



Introduced red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) driving Australian freshwater turtles to extinction? A critical evaluation of the evidence

Bruce C. Chessman  

For full list of author affiliations and declarations see end of paper

*Correspondence to:

Bruce C. Chessman
Centre for Ecosystem Science, School of
Biological, Earth and Environmental
Sciences, University of New South Wales,
UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052,
Australia
Email: brucechessman@gmail.com

Handling Editor:

Mike Calver

Received: 1 September 2021

Accepted: 21 November 2021

Published: 14 December 2021

Cite this:

Chessman BC (2022)
Pacific Conservation Biology, **28**(6), 462–471.
doi: [10.1071/PC21058](https://doi.org/10.1071/PC21058)

© 2022 The Author(s) (or their
employer(s)). Published by
CSIRO Publishing.

This is an open access article distributed
under the Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0
International License ([CC BY-NC-ND](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

OPEN ACCESS

ABSTRACT

It has been asserted that introduced red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) destroy ~95% of nests of freshwater turtles in south-eastern Australia, are more efficient predators of freshwater turtle nests than Australian native predators, and are driving Australian freshwater turtle species to extinction. Available information was reviewed and analysed to test these assertions. Nest predation rates for all predators including foxes averaged 70% across Australia and 76% for south-eastern Australia compared to 72% for North America where freshwater turtles co-exist with many native predators, including foxes. Predation rates on Australian freshwater turtle nests did not differ significantly where foxes were included in the identified nest predators and where they were not, but sample sizes were very small. Evidence was lacking of foxes being the primary driver of population declines of Australian freshwater turtles, and some turtle populations are stable or increasing despite exposure to fox predation. Australian native species can be effective nest predators, and their role has probably been usurped by foxes to some degree. Where research shows that increased recruitment is necessary to conserve Australian freshwater turtle populations, strategies such as electric fencing of nesting beaches, nest protection cages and *ex situ* incubation of turtle eggs will probably be more cost-effective than efforts to reduce fox numbers. Further research is also needed to better understand the biological and environmental factors that regulate nest predation rates.

Keywords: Australia, extinction, freshwater, invasive species, nest, predation, turtle, *Vulpes vulpes*.

Introduction

More than half of the world's species of turtles and tortoises are judged to be threatened with extinction (Rhodin *et al.* 2018). Australian freshwater turtles are no exception, with 44% of recognised species formally listed as Vulnerable, Endangered or Critically Endangered by Australian national, state and territory governments or by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (van Dyke *et al.* 2018). Knowledge of the conservation status of Australian freshwater turtles is also incomplete, with some species listed as Data Deficient in one or more jurisdictions.

Globally, freshwater turtles face a plethora of threats, including the loss, degradation and fragmentation of their habitats, overharvesting for food, traditional medicines or the pet trade, climate change, road traffic, predation and disease (Stanford *et al.* 2020). Australian species are vulnerable to all of these threats, but predation by introduced red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) has drawn particular attention since Thompson's (1983) estimate that foxes destroyed 93% of nests of eastern long-necked turtles (*Chelodina longicollis*) and Macquarie turtles (*Emydura macquarii*). This figure was based on data from just three locations in South Australia obtained during one or two nesting seasons, but has been extrapolated to assert that 'nest predation rates are extremely high in south-eastern Australia, with >90% of nests destroyed each year' (Spencer 2018) and that 'invasive foxes destroy 95% or more of all turtle nests present in the Murray catchment' (Petrov *et al.* 2018). It has also been

used to parameterise population models projecting that foxes will drive some Australian freshwater turtle species to extinction (Spencer *et al.* 2016, 2017).

Vulpes vulpes was introduced to Australia in 1871 and has had a devastating predatory impact on many species of Australian wildlife (Dickman 1996; Saunders *et al.* 2010). Fox predation on eggs of Australian freshwater turtles was reported more than a century ago (Adelaide Advertiser, 3 January 1910, p. 8), and foxes also kill turtles emerging on land to nest or migrate between water bodies (Spencer 2002; Dawson *et al.* 2016). Fox control, principally by poison baiting, is widely practised across Australia but its effectiveness in reducing destruction of freshwater turtle nests is questionable (Robley *et al.* 2016). It is important that assertions that foxes are driving Australian freshwater turtle species to extinction are critically evaluated, because over-statement of the risk posed by foxes could result in resources for turtle conservation being devoted to efforts to reduce fox numbers when they would be more effectively directed elsewhere.

This paper reviews and analyses available information in order to critically evaluate hypotheses that foxes routinely destroy ~95% of nests of Australian freshwater turtles, are more efficient predators of freshwater turtle nests than Australian native predators, and are driving Australian freshwater turtles toward extinction. It then discusses options for increasing recruitment of Australian freshwater turtles where necessary.

Materials and methods

Books, journal papers, reports and theses were consulted for evidence of population trends of Australian freshwater turtles and their causes. Rates of predation on nests of Australian freshwater turtle species, either provided by authors or able to be calculated from data presented, were compiled. For comparative purposes, predation rates were also tabulated for freshwater turtle nests in North America, where foxes and nearly all other nest predators are indigenous. Relevant information was located by combing through the author's collection of documents on Australian and other freshwater turtles, a Google Scholar search using the words 'turtle', 'nest' and 'predation', and screening the reference lists of documents selected by those processes. For North America, the estuarine diamondback terrapin (*Malaclemys terrapin*) and semi-aquatic species such as wood turtles (*Glyptemys insculpta*) were included along with strictly freshwater species. North American studies occasionally reported nest predation rates as proportions of eggs rather than nests, but the two statistics were considered broadly comparable.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test were used to compare rates of predation on nests of Australian freshwater turtles between instances

where the identified predators included foxes and instances where they did not. Data were not sufficient to include factors such as geographic region or turtle species. ANOVA and the Mann–Whitney test were also used to compare nest predation rates between Australia and North America. The deviation of ANOVA residuals from a normal distribution was assessed with the Shapiro–Wilk test.

