Big data or big risk: general practitioner, practice nurse and practice manager attitudes to providing de-identified patient health data from electronic medical records to researchers

Timothy Monaghan^{A,B}, Jo-Anne Manski-Nankervis^A and Rachel Canaway^A

^ADepartment of General Practice, Melbourne Medical School, The University of Melbourne, Vic. 3010, Australia.

^BCorresponding author. Email: tim.monaghan@unimelb.edu.au

Appendix S1. Interview schedule

Note that interview schedule is only indicative and will be tailored based on the interviewee e.g. GP, practice nurse or practice manager.

Hi, thanks very much for agreeing to participate in this study and for returning the Consent Form and Pre-Interview Questionnaire. Before we start, I wanted to clarify how much time you have available for this interview? I'd also like to remind you that we are audio [or video] recording this session. The recordings will be stored securely and separately from any identifying information and will only be used by the researchers.

The aim of this project is to explore general practitioners', practice nurses' and practice managers':

- (a) attitudes and beliefs about the sharing and use of deidentified patient health information for the purposes of research; and
- (b) perceived barriers to and facilitators for the provision of deidentified patient health information for the purposes of research.

Do you have any questions before we start?

Topic	Question/s	Prompts
Baseline attitude to	How do you feel about research using de-	
providing de-	identified patient health information from	
identified patient	general practices?	
health information for		
research		
Understanding of the	a. What is your understanding of how	- Aware of data extraction
process of data-	patient data are de-identified and	software that can
extraction from	extracted from electronic medical	automatically extract
electronic medical	records?	information from programs
records and consent	b. What types of patient healthcare data	such as Medical Director?
requirements	do you believe are extracted?	- Aware of what patient
	c. What, if any, type of consent do you	information is removed for de-
	think patients need to provide? How	identification? (e.g. names,
	do you feel about this?	DOB, address)
		- Examples of consent include
		individual written/verbal
		consent, being notified through
		a flyer etc in the practice.

Perceived utility of	a. How useful do you think the patient	- What kind of problems do you
research using de-	data in electronic medical records	think there are with data in
identified patient	would be for research?	electronic medical records?
health information	b. What do you think about research	- What kinds of research do you
	based on de-identified patient health	think could be done using this
	information from electronic medical	patient data?
	records?	patient data.
Perceived benefits	Could you tell me about any key benefits	- Any benefits for your
from providing de-	you feel there are to providing de-	relationship with your
identified health	identified patient information for	patients?
information for	research?	·
	research?	- Any benefits for your clinical
research		practice?
		- Any benefits through
		contributing to the wider body
		of research?
		- What, if any, benefits for
		patients do you anticipate?
Perceived <u>risks</u> and	a. Please tell me about any risks you are	- Any risks for your relationship
downsides of	concerned about in providing de-	with your patients?
providing de-	identified patient health information	- Any legal risks associated?
identified health	for research?	- Any concerns about
information for	Are there any other downsides you feel to	confidentiality or re-
research	agreeing to provide de-identified patient	identification?
	health information? (e.g. time and effort	- Any reputational risks
	involved?)	associated?
If it was (or is) the	a. Do you know if your practice currently	
participants decision,	provides or has previously provided	
would they provide	de-identified patient health	
de-identified patient	information for research?	
health information for	b. Who do you think in your practice is	
research?	(or would be) involved in the decision	
	to provide de-identified patient health	
	information for research?	
	c. If you were (or are) in the position to	
	decide whether to share de-identified	
	patient health information for	

	research, do you think you would do	
	so?	
	- If so, what is the key driving factor	
	for why you would?	
	If not, what is the key reason why you	
	would not? In what ways, if any, could this	
	issue be overcome?	
Opportunity to raise	Is there anything else that you would like	
any other points	to say about sharing de-identified patient	
	health information for research purposes?	
Transcript	Would you like to receive a copy of the	
	transcript of this interview?	