# Effect of episodic rainfall on aqueous metal mobility from historical mine sites

Magaly Valencia-Avellan<sup>1</sup>, Rebecca Slack<sup>2</sup>, Anthony Stockdale<sup>3</sup>, Robert John

George Mortimer<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>water@leeds, School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JZ, UK.

<sup>2</sup>The Royal Horticultural Society, Harlow Carr, Crag Lane, Beckwithshaw, Harrogate, North Yorkshire,

HG3 1QB.

<sup>3</sup>School of Earth & Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JZ, UK.

<sup>4</sup>School of Animal, Rural and Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent University, Brackenhurst

Campus, Southwell, Nottinghamshire, NG25 0QF, UK.

E-mail contact: magyvalencia80@gmail.com

## **Supplementary Material**

This document contains supplementary data as referred to in the main manuscript.

## SI. Methods

### Study area



- Sampling sites
- Mine structures (e.g. dressing floors, adits, shafts, smelt mills)
- ----- Waterways
- Elevation (m)

#### Geology-Bedrock

Millstone grit (mudstone, siltstone, sandstone)

Liddesdale-Yoredale (limestone, sandstone, siltstone, mudstone)

Figure S1. Sampling sites at Hebden Beck showing the underlying geology comprised by the Millstone grit and Liddesdale-Yoredale bedrock. Hydrological and Geological base maps contain OS data © Crown copyright and database right (2016).

Table S1. Sampling sites along Hebden Beck. Three locations were adopted from Valencia-Avellan *et al.* (2017a)<sup>[1]</sup> Table indicates site elevation, coordinates, and distance from River Wharfe. Sites are listed from upstream to downstream.

Sites ID	Sites ID from	Sites description	Elev.	Coord	From R.		
	Avellan et al. (2017a)		(111)	East	North	(m)	
ET	H12	Ephemeral tributary running through Beaver spoil wastes (Yarnbury mine)	285	402451	465822	3921	
РТ	H5	Perennial tributary flowing through mine channels (Duke's adit)	256	402638	464793	2836	
МС	H2(*)	Main river channel	235	402488	464275	2271	

(\*) Gauging station (code F1960). Environment Agency, United Kingdom.

#### Sampling conditions

Conditions of local seasonality and episodic rainfall in August 2016 were characterised. Daily rainfall data were obtained for Pateley Bridge Ravens Nest (54°04'01.2"N 1°46'01.2"W) in order to present local seasonal drought and rainfall events for the month of August 2016 (> 12 mm during high rainfall days) (Figure S3).



Sampling month (August 2016)

Figure S2. Daily rainfall records from Pateley Bridge Ravens Nest (54°04'01.2"N 1°46'01.2"W) during August 2016.

Three rainfall events ( $\geq$  5 mm/hour) occurred during the sampling campaigns (Figure S 3). A low flow period (LF: 0.05 m<sup>3</sup>/s) was measured for 0-6 hours. The first rainfall episode (5 mm/hour) produced little change in flow (0.07 m<sup>3</sup>/s), insufficient to identify flow stages.

Subsequent episodes (>5 mm/hour) allowed the characterisation of three stages; base flow (BF), peak flow (PF) and post peak flow (PPF). The second event (9 mm/hour), BF corresponded to 7-28 hours, with flow values ranging from 0.07 to 0.14 m<sup>3</sup>/s, PF corresponded to 29-34 hours, with flow ranging from 0.22 to 1.45 m<sup>3</sup>/s, and PPF was between 35-52 hours, with flow ranging from 1.33 to 0.30 m<sup>3</sup>/s. In the third event (5.8 mm/hour), unexpected problems (sampler malfunctioned or swept-away) restricted the sampling duration in sites PT and MC. During this last event, flow stages were characterised as BF from 54-66 hours (flow 0.23 to 0.40 m<sup>3</sup>/s), PF from 68-72 hours (flow 1.41 to 2.12 m<sup>3</sup>/s), and PPF from 74-96 hours (flow 1.64 to 0.34 m<sup>3</sup>/s).



Figure S3. Hourly rainfall (mm) at Grimwith reservoir (station code: 62046; 54°04'16.4"N 1°54'47.7"W) and main channel flow at gauging station-F1960 (54°04'27.8"N 1°57'48.5"W) from 18 to 23 August.

