Effects of temperature on tissue–diet isotopic spacing of nitrogen and carbon in otolith organic matter
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Abstract. Reconstruction of the trophic position of a fish can be performed by analysing stable nitrogen and carbon isotopes in otolith protein. However, ambient temperature may affect the tissue–diet isotopic spacing of stable isotopes from diet to predator tissue and bias estimates of trophic position. To test this, otolith protein, heart and muscle tissue from a rearing experiment with juvenile cod held at different temperatures (4, 7, 10 and 14°C) were analysed. There was no significant effect of temperature on otolith \( \delta^{15}\text{N} \), whereas muscle and heart exhibited a slight decrease in \( \delta^{15}\text{N} \) values with increasing temperature corresponding to maximum of 0.6\% over the 10°C range. By contrast, the otolith protein \( \delta^{13}\text{C} \) values at 4 and 7°C were significantly higher than for 10 and 14°C, suggesting an approximate 1\% increase in tissue–diet enrichment at the lower temperatures. Temperature had no significant effect on muscle and heart \( \delta^{13}\text{C} \) values. Considering the annual mean variation in ocean temperatures, our results indicate that the trophic signals recorded in the otoliths will reflect changes in diet isotope values with little bias from the ambient temperature experienced by the fish.
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Introduction

Stable isotopes of nitrogen and carbon retrieved from soft tissue amino acids are well-established indicators of trophic interactions in marine systems. The nitrogen isotopic ratio (\( \delta^{15}\text{N} \)) is a proxy for trophic level, with an approximate increase in \( \delta^{15}\text{N} \) of 3.2\% (the tissue–diet isotopic spacing, \( \Delta\delta^{15}\text{N} \)) for each increase in trophic level in fishes (Sweeting et al. 2007\textit{a}). The stable carbon isotopic ratio \( \delta^{13}\text{C} \) shows less tissue–diet isotopic spacing (0.4\%; Post 2002), with a mean value in fish of 1.8\% (ranging from −0.8 to 4\%; Caut et al. 2009), but can reveal important insights into the source of primary production at the base of the food chain; \( \delta^{13}\text{C} \) has been shown to vary with the type of primary producer (e.g. C\textsubscript{3}, C\textsubscript{4} plants), depth (e.g. pelagic and benthic) and region (e.g. inshore and offshore; Fischer and Wiencke 1992; Hobson 1999). Although the stable isotope approach does not provide the same specific knowledge of species composition in the diet as does stomach analysis, the former approach can be used to model the likely species composition of the diet if isotope values of the prey species are known and if there are measurable differences between the isotope values of the prey species (Moore and Semmens 2008). However, this modelling requires further knowledge of the tissue–diet isotopic spacing (\( \Delta \)) between trophic levels, and therefore the factors that may cause variation in the enrichment of the heavier isotope.

The tissue–diet spacing of carbon and nitrogen isotopes during trophic interactions is not fixed and varies across species as well as across individuals within the same species. The isotopic differences between consumer diet and tissues result from relative rates of isotope exchange through all assimilation and catabolic reactions. Fractionation of nitrogen takes place during transamination and is therefore related to the transformation of amino acids and excretion of excess nitrogen. In the catabolism of amino acids, discrimination against \( ^{15}\text{N} \) occurs during formation of CO\textsubscript{2}, when acetyl groups are oxidised (Galimov 1985). Variation may occur in different tissues from the same animal, as well as between individuals, because of variations in amino acid composition, temperature, feeding level, type of consumer (detritivore, carnivore or herbivore) and habitat type (marine, freshwater or terrestrial; Vander Zanden et al. 1997; Vanderklift and Ponsard 2003; Trueman et al. 2005; Barnes et al. 2007; McMahon et al. 2010). In addition, differences in lipid content of the analysed tissue may introduce variations in \( \delta^{13}\text{C} \) among individuals (Post et al. 2007).

Since the mid-2000s, ambient temperature has received attention as a potential modifier of tissue–diet enrichment values
because of its effects on rates of biochemical processes, tissue turnover and, ultimately, growth in ectotherms such as fish, all of which affect the dynamics of stable isotopes in fish tissues (Colborne et al. 2017). A few studies have tested this thoroughly (Barnes et al. 2007; Sweeting et al. 2007a, 2007b) and found that temperature significantly affects both nitrogen and carbon tissue–diet isotopic spacing. The lack of systematic investigations into the drivers of variation in diet–tissue fractionation limits our understanding of the mechanisms behind the observed temperature effect. Thus, it is necessary to gain a more physiology-based understanding of the factors that influence tissue–diet isotopic spacing.

