Evolution of Geosiris (Iridaceae): historical biogeography and plastid-genome evolution in a genus of non-photosynthetic tropical rainforest herbs disjunct across the Indian Ocean
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Abstract. Mycoheterotrophs, i.e. plants that acquire carbon from root-associated soil fungi, often have highly degraded plastomes, reflecting relaxed selective constraints on plastid genes following the loss of photosynthesis. Geosiris Baill. is the only mycoheterotrophic genus in Iridaceae and comprises two species in Madagascar and nearby islands, and a third recently discovered species in north-eastern Australia. Here, we characterise the plastomes of the Australian and one Madagascan species to compare patterns of plastome degradation in relation to autotrophic and other mycoheterotrophic taxa and investigate the evolutionary and biogeographical history of the genus in Iridaceae. Both examined species have lost approximately half their plastid-encoded genes and a small but significant reduction in purifying selection in retained non-photosynthetic genes was observed. Geosiris is confirmed as monophyletic, with initial divergence of the genus occurring \textit{c.} 53 million years ago, and subsequent diversification occurring \textit{c.} 30 million years ago. Africa (including Madagascar) is reconstructed as the most likely ancestral area of the genus, implying a major range-expansion event of one lineage to Australia after its divergence in the Oligocene. Our study has highlighted the dynamic evolutionary history of Geosiris, contributed to the characterisation of mycoheterotrophic plastomes, and furthered our understanding of plastome structure and function.
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Introduction

Heterotrophic plants are among the most unusual plants on Earth, and present fascinating natural systems for studying plastome evolution and biogeography. In contrast to autotrophic plants that fix carbon through photosynthesis, heterotrophic plants acquire some or all carbon (and other nutrients) either from other plants (plant parasites) or fungi (mycoheterotrophs; Merckx 2013). The degree to which mycoheterotrophs are reliant on fungal relationships can vary greatly, with some needing only partial supplementation of carbon through fungi, or only in certain stages of the life cycle (i.e. ‘partial’ and ‘initial’ mycoheterotrophs). Other mycoheterotrophs have completely lost the ability to photosynthesise and rely wholly on acquiring carbon through fungi (‘full mycoheterotrophs’; Merckx 2013). Full mycoheterotrophy has arisen independently at least 47 times in the evolution of land plants and occurs in more than 500 species of angiosperms in 36 families, one species of liverwort and (possibly) one conifer (Merckx et al. 2013a). Such an extreme shift in carbon-acquisition strategy is accompanied by major, convergent changes in plant morphology and the plastid genome (e.g. Wicke et al. 2016).

Several models have now been published describing how plastomes change in the transition from autotrophy to heterotrophy (Barrett and Davis 2012; Barrett et al. 2014; Naumann et al. 2016; Wicke et al. 2016; Graham et al. 2017). These models suggest that the transition is associated with gene loss and a major reduction in plastome size, with complete plastome loss possibly occurring in the most extreme cases (e.g. Rafflesia lagascae Blanco; Molina et al. 2014). This
plastome degradation is thought to be driven by relaxation of selection for efficient or functional photosynthesis, resulting in a higher tolerance of plastome mutations and eventual loss of the photosynthetic apparatus (Merckx et al. 2013; Cusimano and Wicke 2016; Graham et al. 2017). Ultimately, this results in plastid-gene pseudogenisation, loss, or, sometimes, in functional transfer to the nucleus in heterotrophic plants (Cusimano and Wicke 2016; Wicke and Naumann 2018). Although the order of gene degradation in heterotrophic plastomes is debated, all current models predict that genes with a purely photosynthetic role will be lost first, whereas genes with ‘essential’ non-bioenergetic roles (e.g. accD, involved in lipid biosynthesis), roles in the plastid genetic apparatus (translation-apparatus genes; plastid intron maturase), or multiple roles will be conserved for longer (Graham et al. 2017; Wicke and Naumann 2018). NADH dehydrogenase genes are thought to be lost first, followed by most photosynthesis-related genes (e.g. plastid-encoded polymerase (PEP), Photosystem I and II genes), the large subunit of Rubisco and ATP synthase genes (which may have additional functions unrelated to photosynthesis), and finally the translation-apparatus genes and genes with non-bioenergetic functions (Barrett and Davis 2012; Barrett et al. 2014; Naumann et al. 2016; Wicke et al. 2016; Graham et al. 2017). The rapidly increasing availability of characterised plastomes from different mycoheterotrophic lineages driven by the affordability of next-generation sequencing will help improve these models and enable insights into plastome evolution and gene function.

The unusual biogeographic patterns of some mycoheterotrophs have puzzled researchers for more than a century. Mycoheterotrophic lineages are phylogenetically diverse and often geographically widespread and disjunct across vast distances (e.g. two allegedly closely related species of Thisminia Griff. in North America and Australia–New Zealand: Thorne 1972; Merckx et al. 2013), yet mycoheterotrophic clades characteristically have a low level of taxonomic diversity (Merckx et al. 2013). This low diversity may be in part a survey bias artefact; mycoheterotrophs are generally very inconspicuous (e.g. Merckx et al. 2013). Discovery and documentation of new mycoheterotrophic species is essential for understanding the unusual biology and evolution of these plants.

Recently, several new species of mycoheterotrophs have been discovered in the Australian Wet Tropics (e.g. Cooper 2017), including Geosiris australiensis B.Gray & Y.W.Low (Fig. 1; Gray and Low 2017). Geosiris Baill. is the only mycoheterotrophic genus in the family Iridaceae, previously represented by two species from Madagascar and nearby islands (Gray and Low 2017). The Madagascan endemic Geosiris aphylla Baill. was described in 1894 (Baillon 1894) and a second species, Geosiris albiflora Goldblatt & J.C. Manning, was described from the nearby Mayotte Island in 2010 (Goldblatt and Manning 2010). The discovery of G. australiensis in 2017 represents a major range extension of the genus, rendering it disjunct across the Indian Ocean.

On the basis of reconstructions of deeper-level relationships in Iridaceae, Sanmartin and Ronquist (2006) and Goldblatt et al. (2008) postulated an Australasian origin of the family, with a subsequent dispersal to other continents. The phylogenetic framework of Goldblatt et al. (2008), based on analysis of five plastid markers, further placed Geosiris as a deep-branching lineage within a clade numerically dominated by African species, which suggested a dispersal out of Australasia before the divergence of Geosiris. However, the recent discovery of an additional Geosiris lineage in Australia enriches the biogeography of the family and forces a re-assessment of historical biogeographical hypotheses.

Fig. 1. Geosiris australiensis in field. Photo B. Gray (published in Candollea 72, 249–255 (2017); used with permission).
In this study, we aimed to characterise the plastome of *G. aphylla* and *G. australiensis* to infer aspects of plastome evolution within this mycoheterotrophic genus and to re-analyse its spatio-temporal history in the context of the Iridaceae. Specifically, we aimed to

(1) evaluate the monophyly of the genus *Geosiris* and resolve its phylogenetic relationships,
(2) investigate plastome evolution within *Geosiris* by comparing plastomes of the two sampled species with each other, and with autotrophic Iridaceae,
(3) assess patterns of gene loss and structural re-arrangement in *Geosiris* plastomes in the context of current models of gene degradation in heterotrophic plants, and
(4) estimate divergence dates and ancestral areas within Iridaceae, with a specific focus on the historical biogeography of *Geosiris*.