Experimental studies monitoring artificial nests constructed by investigators were excluded from these analyses because studies of bird nests cast doubt on the equivalence of predation rates on artificial and natural nests (Berry and Lill 2003). In addition, predation rates on artificial nests can vary considerably depending on nest contents and construction (Spencer 2002; Buzuleciu *et al.* 2016; Edmunds *et al.* 2018). The analyses also excluded rates that were likely to be influenced by artificial reduction, inhibition or exclusion of predators, as well as instances in which losses from predation could not be separated from losses due to other factors such as floods or trampling by cattle. In order to ensure independence of observations, rates for the same turtle species and study area (e.g. for different years or sites) were averaged. Statistical analyses were performed with XLSTAT (Addinsoft 2021).

Results

Reported introduced predators of Australian freshwater turtle nests include cats (*Felis catus*), dogs (*Canis familiaris*) and pigs (*Sus scrofa*), as well as red foxes (*V. vulpes*) (Table 1; see also Parmenter 1985). Native nest predators include Australian magpies (*Gymnorhina tibicen*), crows and ravens (*Corvus* spp.), lapwings (*Vanellus* sp.), monitor lizards (*Varanus* spp.), rakali (*Hydromys chrysogaster*) and southern brown bandicoots (*Isodon obesulus*) (Table 1; see also Burbidge 1981; Micheli-Campbell *et al.* 2013). Harrington (1933) reported that rats raided nests of *C. longicollis*, but did not specify the rat species or whether it was introduced or native.

Nest predation rates for Australian freshwater turtles (Table 1; $n = 10$) ranged from 20 to 97% and averaged $70 \pm 7\%$ overall (mean \pm s.e.) or $76 \pm 5\%$ for south-eastern Australia only (New South Wales, South Australia and Victoria). Rates were higher where the identified predators included foxes ($78 \pm 5\%$) than where they did not ($59 \pm 15\%$), but the difference was not statistically significant (ANOVA: $F_{1,8} = 1.91$; $P = 0.21$; Mann–Whitney test: $P = 0.26$). ANOVA residuals deviated only slightly from a normal distribution (Shapiro–Wilk test: $W = 0.97$).

Nest predation rates for North American freshwater turtles (Table 2; $n = 43$) ranged from 17 to 100% and averaged $72 \pm 3\%$. Nest predation rates in Australia and North America did not differ significantly (ANOVA: $F_{1,51} = 0.09$; $P = 0.77$; Mann–Whitney test: $P = 0.71$). ANOVA residuals deviated somewhat

Table 1. Rates of predation on natural nests of Australian freshwater turtle species in the absence of predator control measures.

Turtle species	State or territory	Predation rate (%)	Predators responsible	References
<i>Carettochelys insculpta</i>	Northern Territory	20	<i>Canis familiaris</i> , <i>Varanus</i> spp.	Doody <i>et al.</i> (2004, 2006)
<i>Carettochelys insculpta</i>	Northern Territory	92	<i>Varanus</i> spp.	Georges and Kennett (1989)
<i>Chelodina expansa</i>	New South Wales and Victoria	59	Aves, <i>Hydromys chrysogaster</i> , <i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	Spencer and Thompson (2005)
<i>Chelodina expansa</i>	South Australia	67	<i>Corvus</i> sp.	Ercolano (2008)
<i>Chelodina longicollis</i>	New South Wales	83	<i>Varanus varius</i> , <i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	Vestjens (1977)
<i>Chelodina longicollis</i>	Victoria	84	<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	Chessman (2018)
<i>Chelodina longicollis</i> , <i>Emydura macquarii</i>	South Australia	97	<i>Corvus coronoides</i> , <i>Hydromys chrysogaster</i> , <i>Varanus</i> spp., <i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	Thompson (1983)
<i>Elseya lavarackorum</i>	Queensland	57	<i>Sus scrofa</i>	Freeman (2010)
<i>Emydura macquarii</i>	New South Wales and Victoria	73	<i>Gymnorhina tibicen</i> , <i>Hydromys chrysogaster</i> , <i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	Spencer (2002)
<i>Myuchelys georgesi</i>	New South Wales	69	<i>Varanus</i> spp., <i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	Blamires <i>et al.</i> (2005)

more from a normal distribution for this analysis (Shapiro–Wilk test: $W = 0.93$).

No evidence was found of foxes being the primary driver of population declines of Australian freshwater turtles. For example, decreases in numbers of western swamp turtles (*Pseudemydura umbrina*) in Western Australia since the 1960s have been attributed mainly to habitat loss and contraction of the turtle's annual activity period due to a sharp decrease in winter rainfall, with fox predation noted as a contributing factor (Burbidge 1981; Kuchling 2000; Bouma *et al.* 2020). Excessive collection of eggs for the pet trade in the 1960s and 1970s has been primarily blamed for the low current abundance of the Mary River turtle (*Elusor macrurus*) in Queensland, although anecdotal evidence suggests an increase in nest predation since the 1960s (Flakus 2002). A long-term decline in catch per unit effort (CPUE) of *C. longicollis* in southern New South Wales was attributed primarily to extreme drought in association with anthropogenic flow alteration, whereas the cause of a co-incident decline in CPUE of *E. macquarii* could not be established (Chessman 2011). In eastern New South Wales, a sudden decline of ~90% in the population of Bellinger River snapping turtles (*Myuchelys georgesi*) was caused by an epidemic (Chessman *et al.* 2020).

Moreover, some Australian freshwater turtle populations appear to be stable or increasing, despite exposure to fox predation. For example, no long-term change in CPUE of broad-shelled turtles (*Chelodina expansa*) was observed by Chessman (2011). In the Bellinger River, the population of translocated *E. macquarii* has increased from a few individuals to ~500 in about 30 years (Chessman *et al.* 2020), despite the presence of foxes (Blamires *et al.* 2005).

Emydura macquarii has also proliferated in other Australian river systems where it has been introduced (Chessman 2021).