The relationship between ambient temperature and tissue–diet enrichment is likely related to the effect of temperature on tissue turnover rates relative to growth rates and modified by feeding level. Power et al. (2003) suggested that $\delta^{13}$C variation in Daphnia magna across a temperature range is controlled by the relative rates of change in the amounts of assimilated and respired carbon. Consequently, temperatures above the optimal range for growth of D. magna would lead to decreased food assimilation relative to metabolic rate, and hence result in increased loss of isotopically light CO$_2$ and a concurrent increase in tissue $\delta^{13}$C. The effects of temperature and growth on $\delta^{15}$N variation may be more complex. If fast growth is achieved through increased nitrogen-use efficiency, as documented in fishes (Carter et al. 1998), such as through direct routing of amino acids to protein synthesis, the loss of depleted nitrogen in urea and ammonia will decrease relative to the routing of amino acids to protein synthesis, the loss of depleted amino acids in soft tissues and otoliths, it is relevant to investigate the temperature-dependent tissue–diet isotopic spacing of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes.

The question of variable tissue–diet enrichment is especially pertinent for otoliths, because otolith archives are a unique source of historical material (Morrongiello et al. 2012). Thus, otoliths may be used to create long-term chronologies of variations in stable isotope ratios, and consequently chronologies of food web changes, provided that among-individual mean tissue–diet enrichment values are constant or known over the time period covered by a chronology.

Given the importance of ensuring that the isotope signals recorded in the otoliths reflect changes in diet and not temperature-dependent enrichment of the isotopes, the aim of this study was to test for temperature-dependent isotope enrichment of nitrogen and carbon recovered from otolith organic matter. This was accomplished by conducting stable isotope analyses of otolith, muscle and heart tissue obtained from a controlled single-diet experiment with juvenile cod (Gadus morhua) reared at four different temperatures.

Materials and methods

Cod rearing and experiment procedure

Parental cod used in the experiment were held at Parisvatnet Research Station (Institute of Marine Research (IMR), Tjeldstø, Norway). All individuals were from a second-generation cultured strain. The eggs hatched in March 2014, after which the larvae were transferred to initial feeding tanks at 6°C and fed live zooplankton. In May, the juveniles were transferred to an outdoor pond, where they were reared in a large net cage and fed pellets (Skretting GEMMA Micro 300, Stavanger, Norway). The temperature in the pond followed the natural cycle, reaching its maximum of 17°C at a depth of 2 m. The juveniles were transported to the Matre Research Station (IMR, Matre, Norway) in October, at a wet weight of between 40 and 80 g. At the Matre Research Station, the fish were held in an outdoor tank for acclimation and stress reduction until the beginning of the experiment a few weeks later.

The experiment started when the cod had reached an average weight of 65 g. To avoid cannibalism, the smallest and largest individuals were discarded and only fish between 50 and 80 g were included in the experiment. All fish were sedated with benzocaine before being tagged with a 12-mm SMARTTRAC smart glass tag (Smarttrac N.V., Amsterdam, Netherlands), and length and weight were measured before fish were randomly (5–10 fish at a time) transferred to 12 1.5-m$^3$ experimental tanks. The cod were reared in triplicate groups at four different temperatures (4, 7, 10 and 14°C; Fig. 1) and the temperature in the tanks was gradually lowered or raised by 2°C per day until the experimental temperature was reached. Water from the fjord was cooled or heated in a pipeline system and premixed individually for each temperature group to ensure the assigned temperature before entering the tanks.

The temperature of the water was logged electronically at the entrance of each of the temperature groups. Temperature for the four temperature groups of 4, 7, 10 and 14°C varied with a s.d. of 0.51, 0.18, 0.16 and 0.37 respectively over the entire
experiment, except for during three periods of 2–3 days when the heat exchanger was broken and temperatures reached as high as 11°C in the 4°C tanks.

During the experiment, fish were fed in excess with commercial food pellets (Ambert Neptun; Skretting) using automat. According to information from the manufacturer, the pellets contained 54–57% protein, 15% lipid, 10–11% nitrogen-free extracts, 0.6–4.5% fibre and 10–12% ash. The raw materials were fishmeal, fish oil, soy protein concentrate, wheat and wheat gluten. All dead fish were immediately removed, measured for length and weight and then frozen.

Before starting the experiment, 25 fish were killed to determine δ13C and δ15N isotope values of the muscle, heart and otolith material laid down before the experiment. The results of the analyses from these fish are described as the ‘starting point’ throughout this paper. Fish from each tank were sampled two or three times for the duration of the experiment. The experiment for each tank ended when the average size of the fish reached ~300 g, which occurred on different dates between August and November 2015, largely determined by rearing temperature. At the end of the experiment, fish were killed with an overdose of benzocaine and individual length (mm) and body mass (g) were recorded. Samples of dorsal muscle tissue and heart were taken out at regular intervals and analysed to test for changes in diet isotope values. The raw materials were fishmeal, fish oil, soy protein concentrate, wheat and wheat gluten. All dead fish were immediately removed, measured for length and weight and then frozen.