**Materials and methods**

**Sampling**

To investigate the biogeography and evolution of the genus *Geosiris*, we targeted two of the three known species for analysis, namely, *G. aphylla* and *G. australiensis*. We were unable to obtain a sample of *G. albiflora* suitable for plastome analysis. We also obtained an autotrophic Iridaceae for comparison, namely, *Iris missouriensis* Nutt.

For *G. australiensis*, we obtained silica-dried material of an entire individual from the same population as the type collection in Daintree National Park, ~95 km north–north-west of Cairns, Queensland, Australia (*B. Gray 9763; T. Hawkes and T. de Groot; CNS 145287*).

For *G. aphylla*, we obtained DNA from Kew Botanical Gardens (Prance 30781, K). For *I. missouriensis*, we used a silica-dried specimen held at the University of Alberta herbarium (ALTA; M. A. McPherson 000707-5a-7).

**DNA extraction**

For *G. aphylla*, we extracted the entire cellular DNA with a modified phenol–isopropanol–cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle and Doyle 1987) and checked the DNA quality using a NanoDrop2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo–Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). DNA concentration was determined to be 3.6 ng µL⁻¹ with a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo–Fisher Scientific), and a total of 250 ng of DNA was sent to the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF) for library preparation and sequencing on Illumina HiSeq 2500 machines (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) as 125-bp-paired end reads.

For *G. aphylla*, we obtained DNA from the DNA and Tissue Collection at the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (London, UK), which was extracted using the method of Doyle and Doyle (1987), followed by density-gradient cleaning and dialysis. The DNA sample was submitted to a sequencing facility (University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada) for library preparation using the Bioo Nextflex DNA sequencing kit (Bioo Scientific Corporation, Austin, TX, USA).

For *Iris missouriensis*, we extracted total cellular DNA by using a modified CTAB protocol (Doyle and Doyle 1987; Rai et al. 2003) and prepared the library using the NuGEN Ovation Ultralow Library System kit (NuGEN Technologies Inc., San Carlos, CA, USA). Both *G. aphylla* and *I. missouriensis* libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 machines as 100-bp-paired end reads.

**Plastome assembly and annotation**

For *G. australiensis*, we assembled the complete plastome using a combination of SPAdes assembler (ver. 3.10; Saint Petersburg State University, Russia; Bankevich et al. 2012) and Geneious assembler (ver. 11; www.geneious.com; Kearse et al. 2012), and by remapping the original reads in Geneious (Kearse et al. 2012). In the first step, we assembled 4.8 × 10⁶ paired raw reads (125 bp) into 59 357 contigs by using SPAdes (error correct & assemble, careful mode). To select contigs belonging to the plastome, we mapped plastid genes extracted from the whole plastome of another member of Iridaceae, namely *Iris gatesii* Foster (GenBank accession number NC024936), to the SPAdes contigs. We identified 13 contigs from this process that contained plastid genes, which were then elongated by mapping *G. australiensis* reads to them using the Geneious mapper (medium–low sensitivity and 10 iterations). We assembled the elongated contigs *de novo* by using the Geneious assembler (high sensitivity, five iterations) into one large contig of 82 332 bp.

We remapped raw *G. australiensis* reads onto this large contig, resulting in a mean coverage of 12.23 reads per base pair. To verify the rigour and continuity of the contig, regions of low coverage (fewer than five reads) and polymorphic sites were individually remapped and low-quality reads were removed to create unambiguous contigs. Only one region, namely a poly-A locus in the plastid inverted repeat region, remained unresolved. Connectivity across this poly-A region was evident from the presence of paired reads on either side of the region. Sudden doubling in coverage of mapped reads was interpreted as indicating potential inverted repeat (IR) boundaries, the exact positions of which were subsequently established by realigning the contig with itself across those boundaries. We annotated plastome loci using the Geneious transfer-annotation tool and the reference *Iris gatesii* (NC024936).

For *G. aphylla* and *I. missouriensis*, we processed the Illumina reads using CASAVA (ver. 1.8.2, Illumina Inc., see www.illumina.com) to discard low-quality reads, and assembled processed Illumina reads into *de novo* contigs by using CLC Genomics Workbench (ver. 6.5.1, CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark) with default settings, and selecting for contigs >500 bp with at least 20 × coverage, and an average of ~280 × coverage for *G. aphylla*. We used a custom Perl script (Daisie Huang, University of British Columbia; https://github.com/daisiephylogenomics/tree/master/filtering/filter_cp.pl, accessed 13 November 2018) to BLAST contigs against a local database (Altschul et al. 1990) of plastid genes (*Dioscorea elephantipes* Engl.; NC009601.1; *Phoenix dactylifera* L. NC0013991) and selected for plastid contigs. We then used Primer3 (Koressaar and Remm 2007; Untergasser et al. 2007) to design *Geosiris*- or *Iris*-specific primers to join contigs and confirm overlaps. We amplified DNA by using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo–Fisher Scientific), and performed Sanger...
sequencing by using BigDye Terminator sequencing chemistry (ver. 3.1, Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA), with sequencing reactions run on an Applied Biosystems 3730S 48-capillary DNA analyser (Applied Biosystems Inc.). We assembled a full circular plastome sequence for G. aphylla and I. missouriensis from de novo contigs and Sanger-derived sequences in Sequencher (ver. 4.2.2; Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), and annotated plastid genes for the completed genome sequences in DOGMA (Wyman et al. 2004). We checked gene and exon boundaries for each protein-coding gene by using the D. elephantipes and P. dactylifera plastomes as references, checking intron and intergenic spacer regions in Sequencher to look for pseudogenes that may have been missed by BLAST. We also searched for potentially missing tRNAs using tRNAscan-SE search (Lowe and Eddy 1997) and used OGDRAW (Lohse et al. 2013) to generate plastome maps.

The GenBank accession numbers for the assembled G. aphylla, G. australiensis and I. missouriensis plastomes are provided in Table 1.

**Plastome gene mapping and comparison**

So as to assess the level of mycoheterotrophy in Geosiris and to compare patterns of gene degradation, we compared the plastomes of G. australiensis and G. aphylla with those of autotrophic relatives Iris missouriensis and I. gatesii (NC024936.1), initial mycotrophototroph Dendrobium candidum Wall. ex Lindl. (Orchidaceae, Asparagales; KY887994.1), and full mycotrophotet phots Petrosavia stellaris Becc. (Petrosaviaceae, Petrosaviaceae; KF482381.1) and Thsismia tentaculata K.Larsen & Aver. (Thsismiaceae, Dioscoreales; KX171421.1). We categorised genes as either intact, pseudogenised or lost. Genes were considered to be lost if they were not mapped in the annotation process, or if less than 30% of the gene was present after alignment of the Geosiris plastomes. Protein-coding genes containing a frameshift, internal stop codons, an unclear start codon or no stop codon were categorised as putative pseudogenes. Intact genes featured a start and terminal stop codon, and are presumably functional; however, future experimental evidence to detect the expression of genes (or confirm pseudogenisation) would be needed to verify such categorisations.

We detected, counted and annotated tandem repeats by using the Geneious plugin Phobos (ver. 3.3.12, C. Mayer, Bochum, Germany, see www.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/ecoenvo/cm/cm_phobos.htm, accessed 10 March 2018). The search was restricted to perfect repeats between 2 and 1000 bp long; otherwise, default settings were used.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

To assess the phylogenetic relationships of G. australiensis, we added relevant loci from its plastome to the published dataset of Goldblatt et al. (2008). The study by Goldblatt et al. (2008) represents the most comprehensive estimate of the phylogeny of Iridaceae, incorporating data from several studies (Chase et al. 1995; Souza-Chies et al. 1997; Reeves et al. 2001) that together represent 81 taxa from ~70 genera and five plastid DNA regions, including matK, rbcL, rps4, rps16 and trnL–trnF. It also includes data (but not rbcL, which is absent) from an accession of the same G. aphylla individual that we sampled to characterise the G. aphylla plastome (Prance 30781, K).