#### Samples treatment and in situ measurements

Different treatments were applied based on the purpose of the analysis. For dissolved metals, subsamples were placed into a 15 ml tube (polypropylene) containing 300  $\mu$ l of preservation solution (10% HNO<sub>3</sub>, nitric acid-Sigma Aldrich 69% and Milli-Q water) to reach a pH ≤ 2. For the analysis of major ion, DIC and DOC filtered subsamples were placed individually into 15 ml tubes. All samples were kept in a cool box during sampling. In the laboratory all samples were refrigerated at 4°C.

Measurements of *in situ* water quality parameters (temperature and pH) were recorded by using pre-calibrated multiple sensor probes (Model HQ30d flexi 1032). Spot flow

measurements were recorded at all sites before setting the auto samplers and after 24 hours of water collection. Field blanks and replicates were processed as samples.

## Data analysis

## Geochemical modelling

The PHREEQC code (version 3) <sup>[2, 3]</sup> was used for identifying the mineral phases controlling dissolved metal concentrations. Equilibrium reactions and thermodynamic constants were retrieved from the built-in WATEQ4F database. <sup>[4-6]</sup> Mineral saturation indexes and metal free ions activities for hydroxide, carbonate and sulfate minerals were calculated at  $pCO_2 = 0.0012$  atm, pH range of 6.5-8.5 and based on mean values across field sites and sampling dates:  $SO_4^{2-}$  (ET: 13090 µg/l, PT: 10970 µg/l, MC: 6280 µg/l), CI<sup>-</sup> (ET: 3600 µg/l, PT: 5960 µg/l, MC: 5080 µg/l) and temperature (ET: 16.9 °C, PT: 9.5 °C, MC: 14.0 °C).

## **Speciation modelling**

The distribution of metal chemical species was estimated by applying the Windermere Humic Aqueous Model (WHAM/Model VII). Measurements of temperature and dissolved water chemistry were used as input data. Concentrations of dissolved organic carbon were converted to fulvic acid assuming DOM to be composed of 50% carbon and the active fraction considered to be 65% fulvic acid (FA= DOC x 1.3).<sup>[7]</sup> We considered the presence of oxides as being either active or inactive with respect to surface sorption as described in Valencia-Avellan *et al.* (2017b).<sup>[8]</sup> Activity of AI and Fe oxides was calculated from the dissolved metal data and the equations derived by Tipping<sup>[9]</sup> and Lofts and Tipping<sup>[10]</sup> respectively, with the lower of the two values being adopted, in order to exclude the likelihood of colloidal material being included in the dissolved fraction.

## **Toxicity modelling**

Toxic effects of protons and metals were evaluated by using a parametrised version of WHAM called WHAM- $F_{TOX}$ .<sup>[11]</sup> The metal toxicity function ( $F_{TOX}$ ) is considered a product of each toxic cation-bound concentrations (v<sub>i</sub>= mmol/g) and the toxicity coefficient of each metal ( $\alpha_i$ ) adopted from Stockdale *et al.* (2010).<sup>[11]</sup> The thresholds of  $F_{TOX}$  are defined as: Lower threshold ( $F_{TOX}$ - $_{LT}$  = 2.33) and Upper threshold ( $F_{TOX-UT}$  = 5.20). Calculations of  $F_{TOX}$  followed the same conditions applied in Valencia-Avellan *et al.* (2017b).<sup>[8]</sup> Thus, if  $F_{TOX} < 2.33$ , no toxicity occurs; while if  $F_{TOX} > 5.20$ , toxic response is present.





Figure S4. Mass of Pb and Zn (kg/hr) passing the main channel during episodic flow.

Different concentrations were measured for  $SO_4^{2-}$ , DIC and DOC possible due to overland flow or interflow have been identified. From LF to PPF, a 2300% increase in  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentrations occurred in ET, which are likely to be related to the degree of erosion and oxidation of mine wastes. In MC, a significant increase (6960%) was observed for DOC concentrations as result of overland flow running through peat moorland areas<sup>[12]</sup> while DIC concentrations decreased (212%) due to interflow, attenuating rich-limestone groundwater<sup>[13]</sup> (Figure S5, Table S2).