Otolith and tissue preparation and analyses

Otolith soluble organic matter (SOM) was extracted from 13 fish collected before the start of the experiment and 112 fish sampled during the experiment. The extraction followed the protocol developed by Gronkjer et al. (2013). Briefly, sagittal otoliths were cleaned, weighed and ground using a Retsch MM 2000 oscillating mill (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) before demineralisation. For analysis, 50 mg of otolith powder was used from each fish. In case individual otoliths weighed less than 50 mg, both otoliths were used. This sample was placed in a 5-mL microtube and demineralised by first adding 100 μL of Milli-Q water and 1.5 mL of 0.1 M HCl (5°C). The sample tubes were then kept at room temperature for 2 h. After the initial demineralisation, 1.60 mL of 0.6 M HCl (5°C) was added to each sample and kept at room temperature for another 2 h. Another 1.70 mL of 0.6 M HCl (5°C) was added and the samples were kept at room temperature for 1–2, after which the tubes were moved to 30°C for 3–4 days (i.e. until the demineralisation process was complete). After complete demineralisation, the microtube contained a supernatant, which contained the SOM, and a precipitate, consisting of insoluble organic material (ISOM). To separate the SOM and ISOM, the microtubes were centrifuged at 20160 g for 15 min at 20°C, after which the supernatant (SOM) was transferred to an Amicon Ultra 10-kDa
Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to examine variation in size among the tanks at the start of the experiment. There were no differences in length (ANOVA, \( F_{1,673} = 0.774, P = 0.666 \)) or weight (ANOVA, \( F_{1,673} = 0.908, P = 0.533 \)) among the tanks at the start of the experiment.

During the experiment, the variation in size increased (Fig. 2), both within tanks and within temperature groups. The lowest growth rate was found for the fish kept at 4°C, whereas the highest growth rate was found for the fish kept at 10°C. The mean \( \delta^{13}C \) value of the lipid-extracted feed pellets was \(-21.6 \pm 0.4\%o\) (\( n = 7 \)) and the mean \( \delta^{15}N \) value of non-lipid extracted pellets was \(9.2 \pm 0.2\%o\) (\( n = 7 \)).

Results

In all, 685 cod were introduced to the tanks at the beginning of the experiment. There was variation in size among the fish in each tank, but there were no differences in length (ANOVA, \( F_{1,673} = 0.774, P = 0.666 \)) or weight (ANOVA, \( F_{1,673} = 0.908, P = 0.533 \)) among the tanks at the start of the experiment.

During the experiment, the variation in size increased (Fig. 2), both within tanks and within temperature groups. The lowest growth rate was found for the fish kept at 4°C, whereas the highest growth rate was found for the fish kept at 10°C. The mean \( \delta^{13}C \) value of the lipid-extracted feed pellets was \(-21.6 \pm 0.4\%o\) (\( n = 7 \)) and the mean \( \delta^{15}N \) value of non-lipid extracted pellets was \(9.2 \pm 0.2\%o\) (\( n = 7 \)).

Otolith nitrogen and carbon analysis

In all, 125 fish were included in the isotope analysis of the otoliths, of which 13 individuals were collected before the temperature-controlled experiment. Otolith weight was significantly related to fish bodyweight (linear regression model, \( n = 118, t = 20.6, P < 0.001 \) ; Fig. 3), and slopes did not differ significantly among temperature groups (ANCOVA: fish weight, \( F_{1,100} = 304, P < 0.01 \); temperature, \( F_{3,100} = 1.41, P = 0.245 \)).
The values of the otolith SOM $\delta^{15}$N from individual fish sampled before the start of the experiment varied $1.9\%_o$, from 6.7 to 8.6\%o. Otolith SOM $\delta^{15}$N values from fish sampled during the experiment ranged from 6.7 to 9.1\%o (Table 1), with most values between the average starting point value of 7.6\%o and the diet value of 9.1\%o. Temperature-specific mean values varied between 7.9 and 8.4\%o and, as expected, had shifted towards the diet isotope value. Neither temperature, fish growth nor their interaction significantly affected otolith SOM $\delta^{15}$N values (linear mixed-effects model: temperature, $F_{3,7} = 1.28$, $P = 0.353$; fish growth, $F_{1,103} = 1.11$, $P = 0.295$; Fig. 4; Table 2). However, the tank effect was significant ($P < 0.01$), indicating that the variation in otolith SOM $\delta^{15}$N values was due to random tank effects. For example, the lower $\delta^{15}$N values shown at 7°C resulted from fish inhabiting the same rearing tank (Tank 7).

The otolith SOM $\delta^{13}$C starting point values ranged from $-21.5$ to $-20.1\%$, whereas the $\delta^{13}$C values of fish otoliths sampled during the experiment ranged from $-22.7$ to $-18.6\%$ (Table 1). Surprisingly, the values for the 4 and 7°C groups tended to be more positive than the starting values and not to approach the diet isotope value. By contrast, the values for 10 and 14°C were slightly shifted from the starting values towards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature group</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N Otolith</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N Muscle</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N Heart</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C Otolith</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C Muscle</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C Heart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Start</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-20.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-19.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-20.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-19.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-19.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-19.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7°C</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-19.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-19.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-19.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-20.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-19.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-19.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°C</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-20.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-19.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-19.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The otolith weight plotted against fish weight (linear regression model; Otolith weight = 0.0268 + 0.000166 × fish weight; n = 118, $t = 20.6$, $P < 0.001$). There was no significant difference among the four temperature groups. The solid line represents the regression and the shaded are the 95% confidence interval. Individual symbols represent individual fish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Otolith Muscle Heart Otolith Muscle Heart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7°C</td>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°C</td>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°C</td>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Otolith weight plotted against fish weight (linear regression model; Otolith weight = 0.0268 + 0.000166 × fish weight; n = 118, $t = 20.6$, $P < 0.001$). There was no significant difference among the four temperature groups. The solid line represents the regression and the shaded are the 95% confidence interval. Individual symbols represent individual fish.