We optimised the dataset by removing accessions so that each genus was represented by a single operational taxonomic unit (OTU). To minimise missing data in the matrix, we combined multiple complementary loci of species in genera with incomplete data. We also updated the taxonomy of accessions so that taxa included in the study of Goldblatt et al. (2008) that were subsequently synonymised with other genera in Iridaceae (Ainea Ravenna, Cypella Herb., and Fosteria Molseed; Goldblatt and Manning 2008) were removed. Finally, eight outgroup taxa were added to improve the representation of closely related Asparagales families based on APGIV (Angiosperm Phylogeny Group et al. 2016; P. F. Stevens, Angiosperm phylogeny website, see http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/APweb, accessed 14 February 2018), and to increase the reliability of calibration in molecular dating analysis. All accessions included in the phylogenetic analysis are listed in Table S1 (available as Supplementary material to this paper) with their origin and GenBank numbers.

We aligned each locus separately by using MAFFT (ver. 7.309; Katoh and Standley 2013), subsequently checking the alignment and making necessary manual adjustments. Finally, we concatenated the alignments to form a matrix comprising 61 taxa and 5828 nucleotides.

Whereas the study of Goldblatt et al. (2008) used parsimony to estimate the phylogeny of Iridaceae, we used two model-based methods, namely, Bayesian inference and maximum likelihood (ML). We used jModeltest (ver. 2.1.7, see https://github.com/
ddarriba/jmodeltest2; Darriba et al. 2012) to compare substitution models on the basis of the Akaike information criterion (AIC; Akaiake 1974) and chose GTR + G as the best-fit model. Maximum-likelihood analyses were conducted in RAxML (ver. 8.1.2, see https://cme.h-its.org/exelixis/web/software/raxml/index.html; Stamatakis 2014) with the rapid bootstrap option and 1000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian analyses were conducted in MrBayes (ver. 3.2.6; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) with two independent runs and three heated chains of two million generations to ensure the standard deviation of split frequencies was <0.10. Trees were sampled every 4000 generations, and a consensus tree formed using all compatible groups after removing a burn-in fraction of 20%. We rooted phylogenies using Molineria capitulata (Lour.) Herb. (Hypoxidaceae, Asparagales) as the outgroup.

Divergence dating
Following the recommendations of Sauquet (2013), we chose a simultaneous tree-construction method for the dating analysis, using a relaxed-clock model in a Bayesian framework (Drummond et al. 2006). We performed the divergence dating analysis in BEAST (ver. 2.4.8, see http://www.beast2.org; Bouckaert et al. 2014), using the uncorrelated log-normal distributed relaxed-clock model, a Yule tree prior, and the BEAST model test, including all reversible models.

The dating analysis relied on secondary calibration because of the absence of reliable fossils in Iridaceae (Iles et al. 2015). We used posterior age estimates from the comprehensive dating analysis of (Magallon et al. 2015) to constrain three nodes with normally distributed priors. We set three constraints on subsequent nodes so as to minimise the effect of ingroup to outgroup sampling bias on age estimates (Muellner-Riehl et al. 2016). The root node, representing the split between Hypoxidaceae and all other taxa, was set to 102.9 (91.3–114) million years ago. We set the stem node of the clade comprising Ixioliriceae and Tecomiphlaeaceae to 88.9 (75.6–102) million years ago, and the stem node of Iridaceae to 80.7 (66.0–95.4) million years ago. We forced the monophyly of the ingroup (all taxa except Molineria capitulata) and of the clade Ixiolirion tataricum Schult.f. plus Zephyra elegans D.Don to ensure a topology congruent with the results of Magallon et al. (2015) and APGIV (Angiosperm Phylogeny Group et al. 2016; P. F. Stevens, see http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/APweb). We ran five parallel chains with 10 million generations each to facilitate convergence at the same maximum. Tracer (ver 1.6, see http://www.beast2.org) was used to ensure a sufficient estimated sample size (>200) and appropriate burn-in. We combined resultant tree files in LogCombiner (ver. 2.4.6, see http://www.beast2.org) by sampling every 4000 generations with a burn-in of 20%, resulting in 10 000 trees. Last, we used TreeAnnotator (ver. 2.4.6, see http://www.beast2.org) to create the final chronogram of a maximum clade-credibility tree with common ancestor heights as node ages.

Ancestral-area estimation
We reconstructed the biogeographic history of Iridaceae using the R package BioGeoBEARS (ver. 2.1, N. J. Matzke, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA, see http://CRAN. R-project.org/package=BioGeoBEARS, accessed 7 March 2018). This software enables comparison of the fit of different range-inheritance models to the data. We compared a ML version of dispersal–vicariance (DIVALIKE; Ronquist 1997; Matzke 2014) and dispersal–extinction–cladogenesis (DEC; Ree and Smith 2008) models with and without founder events (+J). Addition of founder events to the DEC and ML–DIVA models allows for scenarios where one descendant disperses to a new area, while the other remains in the ancestral area (Matzke 2014). We applied all four models (DEC, DEC+J, ML–DIVA, ML–DIVA+J) using the chronogram of the relaxed molecular-clock analysis as the input tree and compared the resultant likelihood values using the AIC (Akaiake 1974) to determine the best-fitting model.

Area delimitation was based on major landmasses and the distribution of recent species. We coded the distribution of each genus to the World Geographical Scheme for Recording Plant Distributions (Brummitt et al. 2001) by using the R package SpeciesGeocodeR (see https://github.com/azizka/speciesgeocoder/wiki; Töpel et al. 2016) from GBIF occurrence data (http://www.GBIF.org, accessed 25 January 2018). The coding of each genus was then checked using the World Checklist of Selected Plant Families (Kew, London, UK, see http://wcs.net/science.kew.org, accessed 13 November 2018) to ensure that only the native distribution was coded for each genus. This resulted in the delimitation of the following five major areas: (A) Africa (including Madagascar), (B) Eurasia, (C) Australasia (including islands of the Indo-Malay Archipelago), (D) North America (including Central America) and (E) South America. Any possible combination of areas was allowed, so long as areas are connected through adjacency. We removed ‘non-adjacent’ areas to exclude unrealistic ranges. To account for past land bridges, we considered areas ‘non-adjacent’ if they have not been connected in the past 80 million years. For example, the combined area ‘Africa–Australasia’ was disallowed because both areas are non-adjacent; however, indirect connection of non-adjacent areas through adjacent areas were allowed as combined areas, such as ‘Africa–Eurasia–Australasia’, as Eurasia connects Africa with Australasia. This does not influence the dispersal probabilities between non-adjacent areas. Long-distance dispersal between all areas and combined areas was allowed. The complete list of included and excluded ranges is provided in Fig. S2, available as Supplementary material to this paper.

