Supplementary Material: Australian Journal of Botany, 2019, 67, 159–164.

Seed size and seedling ontogenetic stage as modulators of damage tolerance after simulated herbivory in a woody exotic species

E. Agostina Lorca^{A,C}, Ana E. Ferreras^B and Guillermo Funes^{A,B}

^AFacultad de Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (UNC), Av. Vélez Sarsfield 299, Córdoba 5000, Argentina.

^BInstituto Multidisciplinario de Biología Vegetal (CONICET-UNC), Av. Vélez Sarsfield 1611. Córdoba 5000, Argentina.

^CCorresponding author. Email: agostinalorca@gmail.com

Supplementary Materials

APPENDIX 1

Table 1. Mean values of log-transformed height \pm SE of *G. triacanthos* seedlings for significant factors (a, b) and interactions (c,d). A posteriori test (LSD Fisher) for each significant factor and interaction is indicated with letters (means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$).

a. Damage Control Herbivory	2.15±0.02 a 1.80±0.02 b
b. Seed size	e
Small	1.91±0.02 b
Medium	2.00±0.02 a
Large	2.02±0.03 a
c. Damage	* Ontogenetic stage
Control I	2.11±0.03 b
Control II	2.20±0.03 a
Herbivory I	1.82±0.03 c
Herbivory II	1.77±0.03 c
d. Seed size	* Ontogenetic stage
Small I	1.86±0.03 b
Small II	1.97±0.04 a
Medium I	1.98±0.03 a
Medium II	2.01±0.03 a
Large I	2.06±0.04 a
Large II	1.98±0.04 a

Table 2. Means values of log-transformed total biomass \pm SE of *G. triacanthos* seedlings for significant factors (a, b, c) and interactions (d). A posteriori test (LSD Fisher) for each significant factor and interaction is indicated with letters (means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$).

a. Damage Control Herbivory	-0.83±0.05 a -1.30±0.02 b
b. Ontoger	netic stage
Stage I	-1.11±0.03 b
Stage II	-1.02±0.03 a
c. Seed siz	ze
Small	-1.20±0.04 c
Medium	-1.04±0.03 b
Large	-0.96±0.04 a
d. Seed siz	e * Ontogenetic stage
Small I	-1.29±0.05 d
Small II	-1.11±0.07 b c
Medium I	-1.11±0.04 c
Medium II	-0.98±0.05 a b
Large I	-0.94±0.04 a
Large II	-0.97±0.05 a b

Table 3. Means values of log-transformed aerial biomass \pm SE of *G. triacanthos* seedlings for significant factors (a, b) and interactions (c). A posteriori test (LSD Fisher) for each significant factor and interaction is indicated with letters (means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$).

Damage a. Control -0.96±0.04 a -1.59±0.02 b Herbivory Seed size b. Small -1.42 ± 0.04 b Medium -1.24±0.03 a -1.17±0.04 a Large c. Damage * Ontogenetic stage Control I -1.07±0.06 b Control II -0.85±0.07 a Herbivory I -1.58±0.03 c Herbivory II -1.60±0.03 c

Table 4. Means values of log-transformed root biomass \pm SE of *G. triacanthos* seedlings for significant factors (a, b, c). A posteriori test (LSD Fisher) for each significant factor is indicated with letters (means with the same letter are not significantly different at P \leq 0.05).

a. Damage Control -1.43±0.06 a -1.63±0.03 b Herbivory Ontogenetic stage b. Stage I -1.63±0.04 b Stage II -1.43±0.04 a Seed size c. -1.69±0.05 c Small -1.53±0.04 b Medium Large -1.36±0.04 a

Table 5. Means values of number of leaves \pm SE of *G. triacanthos* seedlings for significant factors (a) and interactions (b). A posteriori test (LSD Fisher) for each significant factor and interaction is indicated with letters (means with the same letter are not significant different at $P \le 0.05$).