Discussion

This review and analysis did not support the hypothesis that foxes routinely destroy ~95% of nests of Australian freshwater turtles. The average nest predation rate across studies was 70%, or 76% for south-eastern Australia only, and these figures include predation by all species, not just foxes. Moreover, nest predation rates may have been overestimated in some studies because depredated nests with excavated soil and scattered eggshells are highly conspicuous (Chessman 2018; Petrov *et al.* 2018), whereas intact nests can be cryptic, particularly if some time has elapsed since nesting (Turner 2004; Spencer 2018). In addition, markers used to facilitate nest monitoring may attract predators (Rollinson and Brooks 2007). Alternatively, however, predation rates may be underestimated if nests are not monitored until the end of the period of hatchling emergence.

Studies of artificial nests were not included in the statistical analysis, but also failed to support a figure of ~95% nest predation by foxes or even by all nest predators combined. For example, Spencer (2002) reported ~55–80% predation on artificial nests containing turtle or quail eggs at Lake Mulwala, New South Wales. Blamires *et al.* (2005) recorded a 73% loss of artificial nests containing chicken eggs on the Bellinger River, New South Wales, from a combination of predation by foxes and monitor lizards, trampling by cattle and flooding. Dawson *et al.* (2014) reported 48–53% predation on artificial nests containing chicken eggs at Chittering Lakes, Western Australia. Robley *et al.* (2016)

Table 2. Rates of predation on natural nests of North American freshwater turtle species in the absence of predator control measures.

Turtle species	Province or state	Predation rate (%)	Predators responsible	References
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	Michigan	70	<i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Vulpes fulva</i>	Congdon et al. (1987)
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	New York	94	<i>Procyon lotor</i>	Petokas and Alexander (1980)
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	Ontario	84	<i>Canis latrans</i> , <i>Didelphis virginiana</i> , <i>Mephitis mephitis</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i>	Wirsing et al. (2012)
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	Quebec	84	<i>Homo sapiens</i> , <i>Mephitis mephitis</i> , <i>Mustela vison</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i>	Robinson and Bider (1988)
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	South Dakota	69	<i>Mephitis mephitis</i> , <i>Mustela vison</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Spilogale putorius</i>	Hammer (1969)
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	Virginia	32	Not reported	Thompson et al. (2017)
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i> , <i>Kinosternon subrubrum</i> , <i>Pseudemys floridana</i> , <i>Sternotherus odoratus</i> , <i>Trachemys scripta</i>	South Carolina	82	<i>Procyon lotor</i>	Tuberville and Burke (1994)
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Illinois	78	<i>Elaphe vulpina</i> , <i>Mephitis mephitis</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i>	Kolbe and Janzen (2002); Bowen and Janzen (2005); Schwanz et al. (2010); Strickland and Janzen (2010); Strickland et al. (2010); Voves et al. (2016)
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Illinois	99	Not reported	Refsnider et al. (2015)
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Michigan	17	<i>Procyon lotor</i>	Rowe et al. (2005)
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Michigan	21	Not reported	Tinkle et al. (1981)
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Michigan	45	<i>Mephitis mephitis</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Tamias striatus</i> , <i>Vulpes fulva</i>	Snow (1982)
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Minnesota	53	<i>Ictidomys tridecemlineatus</i>	Refsnider et al. (2015)
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Ontario	57	<i>Canis latrans</i> , <i>Mephitis mephitis</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i>	Wirsing et al. (2012)
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Quebec	44	<i>Mephitis mephitis</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i>	Christens and Bider (1987)
<i>Emydoidea blandingii</i>	Michigan	78	Formicidae, <i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Urocyon cinereoargenteus</i> , <i>Vulpes fulva</i>	Congdon et al. (1983, 2000)
<i>Emydoidea blandingii</i>	Nova Scotia	65	Not reported	Standing et al. (2000)
<i>Emydoidea blandingii</i>	Wisconsin	51	Not reported	Byer et al. (2018a)
<i>Emydoidea blandingii</i>	Wisconsin	59	Not reported	Reid et al. (2016)
<i>Emydoidea blandingii</i>	Wisconsin	100	<i>Mephitis mephitis</i>	Ross and Anderson (1990)
<i>Glyptemys insculpta</i>	Ontario	88	Mammalia	Brooks et al. (1992)
<i>Glyptemys insculpta</i>	Wisconsin	68	<i>Mephitis mephitis</i>	Bougie et al. (2020)
<i>Glyptemys muhlenbergii</i>	Maryland	83	Insecta, Mammalia	Byer et al. (2018a, 2018b)
<i>Glyptemys muhlenbergii</i>	New Jersey, Pennsylvania	51	<i>Blarina brevicauda</i> , <i>Microtus pennsylvanicus</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Vulpes fulva</i>	Zappalorti et al. (2017)
<i>Glyptemys muhlenbergii</i>	North Carolina	53	<i>Cambarus</i> sp., <i>Didelphis virginiana</i> , Formicidae, Mammalia (small), <i>Mephitis mephitis</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i>	Knoerr et al. (2021)
<i>Graptemys flavimaculata</i>	Mississippi	62	<i>Corvus ossifragus</i> , <i>Lampropeltis getula</i> , <i>Solenopsis invicta</i>	Horne et al. (2003)
<i>Graptemys geographica</i> , <i>Graptemys ouachitensis</i>	Wisconsin	90	<i>Procyon lotor</i>	Geller (2012a)
<i>Graptemys oculifera</i>	Mississippi	98	Canidae, <i>Corvus brachyrhynchus</i> , <i>Corvus ossifragus</i> , <i>Dasyus novemcinctus</i> , <i>Homo sapiens</i> , <i>Lampropeltis getula</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Solenopsis molesta</i> , <i>Tripanurga importuna</i>	Jones (2006)
<i>Graptemys ouachitensis</i>	Wisconsin	95	<i>Procyon lotor</i>	Geller (2015)
<i>Kinosternon baurii</i>	Florida	100	<i>Procyon lotor</i> , Rodentia or Soricidae, Serpentes	Wilson et al. (1999)

(Continued on next page)

Table 2. (Continued).