Model-based tests of changes in selective regime
We conducted tests of change in selection regime, and relaxation of the intensification of selection, using individual alignments for each retained gene (Table S6, available as Supplementary material to this paper) in the context of homologues from an additional 34 species spanning the broad phylogenetic diversity of Asparagales (Lam et al. 2018). These tests require a reference phylogenetic tree, which we estimated from a concatenated matrix of the 27 retained protein-coding genes in G. australiensis (Fig. S3, available as Supplementary material to this paper). We aligned individual genes using MAFFT (ver. 7.313, see https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/
software; Katoh and Standley 2013) and examined and manually corrected individual alignments by using criteria laid out in Graham et al. (2000). We inferred a ML tree using RAxML (ver. 8.2.11; Stamatakis 2014), on the basis of the concatenated alignment, with individual genes being partitioned according to PartitionFinder (ver. 2.1.1, see http://www.robertlanfear.com/partitionfinder/; Lanfear et al. 2016), using 20 starting trees and the GTR + G DNA substitution model for each gene, and otherwise using default settings. Tests for changes in the selective regime were performed using the branch test (Yang 1998) and RELAX test (Wertheim et al. 2015); significance was evaluated by correcting the \( \alpha \)-value to account for multiple tests (genes), with a false discovery rate of 0.05 (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).

To conduct branch tests, we used the codeml module in PAML (ver. 4.9d, see http://abacus.gene.ucl.ac.uk/software/paml.html; Yang 2007), so as to assess changes in the selective regime for each retained gene (open reading frame; ORF) for \( G. australiensis \) and \( G. aphylla \) in turn, detected as differences in \( d_{S_1}:d_{S_2} \) or \( \omega \) values (ratios of non-synonymous substitutions per non-synonymous site, to synonymous substitutions per synonymous site) for each gene in the species of interest, compared with the rest of Asparagales. The branch test compares two models; Model \( M_0 \) estimates \( \omega \) assuming that all branches in the phylogeny evolve with the same selective regime; Model \( M_1 \) allows a different selective regime for a test branch (e.g. \( G. australiensis \) or clade) compared with the rest of the phylogeny. The likelihood of each model is estimated using a ML algorithm, and the significance of the test is evaluated using a likelihood ratio test (LRT), considering a Chi-Square distribution with one degree of freedom.

We also repeated the branch test on a concatenated set of consistently retained intact genes (ORFs), but considering the entire \( Geosiris \) clade (i.e. the two species and stem branch), and used RELAX (Wertheim et al. 2015) to test for evidence of relaxed or intensified selection across the gene set and clade. RELAX assigns each site to one of three rate classes (\( \omega_1 \), \( \omega_2 \), and \( \omega_0 \), indicating purifying selection, neutral evolution and positive selection respectively) for the test clade compared with the reference set of species (here, the other species of Asparagales). A null model constrains the test and reference taxa to behave the same for each class; an alternative model allows either relaxation or intensification of purifying and positive selection compared with the null case. Relaxation is detected when the values in each rate class approach 1.0 (neutral evolution) and intensification is detected when there is a strengthening of selection. Rate changes are summarised as a relaxation coefficient (\( k \)), where \( k < 1 \) indicates relaxation, and \( k > 1 \) indicates intensification of selection.

**Results**

**Plastid-genome assembly**

All assembled contigs formed a complete circle. The resultant \( I. missouriensis \) plastome is 153,084 bp long, comprising a large single-copy (LSC) region of 82,484 bp, a short single-copy (SSC) region of 18,264 bp and inverted repeats (IRs) of 26,168 bp each (Table 1). The total size of the \( G. aphylla \) plastome is 123,620 bp, comprising a LSC region of 59,181 bp, a SSC region of 12,428 bp and two IRs of 25,293 bp (Table 1, Fig. 2). The plastome of \( G. australiensis \) is similar in total size (119,004 kb); however, its IRs are 36,347 bp, and its SSC region is only 515 bp (Table 1, Fig. 3). In \( G. aphylla \) and \( I. missouriensis \), \( rpl15 \), \( ycf1 \), \( psaC \) and NADH dehydrogenase genes \( ndhD \), \( ndhE \), \( ndhG \), \( ndhA \) and \( ndhH \) are located in the SSC, but are instead in the IR in \( G. australiensis \) (Fig. 4). We discovered a poly-A region in the IR of \( G. australiensis \) within \( ycf1 \); its exact length could not be determined, but it is estimated to be 30–50 bp long because of the close proximity of matching read pairs in the flanking regions. Through alignment of the two \( Geosiris \) species, a similar poly-A region was detected in \( G. aphylla \), and its length was determined to be 14 bp.

**Plastome gene mapping and comparison**

In total, 83 genes were found in \( G. australiensis \); 23 of these are putative pseudogenes, 29 are tRNA genes and four are rRNA genes (Fig. 2, Table S6). In total, 96 genes were found in \( G. aphylla \) (not including duplicated genes or spliced genes), of which 38 protein-coding genes are putative pseudogenes, 30 are tRNA genes and four are rRNA genes (Fig. 3, Table S6). This is in contrast to the photosynthetic relative \( Iris missouriensis \), where we found 111 genes, all of which are intact, with 30 being tRNA genes and four rRNA genes (Fig. 4, Table S6). Most gene loss and pseudogenisation in \( Geosiris \) occurred within PEP genes, and the NADH dehydrogenase, Rubisco large subunit, ATP synthase and other photosynthesis genes; however, \( G. australiensis \) also showed pseudogenisation of \( accD \), \( rpl32 \), \( trnS–GCU \) and \( ycf1 \), and a complete loss of \( trnG–UCC \) (Fig. 4, Table S6). Although the overall pattern in gene degradation was similar between species, we observed multiple differences in the retention and pseudogenisation of individual genes (Fig. 4, Table S6). One difference is for PEP genes; three of the four genes are present but are putative pseudogenes in \( G. aphylla \) and one is lost, whereas three of these genes are completely lost in \( G. australiensis \). Compared to the plastomes of other representative taxa, \( G. australiensis \) and \( G. aphylla \) have plastomes that are more degraded than those of \( Petrosavia stellaris \) (Petrosaviaceae), although not as degraded as those of \( Thismia tentaculata \) (Dioscoreales; Fig. 5).

**Tests of selection in retained protein-coding genes**

There were mostly minor changes in \( \omega \) (either towards or away from zero) for most of the retained genes involved in the plastid translation apparatus (i.e. \( rpl \) genes, \( rps \) genes, \( infA \) and those involved in other non-bioenergetic functions (i.e. \( accD \), \( clpP \) and \( marK \); Table 2). Note that an \( \omega \)-value of \( \sim 1 \) is consistent with neutral evolution, values between 0 and 1 may reflect purifying selection, and those >1 may indicate positive selection. According to the branch test, most of these genes do not have significantly detectable changes in selection pressure compared with their photosynthetic relatives (Table 2, Fig. S3), a finding consistent with their being under strong purifying selection. However, there were two exceptions, both reflecting significant increases in \( \omega \) (i.e. consistent with
relaxation of purifying selection); one of these involved the ribosomal protein gene \( rps3 \) in \( G. aphylla \) (\( w = 0.541 \), compared with 0.129 in its photosynthetic relatives; \( P < 0.05 \); Table 2 reports \( w \) for the branch of interest, with the difference in \( w \), compared with the rest of the tree, noted in parentheses), the other involved the plastid-encoded protease subunit gene, \( clpP \), in \( G. australiensis \) (\( w = 0.453 \), compared with 0.124 in photosynthetic relatives; \( P < 0.05 \)). In addition, the ribosomal