Figure S5. Effects of episodic flow in concentrations of  $SO_4^{2-}$ , DIC and DOC in the three sampling sites.

Ranges of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and DIC concentrations were higher in ET (0.4 to 23.5 mg/l SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, 5.5 to 35.7 mg/l DIC) and PT (7.8 to 12.5 mg/l SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, 17.0 to 31.7 mg/l DIC), while DOC concentrations showed the greatest variation in MC (3.0 to 32.0 mg/l) (Table S2).

Table S2. Water quality parameters and metal concentrations at different flow stages in ephemeral tributary (ET), perennial tributary (PT) and main channel (MC).

Sites	Rainfall	Flow		рΗ	<b>SO</b> 4 <sup>2-</sup>	DIC	DOC	Ca	Pb	Zn	Fe	AI
	periods	stages			mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	μ <b>g/l</b>	μ <b>g/l</b>	μ <b>g/l</b>	μ <b>g/l</b>
ET	1 <sup>st</sup> period	LF	Ave	7.2	6.1	27.4	8.5	41.47	55.1	2973.7	469.7	9.8
	(5mm/hour)		Max	7.6	23.5	35.7	9.3	49.15	128.2	3578.3	2154.5	23.3
			Min	7.0	0.4	11.1	6.8	26.90	2.2	1728.5	138.7	1.8
		BF	Ave	7.5	15.6	16.4	8.4	30.97	150.4	3298.9	331.2	36.9
			Max	7.7	20.9	20.0	16.7	34.35	233.5	3823.0	500.5	54.4
			Min	7.2	12.7	11.3	6.3	26.45	106.5	2461.1	167.7	25.3
	2 <sup>nd</sup> period	PF	Ave	7.6	9.2	8.0	12.0	16.32	335.3	2414.0	192.6	66.8
	(9mm/nour)		Max	7.6	12.9	12.9	15.3	24.54	457.3	2883.9	234.9	106.2
			Min	7.6	7.7	6.4	7.3	13.32	206.7	1708.0	158.2	26.3
		PPF	Ave	7.4	13.9	8.3	16.6	19.02	467.7	3819.3	245.7	126.5
			Max	7.5	15.2	9.7	18.3	22.49	559.1	5016.8	309.0	160.7
			Min	7.2	9.8	6.5	13.8	15.26	323.6	3013.0	206.1	70.5
		BF	Ave	7.3	15.0	10.6	12.8	22.59	342.7	3807.5	263.7	66.1
			Max	7.4	15.8	11.8	14.6	24.85	394.8	4166.9	327.8	77.1
			Min	7.3	13.3	9.4	10.9	19.76	284.9	3332.4	221.9	54.8
	3 <sup>rd</sup> period	PF	Ave	7.5	7.2	5.8	15.8	12.87	571.8	2538.1	190.3	131.1
	(5.8mm/hour)		Max	7.5	8.0	6.1	16.9	13.64	649.2	2616.5	196.4	163.3
			Min	7.4	6.9	5.5	13.7	12.38	499.9	2437.2	187.1	98.3
		PPF	Ave	7.4	14.0	8.4	14.7	19.03	575.6	3884.9	264.9	125.4
			Max	7.4	16.0	9.7	17.2	21.57	690.3	4687.8	309.3	147.2
	tot i i		Min	7.4	10.1	6.3	12.7	15.21	479.1	2914.5	189.7	99.4
Ы	1 <sup>st</sup> period	LF	Ave	7.4	11.8	30.9	3.1	47.62	50.3	988.4	75.4	42.6
	(5mm/hour)		Max	7.4	12.2	31.1	3.8	47.87	63.6	1069.1	80.0	45.6
		55	Min	7.3	11.5	30.6	2.2	47.06	39.9	933.1	70.6	37.4
		BF	Ave	7.5	12.3	31.2	2.7	48.24	36.3	932.1	65.4	32.6
			Max	7.6	12.5	31.7	3.8	50.04	63.6	1069.1	80.0	57.3
	Ond : I	55	Min	7.4	11.6	30.8	1.6	46.80	31.2	868.2	58.9	27.4
		PF	Ave	7.5	11.3	28.4	5.1	43.17	30.9	789.0	79.8	42.6
	(9mm/nour)		Max	7.6	12.1	30.8	7.6	46.93	35.6	918.2	98.5	52.0
		DDE	Min	7.5	10.4	25.6	3.0	39.54	23.2	622.8	60.3	28.7
		PPF	Ave	7.4	9.5	24.0	6.3	36.95	87.6	659.4	110.6	91.6
			Max	7.5	11.2	29.7	15.1	43.77	211.7	771.7	178.6	159.2
MC	1 <sup>st</sup> period	IF	IVIIN	7.3	7.8	17.0	3.3	28.38	33.2	567.2	71.0	41.6
MO	(5mm/hour)	LI	Ave	8.1	10.2	30.1	4.0	42.98	27.6	467.4	164.3	39.7
			Max	8.1	10.7	31.5	5.0	44.75	38.5	495.5	253.6	51.2
		RF	IVIIN	7.8	9.4	27.7	3.0	39.75	23.3	449.5	129.3	34.1
		ы	Ave	8.0	6.4	19.2	16.2	31.68	71.1	622.8	940.3	104.0
			Max	8.1	8.7	26.0	19.3	60.36	79.8	693.6	1168.2	133.9
	2 <sup>nd</sup> period	DE	Min	8.0	5.5	1/.1	6.5	28.05	47.4	493.4	362.1	50.6
	(9mm/hour)	ΓF	Ave	7.4	3.3	7.2	27.9	14.13	124.9	512.0	1146.1	214.3
	(0,11001)		Max	7.6	4.9	13.6	30.2	23.88	153.7	574.7	1326.1	250.7
		DDE	Min	7.3	2.3	3.8	24.8	9.03	110.6	447.2	1052.4	154.9
		FPF	Ave	7.3	3.2	6.4	27.7	12.77	127.8	507.1	1136.2	258.2
			Max Min	7.6 7.2	4.3 2.2	10.0 3.7	32.0 22.6	17.78 9.13	140.7 116.4	536.3 489.3	1314.3 933.1	293.6 230.2