Turtle species	Province or state	Predation rate (%)	Predators responsible	References
<i>Kinosternon flavescens</i>	Illinois	100	<i>Canis latrans</i> , <i>Heterodon nasicus</i> , <i>Mephitis mephitis</i>	Tuma (2006)
<i>Kinosternon flavescens</i>	Nebraska	79	<i>Heterodon nasicus</i> , Rodentia	Iverson (1991)
<i>Kinosternon subrubrum</i> , <i>Pseudemys concinna</i> , <i>Trachemys scripta</i>	South Carolina	84	<i>Procyon lotor</i> , Soricidae?	Burke et al. (1998)
<i>Malaclemys terrapin</i>	Florida	85	<i>Corvus brachyrhynchos</i> , <i>Corvus ossifragus</i> , <i>Dasyops novemcinctus</i> , <i>Ocyropsis quadrata</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Quiscalus major</i> , <i>Solenopsis invicta</i>	Butler et al. (2004); Munscher et al. (2012)
<i>Malaclemys terrapin</i>	Georgia	62	Not reported	Crawford et al. (2014)
<i>Malaclemys terrapin</i>	New Jersey	61	<i>Corvus brachyrhynchos</i> , <i>Larus atricilla</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Vulpes fulva</i>	Burger (1977)
<i>Malaclemys terrapin</i>	New York	84	<i>Procyon lotor</i>	Feinberg and Burke (2003); Burke et al. (2015); Edmunds et al. (2018)
<i>Malaclemys terrapin</i>	Virginia	80	Aves, <i>Procyon lotor</i>	Ruzicka 2006
<i>Pseudemys concinna</i>	Florida	100	<i>Corvus ossifragus</i> , <i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Scalopus aquaticus</i> , <i>Solenopsis</i> sp.	Jackson and Walker (1997)
<i>Pseudemys floridana</i>	Florida	100	<i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Solenopsis invicta</i>	Aresco (2004)
<i>Pseudemys texana</i> , <i>Trachemys scripta</i>	Texas	33	<i>Procyon lotor</i>	Washington (2008)
<i>Sternotherus odoratus</i>	Pennsylvania	78	Not reported	Ernst (1986)
<i>Trachemys scripta</i>	Florida	97	<i>Procyon lotor</i> , <i>Solenopsis invicta</i>	Aresco (2004)

recorded 84% loss of artificial nests containing quail eggs at Hattah Lakes, Victoria, in the absence of fox control measures. Data graphed by Spencer et al. (2017) suggest an average depredation of ~80% for artificial nests containing chicken or quail eggs in New South Wales and Victoria. As for natural nests, the average over all of these artificial-nest studies is ~70% for all predators combined.

Supporting evidence was also lacking for the proposition that foxes are more efficient nest predators than Australian native birds, marsupials, monitor lizards and rodents. The lack of a statistically significant difference in Australian nest predation rates between instances where foxes were among the identified nest predators and instances where they were not might reflect the very small sample size, the role of other introduced predators, or incomplete detection of predator species, as well as the efficiency of native nest predators. However, it is clear that native species can destroy a large proportion of turtle nests. For example, monitor lizards had raided 11 of 12 nests of the pig-nosed turtle (*Carettochelys insculpta*) in Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory (Georges and Kennett 1989), and 18 of 24 nests of *C. longicollis* at Lake Cowal, New South Wales (Vestjens 1977). Lyndon (1966) and Beck (1991) both reported ravens (*Corvus* spp.) as voracious predators of *C. longicollis* nests. It is also likely that foxes have partly substituted for Australian native nest predators, because foxes have reduced or even eliminated populations of

native species that are known or likely to prey on turtle nests, such as bandicoots, monitor lizards and quolls (*Dasyurus* spp.) (Dickman 1996; Saunders et al. 2010). Thus, fox suppression can increase populations of these native nest predators (Bowler 2016; Hu et al. 2019; Madden Hof et al. 2020). Large native marsupial predators (*Sarcophilus harrisii* and *Thylacinus cynocephalus*), which became extinct on mainland Australia soon after the introduction of dogs (dingoes) about 3500 years ago, might also have preyed on turtle nests (Burbidge 1981; Thompson 1983).

Nest predation rates also did not differ significantly between Australia and North America, where freshwater turtle nests are preyed on by a plethora of native arthropod, avian, mammalian and reptilian nest predators, including coyotes (*Canis latrans*), crows (*Corvus* spp.), mink (*Mustela vison*), North American red foxes (*Vulpes fulva*, sometimes assigned to *V. vulpes*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), and striped skunks (*Mephitis mephitis*) (Table 2). Some of these predators also take nesting turtles (e.g. Karson et al. 2018; Mullin et al. 2020).

If average nest predation rates are equivalent in Australia and North America, and North American turtles have been able to persist for millennia in sympatry with foxes and a panoply of other predators, why would foxes be able to drive Australian freshwater turtles to extinction? Spencer et al. (2016) maintain that Australian turtles such as *E. macquarii* are especially vulnerable to fox predation because they

employ an 'arribada' strategy of synchronous and spatially concentrated mass nesting, like that of some sea turtles (Eckrich and Owens 1995). They suggest that this strategy evolved to protect some nests by saturating native nest predators, but fails to do so in the case of foxes, thereby constituting an 'ethological trap' that will eventually drive *E. macquarii* to extinction. However, an empirical study in Québec, Canada, concluded that synchronous nesting increased survival of nests of snapping turtles (*Chelydra serpentina*) that were preyed on by a suite of efficient predators including *M. mephitis*, *M. vison* and *P. lotor* (Robinson and Bider 1988). Moreover, nesting of *E. macquarii* is spread over about 2 months in the south of its distribution and 4 months further north, with females producing up to three clutches of eggs annually (Chessman 1978; Georges 1983; Booth 2010). Its nesting is triggered by rainfall (McCosker 2002; Bowen *et al.* 2005; Booth 2010), as in many other freshwater turtle species (Czaja *et al.* 2018), and is therefore concentrated during rain events. However, there is no evidence that *E. macquarii* migrates to nest communally in the manner of some sea turtles. Nesting during rain is probably adaptive regardless of the species of nest predator, because heavy rain softens soil to facilitate nest excavation, and can disguise nests from predators (Legler 1954; Bowen and Janzen 2005; Czaja *et al.* 2018).