---

**Fig. 2.** Visualisation of \textit{Geosiris australiensis} plastome. Genes are coloured by function, and orientation represents direction of transcription (right, forward; left, reverse). Notation next to gene name indicates gene structure and functionality (\( y \), putative pseudogene; *, genes with an intron). Grey inner circle denotes GC content and demarcates boundaries of the inverted repeat (IR), short single-copy (SSC) and large single-copy (LSC) regions.
protein gene rps18 locus of G. aphylla had a $d_{S}:d_{S}$ value $>1.0$ ($\omega = 1.125$ compared with 0.192 in green relatives); however, this change was not significant ($P = 0.933$; Table 2). As individual plastid genes can be quite short, tests on them may, consequently, lack power to detect shifts in selection. We, therefore, repeated the branch test on a pooled set of all of the consistently retained genes (i.e. excluding atpH, psaA, ndhG, lhaA, accD and rpl32, but including all others), considering the Geosiris clade as a whole $v.$ its photosynthetic relatives (Fig. S3). This pooled test found evidence for a small but significant change in purifying selection across this set of genes (pooled $\omega$ for Geosiris
Fig. 4. Comparison of plastid-genome structure. A. Iris missouriensis. B. Geosiris aphylla. B. Geosiris australiensis. Genes are coloured by function, and orientation represents direction of transcription (right, forward; left, reverse). Notation next to gene name indicates gene structure and functionality (ψ, putative pseudogene; *, genes with introns). Dark grey shading indicates inverted repeat regions.
was 0.289, reflecting a $\omega$ increase of 0.066 across these genes compared with photosynthetic Asparagales; $P = 0.005$). A RELAX test on the same clade and gene set was consistent with relaxation of selection across this gene set, compared with their photosynthetic relatives ($k = 0.87; P = 0.023$).

Finally, four photosynthesis-related genes are retained as ORFs in *G. australiensis* (Table 2). The branch test did not detect any change in selection in two of these (*atpH* and *lhbA*), detected a significant weakening of selection in one (*ndhG*), with an $\omega$ close to 1.0) and could not be applied to a fourth gene (*psaI*) that lacked synonymous substitutions (Table 2).

### Phylogenetic analyses

The phylogenetic reconstructions using ML and Bayesian inference resulted in phylogenies with identical topologies at all well supported branches (i.e. branches with bootstrap (BS) values $>70\%$ and posterior probabilities (PP) $>0.9$; Fig. 6). Iridaceae was recovered as monophyletic with strong support (100%, 1), *Doryanthes excelsa* Correa (Doryanthaceae) was well supported as the sister group of all remaining sampled taxa (except the outgroup *Molineria capitulata*) with moderate support (77%, 0.93). Subsequent sister groups to the remaining Asparagales are Ixioliriaceae–Tecophilaeaceae.
The clade comprising Ixioliriaceae–Tecophilaeaceae was moderately supported at 75%, 0.93) and Amaryllidaceae–Xeronemataceae, which is the sister group of Iridaceae among sampled families of Asparagales (a well-supported relationship at 97%, 1; the clade comprising Amaryllidaceae and Xeronemataceae was also recovered with strong support, 99%, 1).

All subfamilies of Iridaceae represented by more than one accession (Iridoideae, Geosirioideae, Nivenioideae and Crocoideae) were recovered as monophyletic with strong support (100%, 1). Isophysioideae was sister to the rest of Iridaceae, (99%, 1). The three genera of Patersonia R.Br., Geosiris and Aristea form successive sister groups (each node 100%, 1) to a well-supported clade (100%, 1) comprising Nivenioideae and

Fig. 6. Estimated phylogeny of Iridaceae based on maximum-likelihood analysis of five plastid loci (matK, rbcL, rps4, rps16, trnL–F). Subfamilies are indicated by bars (CRO, Crocoideae; NIV, Nivenioideae; ARI, Aristeoideae; GEO, Geosirioideae; PAT, Patersonioideae; IRI, Iridoideae; ISO, Isophysioideae; OUT, outgroup taxa). Maximum, strong, and moderate clade support are displayed with dots at nodes coloured in black, grey and white respectively. Bootstrap values are given above nodes, posterior probabilities from corresponding Bayesian inference are shown below nodes.
Fig. 7. Chronogram based on a relaxed molecular-clock analysis of five plastid loci (maTK, rbcL, rps4, rps16, trnL–F). Numbers below nodes display age estimates in million years. Pie diagrams above nodes show results of ancestral-range estimation using dispersal–extinction–cladogenesis model with jump dispersal. Coding of ancestral areas is given next to the tip, colour codes are explained in insert and map. Maximum, strong, and moderate clade support are displayed with dots at nodes coloured in black, grey and white respectively. Pli., Pliocene; Ple., Pleistocene.
Crocoideae (both of which were also well supported; 100%, 1). *Geosiris australiensis* and *G. aphylla* were well supported as a clade (100%, 1), but displayed a high degree of genetic divergence from each other (0.073 substitutions per site).

**Divergence dating**

The topology of all well-supported branches in the relaxed molecular clock analysis is congruent with the topologies of the ML and Bayesian phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 7). Divergence dates shown in the maximum clade credibility tree from the BEAST analysis are median ages, and node bars display the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals; age estimates for all nodes are given in Fig. S4 and Table S7 available as Supplementary material to this paper.

Iridaceae diverged from its sister clade (Amaryllidaceae and Xeronemataceae) in the upper Cretaceous at 83.1 million years ago (HPD interval, 73.4–93.0 million years ago). The crown node of Iridaceae marking the divergence (stem age) of *Isophysioideae* was dated at 71.6 (60.6–82.9) million years ago.

Our results suggest that *Geosiris* diverged from its sister clade (Aristeioideae, Nivenioideae and Crocoideae) in the early Eocene, 53.2 (42.2–64.5) million years ago (stem age). The split between *G. aphylla* and *G. australiensis* (crown age of *Geosiris*) occurred 29.9 (16.1–43.9) million years ago in the Oligocene. Crocoideae and Nivenioideae diverged from each other in the late Eocene, 36.7 (27.6–46.5) million years ago, and have respective crown ages in the mid- to late Oligocene or 26.7 (19.7–34.6) and 23.3 (13.6–33.5) million years ago. The *Geosiris* clade displays high median substitution rates, with 0.0013 substitutions per site per million years in its stem lineage and 0.0011 substitutions per site per million years and 0.0015 substitutions per site per million years in the stem lineages of *G. aphylla* and *G. australiensis* respectively. For comparison, the mean substitution rate across the whole phylogeny is 0.007 substitutions per site per million years.

**Ancestral-range analysis**

The weighted AIC values of biogeographic models in BioGeoBEARS indicated that models with jump dispersal were highly preferred over models without jump dispersal (Table S2). The DEC+J model had higher Akaike weights than did the DIVALIKE+J model, albeit only marginally so (0.46 > 0.45), and displayed only minor differences in the reconstruction of ancestral areas (Tables S3–S5). The majority of nodes in both models had an unambiguous ancestral-area reconstruction, with one area or a combined area having a much higher likelihood than the second-most likely result (Fig. S1). However, some basal nodes had ambiguous ancestral-area reconstruction results that also differed between the two favoured biogeographic models (DEC+J and DIVALIKE+J; DEC+J results in more ambiguous estimates; Fig. S1). The largest difference in reconstructed ancestral area between the two models was at the shared node of the Asian *Syringodoea* Hook.f. and African *Crocus* L. (DEC+J: 52% Africa, 47% Africa + Asia; DIVALIKE+J: 77% Africa + Asia, 23% Africa). All other differences either ultimately led to the same reconstructed area or pertained to nodes with already ambiguous results (e.g. the ancestor of Iridoideae: DEC+J: 32% Australasia, 19% all areas combined, 17% Australasia + South America; DIVALIKE+J: 28% Australasia + South America, 19% Australasia).