Damage a. Control 6.94±0.32 a Herbivory 3.60±0.23 b Damage * Ontogenetic stage b. Control I 6.33±0.40 b Control II 7.60±0.50 a Herbivory I 3.90±0.32 c Herbivory II 3.33±0.33 c

APPENDIX 2

Table 1. Model coefficients and standard errors for the effects of damage (control and damage), seed size (large, medium and small), ontogenetic stage (stages I and II) and their interactions on different growth variables of *G. triacanthos* seedlings.

a. Log ₁₀ - Height					
	Estimator	S.E			
(Intercept)	2.2	0.04			
Damage	-0.29	0.04			
Stage II	-0.01	0.06			
Medium	-0.08	0.04			
Small	-0.20	0.05			
Damage* Stage II	-0.13	0.05			
Stage II* Medium	0.10	0.07			
Stage II* Small	0.19	0.07			

b. Log₁₀-Total Biomass

	Estimator	S.E
(Intercept) Damage	-0.71 -0.46	0.06 0.05
Stage II	-0.04	0.06
Medium	-0.17	0.05
Small	-0.35	0.06
Stage II* Medium	0.17	0.08
Stage II* Small	0.21	0.1

c. Log₁₀-Aerial biomass

	Estimator	S.E
(Intercept) Damage	-0.96 -0.51	0.06 0.06
Stage II	0.21	0.09
Medium	-0.07	0.04
Small	-0.25	0.05
Damage* Stage II	-0.23	0.09

d. Log₁₀-Root biomass

	Estimator	S.E	
(Intercept) Damage	-1.36 -0.20	0.07 0.06	
Stage II	0.19	0.05	
Medium	-0.17	0.05	
Small	-0.33	0.06	

e. Number of leaves

	Estimator	S.E
(Intercept) Damage	1.85 -0.49	0.06 0.10
Stage II	0.18	0.09
Damage* Stage II	-0.34	0.16

APPENDIX 3

Table 1. Statistics (F values) obtained in GLM for the effects of seed size, ontogeny and their interaction on the percentage of compensation of growth variables in *G. triacanthos* seedlings.

Significance is indicated with: *** $P \le 0.0001$; ** $P \le 0.001$; * $P \le 0.001$.

Growth variables	Seed size (F)	Ontogeny (F)	S x O (F)
Degrees of freedom	2	1	2
Height (mm)	1.98	22.38***	7.46*
Total biomass (g)	7.21*	15.73**	19.94***
Root biomass (g)	5.69*	0.0012	18.18***
Aerial biomass (g)	6.21*	31.88***	15.81***
Leaves number (ln)	0.44	12.5**	7.91**

Table 2. Means values of compensation percentages* \pm SE on seedlings of *G. triacanthos* from different seed sizes and clipped at different ontogenetic stage.

A posteriori test (LSD Fisher) for the interaction of seed size and ontogeny is indicated with letters (means with the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$)).

Growth variables	Sma	Il seeds Medium seeds		Large seeds		
-	Stage I	Stage II	Stage I	Stage II	Stage I	Stage II
Height	46.76±18.44 b	34.03±3.63 b	45.18±12.59 b	44.01±10.28 b	64.70±12.69 a	33.09±15.14 b
Total biomass	23.86±7.32 b c	18.99± 8.31 c	24.11±7.8 b c	30.59±10.51 b	47.10±14.08 a	18.16± 5.92 c
Root biomass	31.44±13.44 c	37.90±21.04 c	34.57±14.69 c	57.59±24.70 b	74.57±24.53 a	29.61±11.14 c
Aerial biomass	20.30±9.14 b	11.73±3.58 c	20.15±6.71 b	19.24±5.48 b	36.39±11.41 a	12.55±3.91 c
Leaves number	41.67±28.87 b	35.72±13.88 b	44.33±31.05 b	43.42±17.97 b	71.15±24.07 a	18.33±17.37 c

^{*}The percentage of compensation was calculated for each pair of control and clipped seedlings within each treatment as: % of Compensation= (Response variable in seedling subjected to herbivory x 100) / Response variable in control group).