Table S3. Pearson correlation coefficient showing relationships between flow water quality parameters and with metal concentrations at different flow stages in ephemeral tributary (ET), perennial tributary (PT) and main channel (MC). NC: no correlation as pH values were constant (standard deviation= 0), ND= no data available. Significance of *p*-values is denoted by asterisks.

		ET							PT				MC			
		Pb	Zn	Fe	Са	AI	Pb	Zn	Fe	Ca	AI	Pb	Zn	Fe	Са	AI
LF	рН	0.9 **	-0.9 **	0.3	-0.9 **	1.0 **	-0.8	0.4	-0.01	-0.6	-0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	-0.4	0.2
	flow	0.9 **	-0.8 *	0.1	-1.0 ***	0.9 **	-0.8	0.04	-0.4	-0.8	-0.6	0.9 **	0.9 *	1.0 **	-1.0 ***	0.9 *
	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	0.8 *	-0.8 *	-0.1	-1.0 ***	0.9 ***	-0.3	0.2	-0.04	-0.7	-0.2	-0.9 **	-0.9 *	-1.0 **	1.0 ***	-0.9 *
	DIC	-0.9 **	0.9 *	-0.1	1.0 ***	-1.0 ***	0.9 *	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.8	-1.0 **	-0.9 *	-1.0 ***	1.0 **	-0.9 *
	DOC	-0.8 *	0.5	-0.4	0.8 *	-0.8 *	0.6	-0.5	-0.2	0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.3	-0.3
BF	pН	-0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5 *	0.03	-0.2	-0.03	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.6 **	-0.6 **	-0.6 **	0.1	-0.8 ***
	flow	0.8 ***	-0.2	-0.03	-0.5 *	0.7 ***	-0.4	-0.1	-0.3	-0.4	-0.2	0.6 *	0.3	0.5	-0.3	0.6 **
	SO42-	-0.3	-0.5 *	-0.9 ***	-0.7 ***	-0.3	0.04	0.2	0.1	-0.2	0.1	-0.8 ***	-0.7 ***	-0.8 ***	0.1	-0.9 ***
	DIC	-0.2	0.7 ***	0.8 ***	1.0 ***	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.01	-0.2	0.2	-0.8 ***	-0.7 ***	-0.8 ***	0.2	-1.0 ***
	DOC	0.6 **	-0.4	-0.01	-0.3	0.5 *	0.04	-0.02	0.03	-0.2	-0.2	0.8 ***	0.8 ***	0.8 ***	-0.2	0.9 ***
PF	рН	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	-0.3	0.1	-0.4	0.4	-0.6	0.4	0.4	0.9 *	0.8	-0.8 *
	flow	1.0 ***	0.3	-0.3	-0.8 *	0.9 *	0.01	-0.5	0.8	-0.7	0.9 *	0.1	-0.6	-0.4	-0.9 **	0.9 **
	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	-0.8	0.01	0.6	0.9 **	-0.6	0.3	0.9 *	-1.0 **	1.0 **	-0.9 *	-0.02	0.6	0.7	1.0 ***	-1.0 ***
	DIC	-0.7	0.2	0.7	1.0 ***	-0.5	0.4	0.9 *	-1.0 ***	0.9 **	-0.9 **	0.1	0.7	0.7	1.0 ***	-1.0 ***