The ancestral areas of the first four divergence events in Iridaceae in the late Cretaceous and the Paleocene were reconstructed to be Australasia with 50, 48, 85 and 32% at the stem nodes of *Isophysioideae*, Iridoideae, Patersonioideae and the crown node of Iridoideae respectively (Fig. 7). The ancestral area of the stem node of *Geosiris*, as well as of the split between *G. aphylla* from Madagascar and *G. australiensis* from Australia, was estimated to be Africa (62% Africa and ~38% Australasia for both nodes). Thus, a biogeographical scenario comprising a dispersal event from Australasia to Africa in the late Eocene to Paleocene (in the common ancestor of *Isophysioideae*, Iridoideae, *Patersonioideae* and *Geosiridoideae*), and a subsequent dispersal of one *Geosiris* lineage back to Australia in the Oligocene or later, was favoured by the analysis (Fig. 7).

The ancestral ranges of most ancestors in the Aristeioideae, Nivenioideae and Crocoideae subfamilies are inferred to be in Africa, with the only exception being range expansions to Africa in *Gladiolus* L., *Romulea* Maratti and *Crocus* in the Miocene or later (Fig. 7). In Iridoideae, a major range expansion out of Australasia is evident in the early Eocene or late Paleocene for the ancestor of all genera except Australian *Diplarrenia* Labill.; this ancestor was either geographically widespread (occurring in all areas bar Australasia; ABD: 27%), or in South America (24%), indicating jump dispersal followed by range expansion. This lineage diverged in the Eocene into two clades. The first clade radiated in South America with 12 descendant lineages reaching North America in the Miocene or later, and one (*Orthosanthes* Steud.) dispersed back to Australia. The second clade remained in the northern hemisphere (*Iris*) and in Asia and Africa.

**Discussion**

The evolutionary transition to a heterotrophic lifestyle from autotrophic ancestry occurred multiple times in the radiation of land plants. Typically associated with this transition is a reduction in plastome size and degradation of plastid genes (Wicke and Naumann 2018), with certain genes tending to be lost more often and earlier in the transition to heterotrophy, and others generally remaining present and functional (Graham et al. 2017). The pattern of plastome degradation observed in *Geosiris* is consistent with these models of plastome degradation in heterotrophic plants, although the loss of accD is unusual, because this gene appears to be retained in nearly all heterotrophic plants (Lam et al. 2016, 2018; Graham et al. 2017; a highly modified form of accD may be retained in mycoheterotrophic Ericaceae; Braukmann et al. 2017). The *Geosiris* plastome is reduced in size compared with that of autotrophic relatives, from ~127 kb in *Iris missouriensis* and *I. gatesii*, to 83 kb in *G. australiensis* and 98 kb in *G. aphylla* (lengths excluding the second IR). Only approximately half of the genes found in *I. missouriensis* (117) are apparently functional in *G. aphylla* (58) and *G. australiensis* (60). Most retained ORFs for genes involved in translation (rpl genes, rps genes and infA) and several non-bioenergetic functions (accD...
in *G. aphylla*; *clpP* and *matK* in both species of *Geosiris*) appear to be under levels of purifying selection indistinguishable from photosynthetic relatives when considered individually (Table 2). However, there was a small but significant reduction in purifying selection in the genus when the test was repeated on a pooled set of these genes, being consistent with a marginal relaxation of selection, in general, across plastome-coding regions.

Both *Geosiris* species have lost or pseudogenised all or nearly all NADH dehydrogenase, photosynthesis, PEP and ATP synthase genes, with the exception of the following four genes in *G. australiensis*: *atpH* (ATP synthase subunit gene), *lhbA* (involved in light harvesting), *ndhG* (NADH dehydrogenase subunit gene) and *psal* (Photosystem I subunit gene) (Swiatek et al. 2001). It seems highly unlikely that any of these genes have retained their original functions in the absence of the other subunits of the large complexes that they are involved in. The branch test does not reject loss of purifying selection in two of the four genes (*atpH*, *lhbA*; Table 2), but neofunctionalisation also seems an unlikely explanation for their retention, given that their gene products normally function in tight association with other subunits. The most reasonable explanation for (temporary) retention of ORFs in these genes, and little change in the strength of purifying selection in *atpH* or *lhbA*, is their very small size, as individual smaller genes may escape mutation accumulation over the short term (Lohan and Wolfe 1998; *atpH*, *lhbA* are all under 250 bp, although *ndhG* is 522 bp long), and their genome location (*ndhG* is in IR region of the plastid genome in *G. australiensis*, and genes in this plastid region evolve up to an order or magnitude more slowly than those in the single-copy plastid regions; Wolfe et al. 1987). The extent of plastome degradation in *Geosiris* compared with confamilial autotrophs and other mycoheterotrophs strongly indicates loss of photosynthesis, so the genus must be fully mycoheterotrophic (Graham et al. 2017). However, plastome degradation is not as extreme as the mycoheterotrophic *Thismia tentaculata* (Dioscoreaceae), which has one of the smallest plastomes recorded, comprising just 16 kbp and 12 genes (Fig. 5, Table 1; Lim et al. 2016; Graham et al. 2017). This may simply be due to differences in elapsed time since the switch to mycoheterotrophy in these two lineages; the *Geosiris* stem dates to c. 53 million years ago, indicating the earliest onset of mycoheterotrophy in this lineage, whereas *Thismia* is estimated to have diverged from its autotrophic ancestors c. 77 million years ago (Merckx et al. 2017). Furthermore, other factors such as life history, generation time, mutation rate and speciation rate could all play a role in differences in plastome degradation (Wicke and Naumann 2018). *Geosiris* has barely been collected or studied, and further research on the genetics and ecology of these elusive plants is needed to understand the role of such factors.

Our results indicated that the plastome of *G. australiensis* has undergone a major genome structural re-arrangement following its divergence from *G. aphylla*. Compared with *Iris missouriensis*, the plastome of *G. australiensis* features an enlarged IR and drastically reduced SSC region. This appears to be due to the transfer of *ndhD*, *ndhE*, *ndhG*, *ndhA*, *ndhH*, *rps15* and *ycf1* from the SSC region to the IR (expansion of the latter at the expense of the former). The IR–SSC junction is generally highly conserved; the last full-length IR gene at this junction in most lineages is *trnN–GUU*, which is thought to be the ancestral IR–SSC endpoint for land plants (Zhu et al. 2016). Indeed, this is the last IR gene at the IR–SSC junction in both *I. missouriensis* and *G. aphylla* (Fig. 4), but not in *G. australiensis*. Major extension of the IR through transfer of SSC genes has occurred multiple times in the evolution of land plants, including, for example, in the autotrophic genera *Plantago* L. and *Asarum* L. (Zhu et al. 2016; Sinn et al. 2018), and in the heterotrophic (parasitic) *Hydnora* Thunb. (Naumann et al. 2016). In autotrophic genera, plastome re-arrangement has been attributed to instability rendered by repeated motifs (Sinn et al. 2018); however, our analysis indicated that the plastome of *Geosiris* contains only a moderate number of tandem repeats (Table 1). Plastome structural arrangements have also been associated with altered DNA repair mechanisms in autotrophic plants (Zhang et al. 2016), and given the relaxed selective constraint associated with heterotrophy, it is likely that structural re-arrangements in the *Geosiris* plastome resulting from inefficiencies in DNA repair mechanisms were tolerated (Naumann et al. 2016; Wicke and Naumann 2018). Furthermore, Kim et al. (2015) found that the loss of *ndh* genes, particularly *ndhF*, was possibly associated with shifts in the junction of the IR in Orchidaceae. The loss of *ndhF* in *Geosiris* may have contributed to the transfer of genes from the SSC to the IR in *G. australiensis*.

