## Supplementary material

## Historical dynamics of the demersal fish community in the East and South China Seas

Jin Gao<sup>A,E,F</sup>, James T. Thorson<sup>B</sup>, Cody Szuwalski<sup>C</sup> and Hui-Yu Wang<sup>D</sup>

<sup>A</sup>Department of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98105, USA.

<sup>B</sup>Fisheries Resource Assessment and Monitoring Division, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, Seattle, WA 98112, USA.

<sup>C</sup>Sustainable Fisheries Group, Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA.

<sup>D</sup>Institute of Oceanography, National Taiwan University, Taipei, 10617, Taiwan.

<sup>E</sup>Present address: Centre for Fisheries and Ecosystems Research, Fisheries and Marine Institute of Memorial University of Newfoundland, Saint John's, NL, A1A 5R3, Canada.

FCorresponding author. Email: jin.gao@mi.mun.ca



**Fig. S1.** Changes in total effort from returned logbooks in each year across four seasons (1, winter; 2, spring; 3, summer; 4, autumn) from year 1970 to 2001.



**Fig. S2**. Number of hauls per grid per season (a-d) and per year (1970–1988). The circle size is proportional to the sample sizes associated with each grid. Note that no data in 1974 were included due to the lack of trawl location.

(*b*)



Fig. S2. (Cont.)

(*c*)



Fig. S2. (Cont.)

(*d*)



Fig. S2. (Cont.)



**Fig. S3.** Estimated covariation among species for each season for numbers-density (1st and 3nd rows) and average biomass-per-individual-per-individual (2nd and 4th rows) and either spatial variation (1st and 3rd columns) or spatiotemporal variation (2nd and 4th columns), in winter (a), spring (b), summer (c) or autumn (d).

Table S1.	The total variation accounted by the dominant factor for spatial and spatio
tempo	al components in numbers-density and average biomass-per-individual

	Spatial variation explained	Spatio-temporal variation explained
Numbers-density	90.7 to 97.2%	82.6 to 94.1%
Average biomass-per-individual	88.1 to 93.6%	65.7 to 92.1%