Although the impact of foxes on nests of Australian freshwater turtles appears to have been exaggerated, it is clear that foxes have a substantial impact on turtle recruitment and survival, except in the far north of the continent where foxes are absent and feral pigs are the chief introduced predator (Fordham *et al.* 2006). In addition, some fox populations may be enhanced by mesopredator release due to suppression of Australia's apex terrestrial predators, dingoes and other wild dogs (Wallach *et al.* 2010; Letnic *et al.* 2011; though see Allen *et al.* 2013). Furthermore, foxes may benefit from anthropogenic food subsidies (Oro *et al.* 2013), which they widely exploit (Fleming *et al.* 2021). Consequently, the pressure of fox predation on turtle nests is likely to be spatially variable and intense in some regions.

How should nest predation be curtailed where research shows that increased recruitment is necessary for the persistence or recovery of Australian freshwater turtle populations? Many methods are used to control foxes across Australia for both wildlife conservation and livestock protection, including exclusion fencing, den fumigation, shooting, trapping and stationing of guard animals. However, the deployment of meat baits poisoned with sodium fluoroacetate (1080) is most popular because of its cost effectiveness (Saunders *et al.* 2010). Controlling fox numbers with poison baits has been found to lower predation on nests of both freshwater and marine turtles in Australia (Spencer 2002; Madden Hof *et al.* 2020), but a major fox-baiting programme at the Hattah Lakes in Victoria did not significantly reduce predation on artificial turtle nests (Robley *et al.* 2016). Spencer *et al.* (2017) suggested that poison baiting may be

ineffective unless it results in the near elimination of the fox population because predation on artificial nests was independent of fox abundance unless the latter was extremely low. In addition, baits are often consumed by non-target species (Dundas *et al.* 2014; Millar *et al.* 2015), and foxes can rapidly immigrate to re-populate areas where baiting has been undertaken (Gentle *et al.* 2007), or develop bait avoidance or toxin tolerance (Allsop *et al.* 2017). Thus, effective reduction of turtle nest predation by fox baiting suffers from many practical constraints.

Excluding foxes from nesting areas through fencing can be effective (Palmer-Allen *et al.* 1991), but can also produce perverse outcomes. For example, a predator-exclusion fence surrounding a reserve in the Australian Capital Territory intercepted and killed migrating *C. longicollis*, apparently by making them overheat (Ferronato *et al.* 2014). In Western Australia, the installation of fox-exclusion fences around reserves to protect *P. umbrina* led to population increases of other predators inside the reserves: native bandicoots (*I. obesulus*) and ravens (*Corvus coronoides*), and introduced rats (*Rattus* spp.) (Kuchling 2000; Bowler 2016). Electric fencing of nesting areas (Geller 2012b) can provide a targeted way to reduce nest predation, and such fences can be removed if necessary once hatchlings have emerged.

Locating intact turtle nests and covering them with predator-exclusion screens or cages can also be effective for inhibiting both foxes and other predators (Graham 1997; Riley and Litzgus 2013). This technique proved more effective than lethal fox control via trapping and den fumigation for protecting eggs of Australian sea turtles (O'Connor *et al.* 2017). However, predation on hatchlings after their emergence from protected nests may limit the benefits in some circumstances (Campbell *et al.* 2020), and care may be needed to avoid attracting illegal egg or hatchling collectors (Flakus 2002).

Another option for increasing turtle recruitment is to bypass reproduction-related predation entirely by capturing gravid females in the wild and inducing their oviposition with hormone injections (Ewert and Legler 1978). The eggs so obtained can be artificially incubated, and the resulting hatchlings can be released in habitats where they have the best chance of survival, or else head-started; i.e. kept in captivity until they grow big enough to resist most predators (Buhlmann *et al.* 2015; Carstairs *et al.* 2019). In extreme cases, captive breeding may be necessary (Kuchling 2000; Chessman *et al.* 2020).

Research is needed to better understand the biological and environmental factors that regulate recruitment and survival of Australian freshwater turtles, and why some turtle populations are able to increase despite exposure to fox predation, while others seem unable to do so. Spencer *et al.* (2016) suggested that *C. expansa* is less vulnerable than *E. macquarii* to nest predation by foxes because nests of *C. expansa* are more widely scattered. However, available evidence shows

population stability for *C. expansa* (Chessman 2011) and increases for *E. macquarii* in some instances (Chessman *et al.* 2020; Chessman 2021). It is important that assessments of nest predation rates extend for several years, because inter-annual variation in rates can be enormous (e.g. Congdon *et al.* 2000; Schwanz *et al.* 2010).

Conclusions and recommendations

Assertions that red foxes consistently cause ~95% depredation of the nests of freshwater turtles in south-eastern Australia and are thereby driving turtle species to extinction, are not supported by the available evidence. Documented declines of Australian freshwater turtle populations have mainly been attributed to factors other than fox predation, and population models forecasting fox-induced extinction are based on what appear to be inflated nest predation rates. Where research shows that increased recruitment is necessary for the persistence or recovery of populations of Australian freshwater turtles, strategies such as electric fencing of nesting beaches, caging of nests, artificial incubation of eggs, and head-starting of juvenile turtles will probably be more cost-effective than efforts to reduce fox numbers.