The phylogenetic analysis of Iridaceae confirmed, with strong support, the monophyly of *Geosiris*, with the caveat that the third known species was not included here (Fig. 6). Although this should be tested in future by inclusion of *G. albiflora*, we suspect that this unsampled species is most closely related to *G. aphylla*, given their geographical proximity to each other and morphological similarity (Goldblatt and Manning 2010). Overall, the well supported relationships recovered by ML and Bayesian-inference analyses are essentially identical to the parsimony-based phylogenetic estimate of Goldblatt et al. (2008), in which the seven subfamilies are monophyletic (Goldblatt and Manning 2008). The only incongruence pertains to the placement of *Watsonia* Mill.; Goldblatt et al. (2008) found that *Watsonia* groups with *Micranthus* (Pers.) Eckl., *Thereanthus* G.J.Lewis and *Pillansia* L.Bolus with poor support (75%), whereas we recovered a clade comprising *Watsonia* and *Schizorhiza* Goldblatt & J.C.Manning with strong support (99%, 1).

The branch lengths of mycoheterotrophic angiosperms in phylogenetic trees are often longer than those of autotrophic relatives, reflecting elevated mutation rates (Merckx et al. 2013a; Lam et al. 2016). This is due to relaxed selection for efficient or functional photosynthesis, resulting in a higher tolerance of mutations in the plastome (Merckx et al. 2013a; Wicke et al. 2013; Cusimano and Wicke 2016; Graham et al. 2017). As expected, the branch lengths of *G. australiensis* are relatively long compared with other genera in Iridaceae; however, the branch lengths of *G. aphylla* are similar to those of autotrophic genera *Sisyrinchium* L., *Olsynium* Raf., *Geissorhiza* Ker Gawl. and *Moraea* Mill. Such a lack of evidence for accelerated sequence evolution relative to autotrophic relatives has also been observed in the mycoheterotrophic...
monocot *Petrosavia stellaris* (Logacheva et al. 2014). This suggests that the retained genes used for this phylogenetic analysis in the present study are under a similar amount of selective pressure in *G. aphylla* as they are in other genera in Iridaceae, supported by branch-test analyses here (Table 2). The longer branch length and greater degree of plastome degradation of *G. australiensis* than of *G. aphylla* indicates a moderate disparity in evolutionary rates between the two species, since divergence from their common ancestor. Factors that may explain this rate disparity include differences in population size and generation time, or the degree of dependence on heterotrophy for carbon gain. We found that most individual intact genes are not under selective regimes significantly different from those of their green relatives (so, are still under purifying selection, although a difference is detectable when considered together). They can have ω-values (dN : dS ratios) comparable to those of green plants, despite rate elevation, if both non-synonymous and synonymous substitution rates become elevated, as has been observed in retained genes of other heterotrophs (e.g. Bromham et al. 2013; Schelkunov et al. 2015). Alternatively, the disparity could be due to a genetic mechanism that continues plastome-coppy protection (e.g. the fidelity of plastid-specific DNA replication or DNA repair enzymes) to a greater degree in *G. aphylla* than in *G. australiensis* (Logacheva et al. 2014).

Our molecular-dating analysis provided an improved divergence-date estimation compared with that in Goldblatt et al. (2008), owing to the implementation of Bayesian inference methods with prior distribution constraints, and a more precise secondary calibration based on increased outgroup sampling. Overall, we found older mean age estimates for most nodes in Iridaceae than did the non-parametric rate-smoothing analysis of Goldblatt et al. (2008). We also improved the rigour of the estimate for the age of the stem node for Iridaceae, although this is similar to that inferred by Goldblatt et al. (2008). This node represents the divergence of Iridaceae from *Doryanthes* Correa; however, Goldblatt et al. (2008) used secondary calibration points from the study of Wikström et al. (2001), which includes a more closely related outgroup and, thus, corresponds to a different node. In contrast, our study included accessions from the sister clade of Iridaceae and, therefore, allowed exact constraint of the correct nodes for secondary calibration (Magallón et al. 2015). This resulted in an inferred Iridaceae stem age of 83.1 (73.4–93.0) million years and a crown age of 71.6 (60.6–82.9) million years, compared with c. 66 million years reported by Goldblatt et al. (2008).

Here, we estimated the divergence of the two *Geosiris* species (crown age of the genus) to be in the mid-Oligocene 29.9 (16.1–43.3) million years ago. However, it is important to note that this inference might be an overestimate, given the nature of the chosen molecular-clock model and the likely biological reality. Although relaxed-clock methods accommodate heterogeneity in substitution rates to a degree (Drummond et al. 2006), they may not be able to account for the very high level of rate heterogeneity that is likely to have occurred in the evolution of the *Geosiris* lineage. As previously discussed, elevated mutation rates in *Geosiris* plastome genes are associated with their switch to heterotrophy; however, the timing of events on the evolutionary pathway to heterotrophy in *Geosiris* is unknown. It is likely that the process occurred in a staged manner along the stem lineage of *Geosiris* (53.2–29.9 million years ago), in line with current general models of plastome degradation (Graham et al. 2017). As such, a low mutation rate early in the evolution of the *Geosiris* lineage may have been followed by a later increase as a result of relaxed selection for efficient or functional photosynthesis. By contrast, our analysis indicated that similar mutation rates were applied to the stem lineage and descendant branches in *Geosiris*, even though an uncorrelated clock model was applied (0.0013 substitutions per site million years in the stem lineage, 0.0011 substitutions per site per million years and 0.0015 substitutions per site per million years in the *G. aphylla* and *G. australiensis* branches respectively). Thus, the uncorrelated log-normal-distributed clock model used in the present dating analysis may not precisely reflect the timing of divergence events in *Geosiris*.

If the true substitution rate in the stem lineage were slower in total than was predicted by the uncorrelated clock model here, and if it could be properly taken account of in the dating analysis, this would result in an increased length of this branch in a time-calibrated chronogram, shifting the divergence estimate (date of most recent common ancestor) of the two *Geosiris* species to a more recent time, such as, for example, from the middle to the late Oligocene or even to the early Miocene.

Our biogeographic analysis suggests that Australasia was the ancestral area for Iridaceae, supporting the ‘out of Australasia’ hypothesis proposed by previous authors (Sammartin and Ronquist 2004; Goldblatt et al. 2008). This follows from the Australian distribution of the great majority of the extant taxa that collectively represent most of the major early splits in the Iridaceae phylogeny (*Isophysis* T. Mount, *Diplarrena*: southern Australia, *Patersonia*: Australia and Malesia). In previous analyses, *Geosiris* was resolved as the sister lineage of a predominantly African clade (including *Aristea*, *Nivenioideae* and *Crocoideae*), and was inferred to represent a dispersal event out of Australasia. However, the discovery of the Australian *G. australiensis* provided an opportunity to test this hypothesis in a likelihood framework, using biogeographic models to assess probabilities of certain range-inheritance scenarios (Ree and Smith 2008; Matzke 2014). Our reconstructions estimated dispersal from Australasia to Africa (including Madagascar) of a shared ancestor of *Geosiris* and its sister clade between 53.2 and 60.2 million years ago (Event 1) and a second event back to Australia (*G. australiensis*) no earlier than 29.9 (16.1–43.3) million years ago (Event 2). This scenario has a likelihood of 62% compared with 38% for a later dispersal out of Australasia between 43.9 and 53.2 (32.9–64.5) million years ago, followed by secondary dispersal to Africa 29.9 million years ago (16.1–43.3). Either way, two events need to be invoked to explain the current distribution of *Geosiris* and its sister clade.