Table 1. Isotope values of tissues analysed

Data are the mean ± s.d. $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{13}$C values in otoliths, muscle and heart. The 'Start' value is that of the 13 fish sampled before the temperature-controlled experiment started.

Fig. 4. Otolith soluble organic matter (SOM) $\delta^{15}$N values for fish in the different temperature groups. (a) Individual isotope values in relation to fish growth and temperature. The solid blue line is the linear regression, with shaded areas representing the 95% confidence intervals. The green (Diet) and blue (Start) dashed lines are the mean $\delta^{15}$N values in food pellets (9.2\%o) and otolith SOM before temperature assignment (mean ± s.d., 7.6 ± 0.5\%o) respectively; individual starting values are shown in the first panel. The mean (±s.d.) $\delta^{15}$N value for muscle tissue across temperature groups was 12.1 ± 0.2\%o. For visual reasons, this line was excluded from the graph. (b) Boxplot of values for each temperature. The horizontal lines in the boxes indicate median values, the boxes indicate the 25th–75th percentiles and the vertical lines is the box ±1.5 times the interquartile range.

Fig. 4. Otolith soluble organic matter (SOM) $\delta^{15}$N values for fish in the different temperature groups. (a) Individual isotope values in relation to fish growth and temperature. The solid blue line is the linear regression, with shaded areas representing the 95% confidence intervals. The green (Diet) and blue (Start) dashed lines are the mean $\delta^{15}$N values in food pellets (9.2\%o) and otolith SOM before temperature assignment (mean ± s.d., 7.6 ± 0.5\%o) respectively; individual starting values are shown in the first panel. The mean (±s.d.) $\delta^{15}$N value for muscle tissue across temperature groups was 12.1 ± 0.2\%o. For visual reasons, this line was excluded from the graph. (b) Boxplot of values for each temperature. The horizontal lines in the boxes indicate median values, the boxes indicate the 25th–75th percentiles and the vertical lines is the box ±1.5 times the interquartile range.
the diet value. Otolith SOM $\delta^{13}$C values decreased with temperature increases, but increased with fish growth (Fig. 5). A significant random effect was also found in otolith SOM $\delta^{13}$C values ($P = 0.015$), but it did not mask significant effects of temperature and fish growth on $\delta^{13}$C values (linear mixed-effects model: temperature, $F_{3.7} = 5.61, P = 0.028$; fish growth, $F_{1.100} = 9.83, P < 0.01$; Fig. 5; Table 2). The $\delta^{13}$C values for the 4 and 7°C groups had significantly higher intercepts (~20.0 and ~20.3% respectively) than did the other two groups (~21.2 for 10°C and ~21.1 for 14°C), suggesting an approximate 1% tissue–diet enrichment at the lower temperatures.

Muscle and heart isotope analysis

No significant random effect was found for tissue $\delta^{15}$N values (muscle, $P = 0.648$; heart, $P = 0.916$). A significant temperature effect, but no fish growth effect, was found for $\delta^{15}$N values in muscle, with values 0.4% higher at 4°C than for the other three temperatures (linear mixed-effects model: muscle, temperature, $F_{3.6} = 8.78, P = 0.011$; fish growth, $F_{1.98} = 0.113, P = 0.738$; Fig. 6; Table 2). An interaction between the two fixed effects was observed in heart tissue (heart, temperature, $F_{3.30} = 4.85, P < 0.01$; fish growth, $F_{1.67} = 0.845, P = 0.361$; temperature $\times$ fish growth, $F_{3.80} = 4.07, P < 0.01$), but mean values showed a pattern similar to muscle $\delta^{15}$N, with highest values at 4°C (Fig. 6; Table 2).

Fish growth and tanks significantly affected muscle $\delta^{13}$C values (linear mixed-effects model: temperature, $F_{3.9} = 1.76, P = 0.228$; fish growth, $F_{1.95} = 4.04, P = 0.047$; tanks, $P = 0.023$), but a significant growth effect was only found for heart $\delta^{13}$C values (linear mixed-effects model: temperature, $F_{3.6} = 3.33, P = 0.094$; fish growth, $F_{1.97} = 21.8, P < 0.01$; tanks, $P = 0.605$; Fig. 6; Table 2), hence there is little support for an effect of temperature on $\delta^{13}$C in these tissues.