References

- Addinsoft (2021) XLSTAT. Available at <https://www.xlstat.com/en/>. [Accessed 24 June 2021]
- Allen BL, Allen LR, Engeman RM, Leung LK-P (2013) Intraguild relationships between sympatric predators exposed to lethal control: predator manipulation experiments. *Frontiers in Zoology* **10**, e39. doi:10.1186/1742-9994-10-39
- Allsop SE, Dundas SJ, Adams PJ, Kreplins TL, Bateman PW, Fleming PA (2017). Reduced efficacy of baiting programs for invasive species: some mechanisms and management implications. *Pacific Conservation Biology* **23**, 240–257. doi:10.1071/PC17006
- Aresco MJ (2004) Reproductive ecology of *Pseudemys floridana* and *Trachemys scripta* (Testudines: Emydidae) in northwestern Florida. *Journal of Herpetology* **38**, 249–256. doi:10.1670/169-03A
- Beck RG (1991) The common longnecked tortoise *Chelodina longicollis* (Shaw 1802) (Testudinata: Chelidae): a comparative study of the morphology and field behaviour of disjunct populations. *South Australian Naturalist* **66**, 4–22.
- Berry L, Lill A (2003) Do predation rates on artificial nests accurately predict predation rates on natural nests? The effects of nest type, egg type and nest-site characteristics. *Emu – Austral Ornithology* **103**, 207–214. doi:10.1071/MU02054
- Blamires SJ, Spencer R-J, King P, Thompson MB (2005) Population parameters and life-table analysis of two coexisting freshwater turtles: are the Bellinger River turtle populations threatened? *Wildlife Research* **32**, 339–347. doi:10.1071/WR04083
- Booth D (2010) The natural history of nesting in two Australian freshwater turtles. *Australian Zoologist* **35**, 198–203. doi:10.7882/AZ.2010.008
- Bougie TA, Byer NW, Lapin CN, Peery MZ, Woodford JE, Pauli JN (2020) Wood turtle (*Glyptemys insculpta*) nest protection reduces depredation and increases success, but annual variation influences its effectiveness. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **98**, 715–724. doi:10.1139/cjz-2020-0064
- Bouma A, Kuchling G, Zhai SY, Mitchell N (2020) Assisted colonisation trials for the western swamp turtle show that juveniles can grow in cooler and wetter climates. *Endangered Species Research* **43**, 75–88. doi:10.3354/esr01053
- Bowen KD, Janzen FJ (2005) Rainfall and depredation of nests of the painted turtle, *Chrysemys picta*. *Journal of Herpetology* **39**, 649–652. doi:10.1670/34-05N.1
- Bowen KD, Spencer R-J, Janzen FJ (2005) A comparative study of environmental factors that affect nesting in Australian and North American freshwater turtles. *Journal of Zoology* **267**, 397–404. doi:10.1017/S0952836905007533
- Bowler H (2016) Conservation catch 22: are threatened southern brown bandicoots (*Isoodon obesulus*) predating critically endangered western swamp turtle (*Pseudemydura umbrina*) nests?. BSc(Hons) thesis, University of Western Australia, Perth.
- Brooks RJ, Shilton CM, Brown GP, Quinn NWS (1992) Body size, age distribution, and reproduction in a northern population of wood turtles (*Clemmys insculpta*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **70**, 462–469. doi:10.1139/z92-070
- Buhlmann KA, Koch SL, Butler BO, Tuberville TD, Palermo VJ, Bastarache BA, Cava ZA (2015) Reintroduction and head-starting: tools for Blanding's turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*) conservation. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* **10**, 436–454.
- Burbidge AA (1981) The ecology of the western swamp tortoise *Pseudemydura umbrina* (Testudines: Chelidae). *Australian Wildlife Research* **8**, 203–223. doi:10.1071/WR9810203
- Burger J (1977) Determinants of hatching success in diamond-back terrapin, *Malaclemys terrapin*. *American Midland Naturalist* **97**, 444–464. doi:10.2307/2425108
- Burke VJ, Rathbun SL, Bodie JR, Gibbons JW (1998) Effect of density on predation rate for turtle nests in a complex landscape. *Oikos* **83**, 3–11. doi:10.2307/3546540
- Burke RL, Vargas M, Kanonik A (2015) Pursuing pepper protection: habanero pepper powder does not reduce raccoon predation of terrapin nests. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **14**, 201–203. doi:10.2744/CCB-1145.1
- Butler JA, Broadhurst C, Green M, Mullin Z (2004) Nesting, nest predation and hatching emergence of the Carolina diamondback terrapin, *Malaclemys terrapin centrata*, in northeastern Florida. *American Midland Naturalist* **152**, 145–155. doi:10.1674/0003-0031(2004)152[0145:NNPAHE]2.0.CO;2
- Buzuleciu SA, Crane DP, Parker SL (2016) Scent of disinterred soil as an olfactory cue used by raccoons to locate nests of diamondbacked terrapins (*Malaclemys terrapin*). *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* **11**, 539–551.
- Byer NW, Reid BN, Seigel RA, Peery MZ (2018a) Applying lessons from avian ecology to herpetological research: techniques for analyzing nest survival due to predation. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* **13**, 517–532.
- Byer NW, Smith SA, Seigel RA (2018b) Microgeographic variation in bog turtle nesting ecology. *Journal of Herpetology* **52**, 228–233. doi:10.1670/17-120
- Campbell MA, Connell MJ, Collett SJ, Udyawer V, Crewe TL, McDougall A, Campbell HA (2020) The efficacy of protecting turtle nests as a conservation strategy to reverse population decline. *Biological Conservation* **251**, e108769. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108769
- Carstairs S, Paterson JE, Jager KL, Gasbarrini D, Mui AB, Davy CM (2019) Population reinforcement accelerates subadult recruitment rates in an endangered freshwater turtle. *Animal Conservation* **22**, 589–599. doi:10.1111/acv.12503
- Chessman BC (1978) Ecological studies of freshwater turtles in south-eastern Australia. PhD thesis, Monash University, Melbourne.
- Chessman BC (2011) Declines of freshwater turtles associated with climatic drying in Australia's Murray–Darling Basin. *Wildlife Research* **38**, 664–671. doi:10.1071/WR11108
- Chessman BC (2018) Freshwater turtle hatchlings that stay in the nest: strategists or prisoners? *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 34–40. doi:10.1071/ZO17054
- Chessman BC (2021) A creeping threat? Introduced Macquarie turtles and the future of endangered helmeted turtles in southern Australia. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* doi:10.1002/aqc.3723
- Chessman BC, McGilvray G, Ruming S, Jones HA, Petrov K, Fielder DP, Spencer R-J, Georges A (2020) On a razor's edge: status and prospects of the critically endangered Bellinger River snapping turtle, *Myuchelys georgesi*. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* **30**, 586–600. doi:10.1002/aqc.3258

