Quantifying imperfect camera-trap detection probabilities: implications for density modelling
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Figure S1: Predicted detection probabilities (model 1) in relation to distance from camera trap for individual camera traps.
Figure S2: Predicted detection probabilities (model 1) in relation to animal model movement speed for individual camera traps.
Figure S3: Predicted detection probabilities (model 1) in relation to differences between ambient temperature and model surface temperature (Δtemp) for individual camera traps.
Figure S4: Relationship between temperatures recorded by camera traps and ambient temperature simultaneously (and independently) recorded using a Eutech EcoScan Temp 6 thermoprobe (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).