Discussion

The present study adds to a small body of literature on the effects of temperature on tissue–diet spacing of stable isotopes in fishes, and is the first to evaluate the effect on fish otolith isotope values. In experiments such as this one, there are several drivers potentially affecting the observed tissue isotope values. These can be divided into: (1) drivers that do not affect diet–tissue spacing, including differential contribution of pre-experiment SOM in the otoliths among the individuals and differential assimilation of diet components due to, for example, temperature and growth effects on metabolic demand; and (2) drivers that affect tissue-diet spacing, including differences in amino acid composition between diet and tissues, isotope routing of amino acids and effects of growth rate and temperature on nitrogen- and carbon-use efficiency. All these drivers may cause variability among individuals, which limits our ability to determine the effect of temperature on tissue–diet isotopic spacing.

Differential contribution of pre-experiment SOM

A caveat in this respect is that our approach did not allow for distinction between otolith material laid down before and during the experiment. It was not possible to estimate the otolith mass at the start of the experiment at the level of the individual fish; hence, a mass balance calculation was not performed. Instead, we assumed that the mean starting otolith mass and isotope

![Fig. 5. Otolith soluble organic matter (SOM) $\delta^{13}$C values of temperature groups. (a) Individual isotope values in relation to fish growth and temperature. The solid blue line is the linear regression, with shaded areas representing the 95% confidence intervals. The green (Diet), brown (Muscle) and blue (Start) dashed lines are mean $\delta^{13}$C values in food pellets (~21.6‰), muscle (mean ± s.d. = 19.3 ± 0.5‰) and otolith SOM before temperature assignment (mean ± s.d. = 20.7 ± 0.5‰) respectively. Individual otolith SOM starting values are shown in the first panel. The coloured band around the muscle mean value represents 1 s.d. (b) Boxplot of values for each temperature. The horizontal lines in the boxes indicate median values, the boxes indicate the 25th–75th percentiles and the vertical lines are the box ±1.5 times the interquartile range.](image-url)
values across temperatures were the same, an assumption based on the non-significant differences in mean fish mass across tanks before the experiment. We then used fish growth as a proxy for otolith growth during the experiment in a mixed-model set-up. This approach allowed us to evaluate growth and temperature effects on final isotope values, but did not allow us to reconstruct absolute tissue–diet spacing of the otoliths.

**Differential assimilation of diet components**

The components of the diet used in the experiment are expected to differ in digestibility and isotope values. Therefore, variations in the incorporation of carbon and nitrogen from the different sources introduce variability in the otolith and tissue isotope values. Heart and muscle values exhibited $\delta^{15}N$ values approximately 3‰ higher than those of the bulk diet, implying that bulk diet $\delta^{15}N$ values reasonably approximate values of the assimilated protein. By contrast, heart and muscle $\delta^{13}C$ values were also nearly 3‰ higher than dietary values, suggesting that a component of the diet, such as wheat, which has low $\delta^{13}C$ (Kusche et al. 2018), is not assimilated and thus not incorporated into fish tissue to the same degree as the other ingredients. The extent to which our experimental temperatures influenced the utilisation of the different diet components is unknown, but an increasing energy demand in fast-growing fishes (i.e. those at 10 and 14°C) could lead to increased use of, for example, wheat and explain the low otolith $\delta^{13}C$ values in these fish in our experiment.

**Differences in tissue amino acid composition**

Differences in amino acid composition between the diet and the different consumer tissues may also affect the observed tissue–diet spacing, because individual amino acids exhibit large differences in isotope values (e.g. McMahon et al. 2010). Hüsey et al. (2004) found differences in the amino acid composition of otoliths of fish reared at 10 and 16°C. These differences were explained by differences in the proportion of soluble and insoluble protein fractions between the two groups of fish. In the present study we only analysed the soluble protein fraction; therefore, amino acid differences are not expected to have affected our results.

**Isotope routeing of amino acids**

Some studies have shown that consumer tissue isotope values fail to conform to the values expected from bulk diet isotope values (Elsdon et al. 2010; McMahon et al. 2010). Differences in the extent of de novo synthesis and direct routeing of dietary amino acids to the different tissues may explain this. The balance between these two sources of amino acids is likely explained by an interaction between metabolic demands and the quality of the diet (Newsome et al. 2014). The diet used in the present experiments was formulated and optimised for the rearing of Atlantic cod; hence, we do not expect that the range of growth rates in this study led to large differences between temperature groups in the balance between de novo synthesis and direct routeing.

**Effects of growth rate and temperature on nitrogen- and carbon-use efficiency**

The potential effect of temperature on tissue–diet spacing is intricately related to the effects of temperature on growth rates, and hence the efficiency with which nitrogen and carbon are used. High growth rates have been found to require high nitrogen-use efficiency (Carter et al. 1998), which leads to decreased tissue–diet isotopic spacing (Trueman et al. 2005). However, these effects may be affected by actual feeding levels (Focken 2001).

Interpretation of isotope shifts in factorial experiments is difficult because of the above suite of confounding factors. Below, we relate our observations on tissue–diet isotopic spacing to the experienced temperatures while acknowledging the confounding effects of growth.