- Christens E, Bider JR (1987) Nesting activity and hatching success of the painted turtle (*Chrysemys picta marginata*) in southwestern Quebec. *Herpetologica* **43**, 55–65.
- Congdon JD, Tinkle DW, Breitenbach GL, van Loben Sels RC (1983) Nesting ecology and hatching success in the turtle *Emydoidea blandingii*. *Herpetologica* **39**, 417–429.
- Congdon JD, Breitenbach GL, van Loben Sels RC, Tinkle DW (1987) Reproductive and nesting ecology of snapping turtles (*Chelydra serpentina*) in southeastern Michigan. *Herpetologica* **43**, 39–54.
- Congdon JD, Nagle RD, Kinney OM, Osentoski M, Avery HW, van Loben Sels RC, Tinkle DW (2000) Nesting ecology and embryo mortality: implications for hatching success and demography of Blanding's turtles (*Emydoidea blandingii*). *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **3**, 569–579.
- Crawford BA, Maerz JC, Nibbelink NP, Buhlmann KA, Norton TM (2014) Estimating the consequences of multiple threats and management strategies for semi-aquatic turtles. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **51**, 359–366. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12194
- Czaja RA, Kanonik A, Burke RL (2018) The effect of rainfall on predation of diamond-backed terrapin (*Malaclemys terrapin*) nests. *Journal of Herpetology* **52**, 402–405. doi:10.1670/17-167
- Dawson SJ, Adams PJ, Huston RM, Fleming PA (2014) Environmental factors influence nest excavation by foxes. *Journal of Zoology* **294**, 104–113. doi:10.1111/jzo.12158
- Dawson SJ, Crawford HM, Huston RM, Adams PJ, Fleming PA (2016) How to catch red foxes red handed: identifying predation of freshwater turtles and nests. *Wildlife Research* **43**, 615–622. doi:10.1071/WR16066
- Dickman CR (1996) Impact of exotic generalist predators on the native fauna of Australia. *Wildlife Biology* **2**, 185–195. doi:10.2981/wlb.1996.018
- Doody JS, Georges A, Young JE (2004) Determinants of reproductive success and offspring sex in a turtle with environmental sex determination. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **81**, 1–16. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2004.00250.x
- Doody JS, Green B, Sims R, Rhind D, West P, Steer D (2006) Indirect impacts of invasive cane toads (*Bufo marinus*) on nest predation in pig-nosed turtles (*Carettochelys insculpta*). *Wildlife Research* **33**, 349–354. doi:10.1071/WR05042
- Dundas SJ, Adams PJ, Fleming PA (2014) First in, first served: uptake of 1080 poison fox baits in south-west Western Australia. *Wildlife Research* **41**, 117–126. doi:10.1071/WR13136
- Eckrich CE, Owens DW (1995) Solitary versus arribada nesting in the olive ridley sea turtles (*Lepidochelys olivacea*): a test of the predator-satiation hypothesis. *Herpetologica* **51**, 349–354.
- Edmunds SE, Kasparov CN, Yoon JB, Kanonik AK, Burke RL (2018) Twelve years later: reassessing visual and olfactory cues raccoons use to find diamondback terrapin nests. *Journal of Herpetology* **52**, 307–312. doi:10.1670/17-029
- Ercolano E (2008) Aquatic and terrestrial habitat use of the Australian freshwater turtle, *Chelodina expansa*. Report for Australia: Natural and Cultural Ecology, SIT Study Abroad. University of Canberra, Canberra.
- Ernst CH (1986) Ecology of the turtle, *Sternotherus odoratus*, in southeastern Pennsylvania. *Journal of Herpetology* **20**, 341–352. doi:10.2307/1564501
- Ewert MA, Legler JM (1978) Hormonal induction of oviposition in turtles. *Herpetologica* **34**, 314–318.
- Feinberg JA, Burke RL (2003) Nesting ecology and predation of diamondback terrapins, *Malaclemys terrapin*, at Gateway National Recreation Area, New York. *Journal of Herpetology* **37**, 517–526. doi:10.1670/207-02A
- Ferronato BO, Roe JH, Georges A (2014) Reptile bycatch in a pest-exclusion fence established for wildlife reintroductions. *Journal for Nature Conservation* **22**, 577–585. doi:10.1016/j.jnc.2014.08.014
- Flakus SP (2002) Ecology of the Mary River turtle, *Elusor macrurus*. PhD thesis, University of Queensland, Brisbane.
- Fleming PA, Crawford HM, Stobo-Wilson AM, Dawson SJ, Dickman CR, Dundas SJ, Gentle MN, Newsome TN, O'Connor J, Palmer R, Riley J, Ritchie EG, Speed J, Saunders G, Stuart J-MD, Thompson E, Turpin JM, Woinarski JCZ (2021) Diet of the introduced red fox *Vulpes vulpes* in Australia: analysis of temporal and spatial patterns. *Mammal Review* **51**, 508–527. doi:10.1111/mam.12251
- Fordham D, Georges A, Corey B, Brook BW (2006) Feral pig predation threatens the indigenous harvest and local persistence of snake-necked turtles in northern Australia. *Biological Conservation* **133**, 379–388. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2006.07.001
- Freeman A (2010) 'Saving a living fossil: identification and mitigation of threats to the conservation status of the freshwater turtle, *Elseya lavarackorum*.' (Department of Environment and Resource Management: Atherton)
- Geller GA (2012a) Notes on the nest predation dynamics of *Graptemys* at two Wisconsin sites using trail camera monitoring. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **11**, 197–205. doi:10.2744/CCB-0992.1
- Geller GA (2012b) Reducing predation of freshwater turtle nests with a simple electric fence. *Herpetological Review* **43**, 398–403.
- Geller GA (2015) A test of substrate sweeping as a strategy to reduce raccoon predation of freshwater turtle nests, with insights from supplemental artificial nests. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **14**, 64–72. doi:10.2744/ccab-14-01-64-72.1
- Gentle MN, Saunders GR, Dickman CR (2007) Poisoning for production: how effective is fox baiting in south-eastern Australia? *Mammal Review* **37**, 177–190. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2907.2007.00107.x
- Georges A (1983) Reproduction of the Australian freshwater turtle *Emydura krefftii* (Chelonia: Chelidae). *Journal of Zoology* **201**, 331–350. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1983.tb04280.x
- Georges A, Kennett R (1989) Dry-season distribution and ecology of *Carettochelys insculpta* (Chelonia: Carettochelydidae) in Kakadu National Park, northern Australia. *Australian Wildlife Research* **16**, 323–335. doi:10.1071/WR9890323
- Graham T (1997) Effective predator excluders for turtle nests. *Herpetological Review* **28**, 76.
- Hammer DA (1969) Parameters of a marsh snapping turtle population Lacreek Refuge, South Dakota. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* **33**, 995–1005. doi:10.2307/3799337
- Harrington KH (1933) Breeding habits of the Australian long-necked tortoise (*Chelodina longicollis*). *South Australian Naturalist* **15**, 25–27.
- Horne BD, Brauman RJ, Moore MJC, Seigel RA (2003) Reproductive and nesting ecology of the yellow-blotched map turtles, *Graptemys flavimaculata*: implications for conservation and management. *Copeia* **2003**, 729–738. doi:10.1643/HA02-213.1
- Hu Y, Gillespie G, Jessop TS (2019) Variable reptile responses to introduced predator control in southern Australia. *Wildlife Research* **46**, 64–75. doi:10.1071/WR18047
- Iverson JB (1991) Life history and demography of the yellow mud turtle, *Kinosternon flavescens*. *Herpetologica* **47**, 373–395. doi:10.2307/1941572
- Jackson DR, Walker RN (1997) Reproduction in the Suwannee cooter, *Pseudemys concinna suwanniensis*. *Bulletin of the Florida Museum of Natural History* **41**, 69–167.
- Jones RL (2006) Reproduction and nesting of the endangered ringed map turtle, *Graptemys oculifera*, in Mississippi. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **5**, 195–209. doi:10.2744/1071-8443(2006)5[195:RANOTE]2.0.CO;2
- Karson A, Ango SYJ, Davy CM (2018) Depredation of gravid freshwater turtles by raccoons (*Procyon lotor*). *Canadian Field-Naturalist* **132**, 122–125. doi:10.22621/cfn.v132i2.2043
- Knoerr MD, Graeter GJ, Barrett K (2021) Hatch success and recruitment patterns of the bog turtle. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* **85**, 293–302. doi:10.1002/jwmg.21989
- Kolbe JJ, Janzen FJ (2002) Spatial and temporal dynamics of turtle nest predation: edge effects. *Oikos* **99**, 538–544. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.11853.x
- Kuchling G (2000) Conservation strategies for remnant turtle populations: the Western Australian swamp turtle *Pseudemys umbrina* recovery programme. *Stapfia* **69**, 179–188.
- Legler JM (1954) Nesting habits of the western painted turtle, *Chrysemys picta bellii* (Gray). *Herpetologica* **10**, 137–144.
- Letnic M, Greenville A, Denny E, Dickman CR, Tischler M, Gordon C, Koch F (2011) Does a top predator suppress the abundance of an invasive mesopredator at a continental scale? *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **20**, 343–353. doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00600.x
- Lyndon E (1966) Freshwater tortoises at sale. *Victorian Naturalist* **83**, 170–171.
- Madden Hof CA, Shuster G, McLachlan N, McLachlan B, Giudice S, Limpus C, Egichi T (2020) Protecting nests of the critically endangered South

