogue of Galt and Kennedy (1968); DW, catalogue of Davis (1967); M, Messier catalogue; NGC, New General catalogue; n.p., north preceding; NRAO, catalogue of Pauliny-Toth *et al.* (1966); PHL, Palomar Haro Luyten (Haro and Luyten 1962); scint., source shows interplanetary scintillation; UVX, ultraviolet excess; var., source is known to vary at centimetre wavelengths; var?, source is thought to vary at centimetre wavelengths.

## VI. COMPARISON WITH OTHER RESULTS

## (a) Flux Density Scales and Error Estimates

In order to compare the present measurements with those of Bell *et al.* (1971) at 6.63 and 10.7 GHz, a flux density at 8.87 GHz has been estimated by interpolation for each source in common. A plot of these flux densities against the present observations indicated that the flux density scales are the same to within the statistical uncertainty. Many of the sources common to the two lists are variable in flux density at centimetre wavelengths. The scatter in the plot reflects these variations together with any errors introduced by the interpolation procedure, and thus cannot be used to verify error estimates.

When the 8.55 GHz flux densities from the Crimean Astrophysical Observatory (Andrievskii *et al.* 1969; Gorshkov *et al.* 1970) are plotted against the present observations, it is clear that there is a significant difference between the flux density scales. If the small difference in frequency is taken into account by means of a representative spectral index, the 8.55 GHz flux densities appear to be scaled about 14% lower than the present measurements. The scatter in the plot cannot be used to verify the error analysis of Section IV because the errors in the 8.55 GHz flux densities are considerably larger than those in the flux densities presented here.

Stull (1971) has observed 60 radio galaxies from the Parkes catalogues at 8.0 GHz. There are six sources in common for which the 8.0 GHz flux densities are greater than 1 f.u. and believed not to vary. The mean ratio of 8.87 GHz flux density to 8.0 GHz flux density is  $0.967 \pm 0.015$ . A ratio of 0.92 is expected on the

basis of the frequency difference and a representative spectral index of 0.8. Consequently the scale used by Stull appears to be about 5% higher than that adopted here. The error estimates for the two sets of observations are comparable and the r.m.s. scatter of 3.6% in the flux density ratios for the six sources is in good agreement with these estimates.

### (b) Variations in Flux Density

Table 3 is a list of the sources in the present sample which are either known or thought to vary in flux density at centimetre wavelengths. The list comprises all sources labelled "var." or "var?" in column 9 of Table 2. For a number of sources a comparison has been made between the present observations and those by other observers at earlier epochs, and "var?" in these cases indicates that the source probably varies in flux density. Several sources suggested by other observers as being variable at centimetre wavelengths have been included, although the present observations do not necessarily support these suggestions.

Columns 3, 4, 5, and 6 of Table 3 contain the ratios of flux densities, indicated by the abbreviations (for other abbreviations see Section V.): PKS, present ( $8 \cdot 87 \text{ GHz}$ ) observations; BSB,  $6 \cdot 63$  and  $10 \cdot 7 \text{ GHz}$  observations at Algonquin Radio Observatory (Bell *et al.* 1971) interpolated for estimates of  $8 \cdot 87 \text{ GHz}$  flux densities; CRI,  $8 \cdot 55 \text{ GHz}$  observations at the Crimean Astrophysical Observatory (Andrievskii *et al.* 1969; Gorshkov *et al.* 1970); M,  $8 \cdot 0 \text{ GHz}$  observations at the University of Michigan Observatory (Brandie and Stull 1971; Stull 1971); Z,  $10 \cdot 69 \text{ GHz}$  observations at Bochum (Zimmermann 1970); DMP,  $10 \cdot 63 \text{ GHz}$ observations at Algonquin Radio Observatory (Doherty *et al.* 1969).

The ratios have been adjusted to remove the effects of the different flux density scales noted above. A colon following an entry in columns 3–6 indicates that the entry as it stands does not imply flux density variations. No reference in column 8 indicates that variations in the flux density of the source have not been suggested previously. It is clear that repeated observations for such sources are required to establish variations with certainty, as comparisons of single flux density measurements from different observatories can be misleading.

The apparent variations in PKS 0240–00 (3C 71; NGC 1068) are of particular interest. The source is known to be very luminous at infrared wavelengths (Kleinman and Low 1970), and some observers have suggested variations in flux density at millimetre wavelengths (Epstein and Fogarty 1968; Rather 1970; Fogerty *et al.* 1971). The radio spectrum (see e.g. Kellermann and Pauliny-Toth 1971) does not suggest the presence of compact components from which variations in flux density might be anticipated.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated a satisfactory technique for measuring the flux densities of small-diameter sources with a relatively narrow beam under conditions of low signal to noise ratio. Comparison with measurements from other observations indicates that the flux scale at 8.87 GHz is satisfactory, and suggests variation in the flux density at this frequency for at least 30% of the sources in the sample. The use of a stronger calibration signal would reduce the error due to system noise in its measurement, and determination of the source position with integrations at  $\pm 1/4$  beamwidth prior to the main on-off cycle could reduce the errors due to telescope pointing.

#### VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the receiver group of the Division of Radiophysics, CSIRO, for construction and maintenance of the receiver, Mr. J. G. Bolton for discussions on and suggested modifications to the observational technique, and Mr. P. W. Butler for assistance with the observations.