**Trends of otolith isotope values in relation to temperature**

We found no evidence of an effect of temperature on the $\delta^{15}N$ values of otolith organic matter, but did find support for a weak negative effect of temperature on $\delta^{13}C$ values. For muscle and heart tissue, temperature significantly affected $\delta^{13}C$, but not $\delta^{15}N$, values, although the absolute differences in the values were <0.6‰ and primarily related to differences between the fish kept at 4°C and those from higher temperature groups.

There are two fundamental differences between the metabolically active tissues, muscle and heart, and the otoliths with regard to the isotope values they record. First, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values in cod appear to show very little enrichment from diet to otolith organic matter (Grønkjær et al. 2013; Lueders-Dumont et al. 2018). Second, the otolith material analysed includes the
protein deposited both during the experiment and before the experiment. Therefore, the isotope values of heart and muscle are expected to reach equilibrium with the diet over time, whereas in the otoliths the trend of isotope values is expected to: (1) lie between the start point and diet; and (2) be close to the starting isotope values for the fish sampled early in the experiment and then asymptotically move towards diet isotope values in fish sampled later (i.e. fish with greater contributions from otolith material laid down during the experiment).

For otolith $\delta^{15}N$ values, most of the values were distributed between the starting and diet values for all temperatures, except for random effects that caused lower values in one tank of the $7^\circ C$ group. The otolith $\delta^{15}N$ values did not show an obvious trend of approaching the dietary value as fish grew. This pattern may result from individual variations and the difference in nitrogen values between the dietary value and values for fish at the beginning of the experiment. Even within a temperature group we found higher variation than among temperatures. Moreover, we were not able to evaluate the actual otolith growth and to what extent this may have been decoupled from the growth of the fish (Mosegaard et al. 1988), causing the lack of relationship. Finally, $\delta^{15}N$ starting and diet values were close, which means that otoliths would not change much, and the approach of otolith $\delta^{15}N$ values towards dietary values would have been less noticeable.

For $\delta^{13}C$, otolith isotope values from the fish kept at 10 and $14^\circ C$ remained close to the starting values throughout the experiment. The main reason for this is likely the closeness of the starting and diet values, which differed by $0.9\%$ and were only slightly larger than the mean otolith--diet $\delta^{13}C$ enrichment of $0.09–0.23\%$ observed by Gronkjaer et al. (2013). The isotope values of the 4 and $7^\circ C$ groups are more surprising because the average values shifted $\sim 1.8\%$ from the diet values towards the values recorded in the muscle. A plausible mechanism for this is that the faster-growing fish at 10 and $14^\circ C$ used a larger proportion of the wheat contained in the diet to sustain their higher growth, and therefore better reflected the bulk isotope value of the diet. This has some support in the observation that there was a weak negative relationship ($r^2 = 0.19$) between $\delta^{13}C$ and instantaneous growth rate in length across all fish analysed (data not shown). We also speculate that a proportion of amino acids in the otoliths may have originated from turned over tissue (e.g. muscle) instead of being routed directly from the diet. There was significant mortality among the fish in the two low-temperature groups. The fish showed signs of stress, grew more slowly than expected and ate little. Other studies have found that starvation can cause enrichment in both $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ concentrations due to self-catabolism (Doucett et al. 1999; Olive et al. 2003; Martinez del Rio et al. 2009; Doi et al. 2017), but Gronkjaer et al. (2013) suggested that the effect may be minimised by the low otolith growth in time of little food intake. Moreover, this effect is expected to also increase $\delta^{15}N$, which was not observed in the present study, which leads us to suggest that differential use of the wheat diet component caused this difference.

Variation among individuals in otolith isotope values complicates the interpretation of the data in relation to temperature effects. This variation may be related to the significant individual-level differences in metabolic performance indicated by the variation in growth rates observed during the experiment, because such differences have been shown to influence tissue–diet isotopic spacing factors. However, for the $\delta^{15}N$ data, the standard deviations of the data from the treatments and from the fish sampled before the experiment are similar (Table 1). Hence, there does not appear to have been additional variation introduced during the temperature experiment. Furthermore, the standard deviations are within the range of values obtained from other rearing experiments: for example, muscle values of 0.3 (Trueman et al. 2005), 0.27–0.46 (Barnes et al. 2007), 0.29–0.37 (Sweeting et al. 2007a) and 0.28–1.05 (Sacramento et al. 2016); heart values of 0.37–0.52 (Sweeting et al. 2007a); and otolith values of 0.15–0.31 (Gronkjaer et al. 2013).

