

## **Supplementary Material**

### **Excision pathways for keratinocyte cancers diagnosed by teledermatology: a retrospective review**

*J. P. Tirado-Perez<sup>A,\*</sup>, A. Oakley<sup>B,C</sup> and R. Gansel<sup>B</sup>*

<sup>A</sup>Dermatology Department, Virgen Macarena University Hospital, Sevilla, Spain

<sup>B</sup>Department of Dermatology, Te Whatu Ora Health New Zealand Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand

<sup>C</sup>Department of Medicine, The University of Auckland, Auckland

\*Correspondence to: Email: [jptp0510@gmail.com](mailto:jptp0510@gmail.com)

Supplementary material

Table S1. Non-surgical treatment.

	N (83)	Frequency (%)
<b>Missing information</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>45.78</b>
<b>Reason explaining surgery not performed by plastics department</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44.58</b>
No record in-person consultation	12	14.46
Waitlisted - no more information	8	9.64
Lesion resolved	4	4.82
Monitor	3	3.61
Topical treatment	3	3.61
Biopsy	3	3.61
Cryosurgery	2	2.41
Done in private before in-person consultation	1	1.20
Clinically diagnosed as benign	1	1.20
<b>Treatment other than excision in primary care</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9.64</b>
Biopsy	5	6.02
Cryosurgery	1	1.20
Monitor	1	1.20
Topical treatment	1	1.20