	DOC	0.8	0.8 *	0.4	-0.3	1.0 **	-0.4	-0.9 *	0.9 **	-1.0 **	0.9 *	-0.3	-0.7	-0.8	-0.9 **	0.9 *
PPF	pН	0.7 **	0.1	0.04	-0.6 *	0.6 **	-0.7 ***	-0.4	-0.7 ***	0.8 ***	-0.8 ***	-0.9 ***	0.2	-1.0 ***	1.0 ***	-0.9 ***
	flow	0.6 *	-0.5 *	-0.6 *	-1.0 ***	0.7 **	-0.8 ***	0.03	-0.8 ***	0.9 ***	-0.9 ***	0.9 ***	0.3	0.7 **	-0.9 ***	0.8 ***
	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	-0.5	0.6 *	0.6 *	0.9 ***	-0.6 *	-0.9 ***	-0.3	-0.9 ***	1.0 ***	-0.9 ***	-1.0 ***	-0.1	-0.9 ***	1.0 ***	-0.9 ***
	DIC	-0.7 **	0.4	0.5	1.0 ***	-0.8 ***	-0.9 ***	-0.3	-1.0 ***	1.0 ***	-1.0 ***	-1.0 ***	-0.02	-0.9 ***	1.0 ***	-0.9 ***
	DOC	0.7 **	-0.3	-0.5	-0.8 ***	0.7 **	0.9 ***	0.5 *	0.9 ***	-0.8 ***	0.8 ***	0.9 ***	-0.01	0.9 ***	-1.0 ***	0.8 ***

			ET					PT					MC				
		Pb	Zn	Fe	Ca	AI	Pb	Zn	Fe	Ca	AI	Pb	Zn	Fe	Са	AI	
BF	рН	0.8 *	-0.7 *	-0.3	-0.7	-0.6	ND										
	flow	0.7	-0.5	-0.4	-0.7	0.4	ND										
	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	-0.7	0.8 *	0.6	0.9 **	-0.1	ND										
	DIC	-0.7	0.9 **	0.7	1.0 ***	-0.1	ND										
	DOC	-0.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.6	ND										
PF	pН	-0.6	-1.0	0.04	-1.0	-0.6	ND										
	flow	0.8	-0.3	-1.0 *	0.3	0.8	ND										
	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	0.9	0.7	-0.5	1.0	0.9	ND										
	DIC	0.4	1.0 *	0.2	0.9	0.4	ND										
	DOC	0.9	-0.2	-1.0	0.4	0.9	ND										
PPF	pН	-0.6	-0.4	0.2	0.4	-0.6 *	ND										
	flow	0.8 ***	-0.7 **	-0.9 ***	-1.0 ***	0.7 **	ND										
	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	-0.9 ***	0.6	0.9 ***	1.0 ***	-0.8 **	ND										
	DIC	-0.9 ***	0.6 *	0.9 ***	1.0 ***	-0.8	ND										
	DOC	0.8 ***	-0.4	-0.7 *	-0.8 **	0.7 **	ND										

Table S3 (continued). Pearson correlation coefficient showing relationships between flow water quality parameters and with metal concentrations at different flow stages in ephemeral tributaries (ET), perennial tributaries (PT) and main channel (MC). NC: no correlation as pH values were constant (standard deviation= 0), ND= no data available. Significance of *p*-values is denoted by asterisks.