Four explanatory hypotheses for these events may be postulated, including the following:

(H1) vicariance, fragmentation of a continuous ancestral distribution on Gondwana;
(H2) dispersal by rafting on Geosiris into India, then via the Indo-Malay archipelago;
(H3) overland dispersal by boreotropical forest along the northern rim of the Indian Ocean; and
(H4) long-distance dispersal across the Indian Ocean.

For the data to be consistent with Hypothesis H1, the HPD-interval range of the estimated age of events one and two must overlap with the age of the last land connection between Australia and Madagascar. This last connection was severed in the Early Cretaceous (possibly 120 million years ago; Reeves 2014) when the single landmass comprising Madagascar, India and the Seychelles separated from Antarctica. Therefore, the data reject this hypothesis for both Event 1 (53.2–60.2 million years ago) and Event 2 (16.1–43.3 million years ago).

Hypothesis H2 postulates that an ancestor of Geosiris rafted on India after its separation from Madagascar (which occurred c. 88 million years ago; Reeves 2014) and either underwent a long-distance dispersal event over the eastern Indian Ocean, or migrated from Eurasia over land and narrow water barriers to northern Australia. India reached the northern hemisphere as early as the early Eocene (McLoughlin 2001; Reeves 2014), well before Australia had separated from Antarctica (c. 40 million years ago), and well before the G. aphyllae–G. australiensis split (29.9, 16.1–43.3 million years ago); therefore, a role for India in the dispersal of Geosiris between Australia and Madagascar can be rejected for Event 2. However, this hypothesis cannot be rejected for Event 1. India at that time (late Palaeocene) was still located in the southern Indian Ocean and, while isolated, could conceivably have functioned as a ‘stepping-stone’ for dispersal from Australia to Madagascar.

Hypothesis H3 postulates a primarily terrestrial migration pathway, namely the boreotropical route, around the northern margin of the Indian Ocean, as has been proposed for several megathermal rainforest lineages such as Uvaria (Zhou et al. 2012). As discussed by previous authors, this pathway is more plausible as a migration route for megathermal taxa such as Geosiris during climatic maxima such as in the Eocene and Miocene, when megathropical forests existed along the northern Indian Ocean rim (Tiffney 1985; Sanmartín et al. 2001). The thermal maximum closest in time to the estimated dispersal dates for Event 1 is the early Eocene climatic optimum (52–59 million years ago), and, for Event 2, is the late middle Miocene climatic optimum (15–17 million years ago; Zachos et al. 2001, 2008). Dispersal across the proto Indo-Malay Archipelago could have occurred at any later time, although, perhaps, is most likely after c. 15 million years ago when terrane accretion and emergence of land resulting from the collision of the Sunda and Sahul shelves (from c. 25 million years ago) facilitated an upswing in lineage dispersals eastward to the Sahul shelf (Crayn et al. 2015). Given this, we cannot reject H3 for Events 1 or 2. Photographs of a plant named Geosiris sp. Philippines’ from Mindanao, Philippines, are available online (P. Pelser, J. Barcelona and D. Nickrent, see https://www.philippineplants.org, accessed 13 November 2018; see also Barcelona et al. 2013), but there are no specimens of this entity known to the authors. Confirmation of its existence and identity would support H3 by providing evidence consistent with a dispersal track for Geosiris that involves the Indo-Malay archipelago.

Hypothesis H4 postulates long-distance transoceanic dispersal across the Indian Ocean. Because it does not require land connections or relative adjacency, this hypothesis cannot be rejected for either Event 1 or 2. Such long-distance transoceanic dispersal is plausible, given the dust-like seeds (~0.2 mm) of Geosiris species and other mycoheterotrophic lineages, which are known to aid dispersal over large distances (Goldblatt and Manning 2010; Eriksson and Kainulainen 2011).

The biogeographic reconstruction of the Iridoideae subfamily indicates further dispersal events and range expansions. With an ancestor occurring most likely in Australasia (32%) in the Paleocene, we infer a range expansion into either a widespread distribution (ABDE: 27%) or South America (24%) in the late Paleocene to early Eocene. This can be best explained through the connection of Australia to Antarctica (McLoughlin 2001) and the climatic maximum in the early Eocene climatic optimum (Zachos et al. 2001, 2008) leading to subtropical temperatures that presented a corridor for dispersal (Morley 2003). The ancestral range of most American lineages is reconstructed as South America, with dispersal to North America having occurred only in the most recent lineages. This indicates that the range expansion to North America might have happened 12 times independently in Iridaceae and in recent times, probably during the connection of the Central American land bridge across the Panama Isthmus (Morley 2003; Cody et al. 2010). Remarkably, two lineages, namely, Orthosanthis and Libertia, have dispersed back to Australia since the Miocene. More range expansions in recent times include the dispersal or expansion from Africa to Asia in Crocus, Romulea, Gladiolus and Morea. Iris, today widespread in the northern hemisphere, originates from an ancestor that was widespread in the Eocene. More complete taxon sampling and the inclusion of nuclear loci in phylogenetic analysis would enhance our understanding of the biogeography of Iridaceae, and provide insights into any evolutionary complexities such as hybridisation and incomplete lineage sorting.

A caveat of our biogeographic analysis is that OTUs represented genera, and, thus, widespread generic distributions were used to model likely ancestral areas. Theoretically, widespread ranges could change the evaluation of some range inheritance in likelihood estimation and more widespread ancestors will become more likely. However, in this study, most genera (36/53) occur in only one area in the areas defined for our ancestral-area estimation. Furthermore, most of the combined-area genera are in distal clades in Iridoideae relative to Geosiris. As such, the effect of OTUs having widespread areas is likely to have had little effect on our biogeographic analysis.

Conclusions

The recent, unexpected discovery of Geosiris in Australia provided an opportunity to investigate the evolution of this remarkable mycoheterotrophic lineage. We analysed plastome sequences of two of the three known Geosiris species to infer patterns of plastome degeneration and to reconstruct the biogeographic history of the genus and the Iridaceae.
The results showed that *Geosiris* has undergone substantial plastome reduction (79%) compared with the related autotroph *Iris missouriensis* (or 71%, not counting the second inverted repeat); approximately half of the plastid genes have been lost or rendered non-functional. Most intact protein-coding non-photosynthetic genes have experienced levels of purifying selection indistinguishable from their photosynthetic relatives, although there is a small but significant reduction in purifying selection across them as a whole. Almost 30 million years of independent evolution has resulted in vast differences in plastome content and structure between the two species of *Geosiris*, most prominently the reduction of the SSC region in *G. australiensis* from ~12 to ~0.5 kbp. Finally, the disjunct distribution of *Geosiris* across the Indian Ocean, understood in its temporal framework, implies an additional major range-shift, and transoceanic dispersal over the Indian Ocean, and migration along the northern rim of the Indian Ocean.

This study has improved our understanding of plastome degeneration and re-arrangements in mycoheterotrophs, and of the historical biogeography and evolution of *Geosiris* and Iridaceae. We hope that the recent serendipitous discovery of an Australian species of this elusive genus, together with the evolutionary insights presented in the current work, inspires greater interest in these remarkable plants and leads to further discoveries in new areas.
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