#### IX. References

- ANDRIEVSKII, A. E., et al. (1969).—Astr. Tsirk. Byuro astr. Soobshch No. 494, 1.
- BASH, F. N. (1968).—Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 16, 373.
- BELL, M. B., SEAQUIST, E. R., and BRAUN, L. D. (1971).-Astr. J. 76, 524.
- BRANDIE, G. W., and STULL, M. A. (1971).-Nature 231, 149.
- DAVIS, M. M. (1967).-Bull. astr. Insts Neth. 19, 201.
- DENT, W. A. (1965).—Science, N.Y. 148, 1458.
- DOHERTY, L. H., MACLEOD, J. M., and PURTON, C. R. (1969).-Astr. J. 74, 827.
- EDGE, D. O., SHAKESHAFT, J. R., MCADAM, W. B., BALDWIN, J. E., and ARCHER, S. (1959).—Mem. R. astr. Soc. 68, 37.
- EKERS, JENNIFER A. (Ed.) (1969).—Aust. J. Phys. astrophys. Suppl. No. 7.
- EKERS, R. D. (1970).—Aust. J. Phys. 23, 217.
- EPSTEIN, E. E., and FOGARTY, W. G. (1968).-Astr. J. 73, 873.
- FOGARTY, W. G., EPSTEIN, E. E., MONTGOMERY, J. M., and DWORETSKY, M. M. (1971).—Astr. J. 76, 537.
- FOMALONT, E. B. (1968).—Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 15, 203.
- Fomalont, E. B. (1971).—Astr. J. 76, 513.
- FOMALONT, E. B., and MOFFET, A. T. (1971).—Astr. J. 76, 5.
- GALT, J. A., and KENNEDY, J. E. D. (1968).-Astr. J. 73, 135.
- GORSHKOV, A. G., et al. (1970).—Astr. Tsirk. Byuro astr. Soobshch No. 545, 1.
- GOWER, J. F. R., SCOTT, P. F., and WILLS, D. (1967).-Mem. R. astr. Soc. 71, 49.
- HARO, G., and LUYTEN, W. J. (1962).-Boln Observs Tonantzintla Tacubaya 3, 37.
- HARRIS, BEVERLEY J. (1969).-Ph.D. Thesis, Australian National University.
- HARRIS, D. E., and ROBERTS, J. A. (1960).-Publs astr. Soc. Pacif. 72, 237.
- HAZARD, C. (1965).—In "Quasi-stellar Sources and Gravitational Collapse". (Eds. I. Robinson, A. Schild, and E. L. Shucking.) p. 135. (Univ. Chicago Press.)
- KELLERMANN, K. I., et al. (1970).—Astrophys. J. 161, 803.
- KELLERMANN, K. I., and PAULINY-TOTH, I. I. K. (1968).—Astrophys. J. 152, 639.
- KELLERMANN, K. I., and PAULINY-TOTH, I. I. K. (1971).—Astrophys. Lett. 8, 153.
- KELLERMANN, K. I., PAULINY-TOTH, I. I. K., and TYLER, W. C. (1968).-Astr. J. 73, 298.
- KELLERMANN, K. I., and READ, R. B. (1965).—Publs Owens Valley Radio Observ. 1, No. 2.
- KLEINMAN, D. E., and Low, F. J. (1970).—Astrophys. J. 159, L165.
- MACDONALD, G. H., and MILEY, G. K. (1971).-Astrophys. J. 164, 237.
- MALTBY, D., and MOFFET, A. T. (1962).—Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 7, 141.
- MEDD, W. J., LOCKE, J. L., ANDREW, B. H., and VAN DEN BERGH, S. (1968).-Astr. J. 73, 293.
- MILEY, G. K. (1971).-Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. 152, 477.
- MILLS, B. Y., SLEE, O. B., and HILL, E. R. (1958).-Aust. J. Phys. 11, 360.
- MILLS, B. Y., SLEE, O. B., and HILL, E. R. (1960).-Aust. J. Phys. 13, 676.
- MILLS, B. Y., SLEE, O. B., and HILL, E. R. (1961).-Aust. J. Phys. 14, 497.
- PALMER, H. P., et al. (1967).—Nature 213, 789.
- PAULINY-TOTH, I. I. K., WADE, G. M., and HEESCHEN, D. S. (1966).-Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 13, 65.
- PILKINGTON, J. D. H., and SCOTT, D. F. (1965).-Mem. R. astr. Soc. 69, 183.
- RATHER, J. D. G. (1970).—Bull. Am. astr. Soc. 2, 339.

SANDAGE, A., VÉRON, P., and WYNDHAM, J. D. (1965).—Astrophys. J. 142, 1307.

SHIMMINS, A. J. (1971).—Aust. J. Phys. astrophys. Suppl. No. 21.

SHIMMINS, A. J., and BOLTON, J. G. (1972a).-Aust. J. Phys. astrophys. Suppl. No. 23.

SHIMMINS, A. J., and BOLTON, J. G. (1972b).—Aust. J. Phys. astrophys. Suppl. No. 26.

STULL, M. A. (1971).—Astr. J. 76, 1.

TAYLOR, J. H. (1966).—Astrophys. J. 146, 646.

TAYLOR, J. H., and DE JONG, M. L. (1968).—Astrophys. J. 151, 33.

Тномая, В. МасА. (1970).—*Electron. Lett.* 6, 460.

WALL, J. V. (1972).-Aust. J. Phys. astrophys. Suppl. No. 24.

WALL, J. V., SHIMMINS, A. J., and MERKELIJN, J. K. (1971).—Aust. J. Phys. astrophys. Suppl. No. 19. ZIMMERMANN, P. (1970).—Beitr. Radioastron. 1(6), 161.