The standard deviation of $\delta^{13}C$ values increased in response to the temperature manipulation (Table 1). For all tissues, there was an effect of growth on the obtained $\delta^{13}C$ values (Table 2), indicating that a significant proportion of isotope variation was caused by variation in the absolute growth of the fish. Because our experimental data were obtained during a period of isotopic change v. an equilibrium state (the ‘before’ data), our experimental data had a higher standard deviation. However, in our comparison of $\delta^{13}C$ values across temperatures, we used absolute growth as a covariate to tease out the effect of growth. Again we refer to similar experiments and observe that our standard deviations are within the higher range of published values; for example, muscle values of 0.3 (Trueman et al. 2005), 0.47–0.60 (Barnes et al. 2007), 0.25–0.34 (Sweeting et al. 2007b) and 0.03–1.15 (Sacramento et al. 2016); heart values of 0.91–1.02 (Sweeting et al. 2007b); and otolith values of 0.19–0.30 (Gronkjaer et al. 2013).

In this study we were not able to separate otolith material laid down before and during the experiment. Recently, a highly sensitive method based on peroxodisulfate oxidation–bacterial conversion of organic material has been used to examine the $\delta^{15}N$ values of fish otoliths (Cheng et al. 2018; Lueders-Dumont et al. 2018). This method requires 1/200th the amount needed for traditional combustion-based methods and provides a promising approach to analyse $\delta^{15}N$ in the tiny amounts of otolith material laid down after a temperature or diet shift, for example. However, a comparable method is not available for analysing similar small amounts of carbon.

**Soft tissue stable isotope enrichment**

Soft tissues are widely used in dietary studies of nitrogen and carbon isotopes analyses and can provide a good indication of the diet at a given time (Verweij et al. 2008; Eldson et al. 2010). However, tissue enrichment factors and turnover rates differ among species (Sweeting et al. 2007a, 2007b), environmental temperatures (Bosley et al. 2002; Barnes et al. 2007; Britton and Busst 2018), diets (Ankjaer et al. 2012) and trophic levels of fish (Hussey et al. 2014). For example, muscles isotopic values, the most commonly used in diet studies of fish, exhibit a wide range of enrichment factors, ranging from 0.8 to 5.6%o in $\delta^{15}N$ and from $-0.39$ to $3.7%$ in $\delta^{13}C$, depending on species (Sweeting et al. 2007a, 2007b).

Temperature has been found to have a significant effect on both nitrogen and carbon tissue–diet isotopic spacing in several species (Barnes et al. 2007; Sweeting et al. 2007a, 2007b; Britton and Busst 2018). The effects of temperature on nitrogen
Temperature effects on tissue–diet isotopic spacing

fractionation are likely related to: (1) higher metabolic rates at high temperature affecting energy demands and potentially nitrogen-use efficiency; (2) changing metabolic rates affecting nitrogen excretion rates; and (3) self-catabolism in chronically nutritionally stressed fish. Barnes et al. (2007) found a negative relationship between $\Delta^{15}N$ values and temperature (4.41% at 11°C and 3.78% at 16°C) in white muscles of European sea bass. However, Britton and Busst (2018) found a positive relationship between tissue–diet isotopic spacing and temperature (18 vs. 23°C). Britton and Busst (2018) experimented with three species of Cyprinidae, and found significantly higher tissue–diet isotopic spacing at the highest temperature for all tissues analysed (muscle, fin and scale). Sweeting et al. (2007a) tested muscle, heart and liver across temperatures and similarly found an effect of temperature on the incorporation of nitrogen. An apparent pic spacing at the highest temperature for all tissues analysed of Cyprinidae, and found significantly higher tissue–diet isotopic spacing increases with increasing temperature (Barnes 2005) in their study of growth and diet–tissue enrichment in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Hence, in this study the effect of temperature was limited to the experience a variation in mean temperature of no more than 2°C (

A consistent effect of temperature on carbon tissue–diet isotopic spacing has been observed in previous studies, in which isotopic spacing increases with increasing temperature (Barnes et al. 2007; Britton and Busst 2018). Although we found differences in $\delta^{13}C$ in muscle and heart tissues among temperature groups, the pattern did not represent a systematic change and was not significant. Barnes et al. (2007) suggested that the effect of temperature was due to condition-related variations in lipid content because the effect of temperature disappeared after mathematical lipid correction. A meta-analysis of fasting animals concluded that the starvation effects on soft tissue $\delta^{13}C$ were complex and likely involved individual and species-specific differences in lipid content and utilisation (Doi et al. 2017). Similar processes may affect the soft tissue $\delta^{13}C$ values observed in this study.

The data from isotope studies examining temperature, food availability and growth rates suggest that the patterns we observed may be more related to temperature effects on growth and physiological conditions than to temperature per se (Trueman et al. 2005, Doi et al. 2017). This also indicates that the effect of temperature is modulated by external factors, such as food availability, and internal factors, such as maturity stage, lipid accumulation and intrinsic growth patterns. The complexity of these interactions, and the fact that the responses are likely to be species specific, does not suggest that a single temperature-enrichment correction factor can be applied. To what extent the inability to correct for temperature effects observed in laboratory settings will affect conclusions drawn from isotope data on wild fishes is uncertain. It will obviously depend on the range of temperatures and the duration of the exposure to different temperatures relative to the turnover rate of the tissue and isotope in question.