\* significant at p < 0.05; \*\* significant at p < 0.01; \*\*\* significant at p < 0.001. No asterisk = no significant.



Figure S6. Relationships between saturation indexes for cerussite (PbCO<sub>3</sub>) and smithsonite (ZnCO<sub>3</sub>) with episodic flow in ephemeral tributary (ET). Indexes were computed with PHREEQC model. Note Y axis have different scales.



Figure S7. Effect of peak flow on the smithsonite (ZnCO<sub>3</sub>) saturation index in ephemeral tributary (ET).



Figure S8. Inorganic and organic metal concentrations in absence of active oxide precipitates calculated by WHAM/Model VII at different flow stages in all sampling sites. Left hand side panels show average concentrations of Pb species. Right hand side panels show average concentrations of Zn species.



Figure S9. Variations in  $F_{TOX}$  in ephemeral tributary at different flow stages.



Figure S10. Variations in *F*<sub>TOX</sub> in perennial tributary at different flow stages.



Figure S11. Variations in  $F_{TOX}$  in main channel at different flow stages.

#### References

[1] Valencia-Avellan M, Slack R, Stockdale A, Mortimer RJG. Understanding the mobilisation of metal pollution associated with historical mining in a carboniferous upland catchment. Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts. **2017**, 19(8), 1061-74.

[2] Parkhurst DL, Appelo CAJ. in *Water-Resources Investigations Report* **1999**, (U.S. Geological Survey : Earth Science Information Center, Open-File Reports Section. <u>http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wri994259</u>).

[3] U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). PHREEQC (Version 3) - A Computer Program for Speciation, Batch-Reaction, One-Dimensional Transport, and Inverse Geochemical Calculations https://wwwbrr.cr.usgs.gov/projects/GWC\_coupled/phreeqc/ (Accessed 2/06/2017).

[4] Nordstrom DK, Plummer LN, Langmuir D, Busenberg E, May HM, Jones BF, et al. **1990**, (ACS Publications).

[5] Ball JW, Nordstrom DK. User's manual for WATEQ4F, with revised thermodynamic data base and test cases for calculating speciation of major, trace, and redox elements in natural waters. **1991**, Open-File Report 91-183.

[6] Drever JI. *The Geochemistry of Natural Waters: Surface and Groundwater Environments, 436 pp.* **1997,** (third ed. Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ.).

[7] Tipping E, Vincent CD, Lawlor AJ, Lofts S. Metal accumulation by stream bryophytes, related to chemical speciation. Environmental Pollution. **2008**, 156(3), 936-43.

[8] Valencia-Avellan M, Slack R, Stockdale A, Mortimer RJG. Evaluating water quality and ecotoxicology assessment techniques using data from a lead and zinc effected upland limestone catchment. Water Research. **2018**, 128(Supplement C), 49-60.

[9] Tipping E. Modelling AI competition for heavy metal binding by dissolved organic matter in soil and surface waters of acid and neutral pH. Geoderma. **2005**, 127(3), 293-304.

[10] Lofts S, Tipping E. Assessing WHAM/Model VII against field measurements of free metal ion concentrations: model performance and the role of uncertainty in parameters and inputs. Environmental Chemistry. **2011**, 8(5), 501-16.

[11] Stockdale A, Tipping E, Lofts S, Ormerod SJ, Clements WH, Blust R. Toxicity of proton–metal mixtures in the field: linking stream macroinvertebrate species diversity to chemical speciation and bioavailability. Aquatic toxicology. **2010**, 100(1), 112-9.

[12] Tranvik LJ, Jansson M. Climate change (Communication arising): Terrestrial export of organic carbon. Nature. **2002**, 415(6874), 861-2.

[13] Jarvie HP, Neal C, Leach DV, Ryland GP, House WA, Robson AJ. Major ion concentrations and the inorganic carbon chemistry of the Humber rivers. Science of the Total Environment. **1997**, 194, 285-302.