The overall aim of the present study was to determine whether there is temperature-dependent variation in the tissue–diet isotopic spacing of nitrogen and carbon in the otolith organic matter, which may bias studies of trophic interactions based on archived otolith samples. The temperature-dependent variation in nitrogen tissue–diet isotopic spacing was not significant. By contrast, the effect of temperature on carbon incorporation into cod otolith organic matter was significant, but the mechanisms underlying this are not clear. Interactions of fish growth, diet use, self-catabolism and other physiological processes likely affected the results we observed. Between- and within-year temperature variations experienced by most cod populations are small compared with the range used in this experiment. The Barents Sea cod population will usually experience a variation in mean temperature of no more than 2°C (Righton et al. 2010), and therefore we do not expect temperature-dependent variation in tissue–diet isotopic spacing to significantly bias observed otolith isotope values and their interpretation with regard to trophic position.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of funding

This project was financed by the Institution of Marine Research and the European Regional Development Fund (Interreg IVa, ‘MarGen’ Project).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff at Parisvatnet and Matre research stations for expertise and help both before and during the experiment. Thanks to Susanne Vase Petersen, Inge Buss la Cour and Karina Bomholt Oest, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus, Denmark, for help with isotope analyses.

References


fractionation are likely related to: (1) higher metabolic rates at high temperature affecting energy demands and potentially nitrogen-use efficiency; (2) changing metabolic rates affecting nitrogen excretion rates; and (3) self-catabolism in chronically nutritionally stressed fish. Barnes et al. (2007) found a negative relationship between $\Delta^{15}N$ values and temperature (4.41% at 11°C and 3.78% at 16°C) in white muscles of European sea bass. However, Britton and Busst (2018) found a positive relationship between tissue–diet isotopic spacing and temperature (18 vs. 23°C). Britton and Busst (2018) experimented with three species of Cyprinidae, and found significantly higher tissue–diet isotopic spacing at the highest temperature for all tissues analysed (muscle, fin and scale). Sweeting et al. (2007a) tested muscle, heart and liver across temperatures and similarly found an effect of temperature on the incorporation of nitrogen. An apparent pic spacing at the highest temperature for all tissues analysed of Cyprinidae, and found significantly higher tissue–diet isotopic spacing increases with increasing temperature (Barnes 2005) in their study of growth and diet–tissue enrichment in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar).

A consistent effect of temperature on carbon tissue–diet isotopic spacing has been observed in previous studies, in which isotopic spacing increases with increasing temperature (Barnes et al. 2007; Britton and Busst 2018). Although we found differences in $\delta^{13}C$ in muscle and heart tissues among temperature groups, the pattern did not represent a systematic change and was not significant. Barnes et al. (2007) suggested that the effect of temperature was due to condition-related variations in lipid content because the effect of temperature disappeared after mathematical lipid correction. A meta-analysis of fasting animals concluded that the starvation effects on soft tissue $\delta^{13}C$ were complex and likely involved individual and species-specific differences in lipid content and utilisation (Doi et al. 2017). Similar processes may affect the soft tissue $\delta^{13}C$ values observed in this study.

The data from isotope studies examining temperature, food availability and growth rates suggest that the patterns we observed may be more related to temperature effects on growth and physiological conditions than to temperature per se (Trueman et al. 2005, Doi et al. 2017). This also indicates that the effect of temperature is modulated by external factors, such as food availability, and internal factors, such as maturity stage, lipid accumulation and intrinsic growth patterns. The complexity of these interactions, and the fact that the responses are likely to be species specific, does not suggest that a single temperature-enrichment correction factor can be applied. To what extent the inability to correct for temperature effects observed in laboratory settings will affect conclusions drawn from isotope data on wild fishes is uncertain. It will obviously depend on the range of temperatures and the duration of the exposure to different temperatures relative to the turnover rate of the tissue and isotope in question.

The overall aim of the present study was to determine whether there is temperature-dependent variation in the tissue–diet isotopic spacing of nitrogen and carbon in the otolith organic matter, which may bias studies of trophic interactions based on archived otolith samples. The temperature-dependent variation in nitrogen tissue–diet isotopic spacing was not significant. By contrast, the effect of temperature on carbon incorporation into cod otolith organic matter was significant, but the mechanisms underlying this are not clear. Interactions of fish growth, diet use, self-catabolism and other physiological processes likely affected the results we observed. Between- and within-year temperature variations experienced by most cod populations are small compared with the range used in this experiment. The Barents Sea cod population will usually experience a variation in mean temperature of no more than 2°C (Righton et al. 2010), and therefore we do not expect temperature-dependent variation in tissue–diet isotopic spacing to significantly bias observed otolith isotope values and their interpretation with regard to trophic position.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of funding

This project was financed by the Institution of Marine Research and the European Regional Development Fund (Interreg IVa, ‘MarGen’ Project).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff at Parisvatnet and Matre research stations for expertise and help both before and during the experiment. Thanks to Susanne Vase Petersen, Inge Buss la Cour and Karina Bomholt Oest, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus, Denmark, for help with isotope analyses.

References


Handling Editor: Chia-Hui Wang