- Pacific loggerhead turtle *Caretta caretta* from goanna *Varanus* spp. predation. *Oryx* **54**, 323–331. doi:10.1017/S0030605318001564
- McCosker J (2002) *Chelodina expansa* (broad shell river turtle) and *Emydura signata* (Brisbane shortneck turtle) reproduction. *Herpetological Review* **33**, 198–199.
- Micheli-Campbell MA, Baumgartl T, Booth DT, Campbell HA, Connell M, Franklin CE (2013) Selectivity and repeated use of nesting sites in a freshwater turtle. *Herpetologica* **69**, 383–396. doi:10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-12-00057
- Millar A, Gentle M, Leung LK-P (2015) Non-target species interaction with sodium fluoroacetate (1080) meat bait for controlling feral pigs (*Sus scrofa*). *Pacific Conservation Biology* **21**, 158–162. doi:10.1071/PC14915
- Mullin DI, White RC, Lentini AM, Brooks RJ, Bériault KR, Litzgus JD (2020) Predation and disease limit population recovery following 15 years of headstarting an endangered freshwater turtle. *Biological Conservation* **245**, e108496. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108496
- Munscher EC, Kuhns EH, Cox CA, Butler JA (2012) Decreased nest mortality for the Carolina diamondback terrapin (*Malaclemys terrapin centrata*) following removal of raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) from a nesting beach in northeastern Florida. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* **7**, 176–184.
- O'Connor JM, Limpus CJ, Hofmeister KM, Allen BL, Burnett SE (2017) Anti-predator meshing may provide greater protection for sea turtle nests than predator removal. *PLOS ONE* **12**, e0171831. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171831
- Oro D, Genovart M, Tavecchia G, Fowler MS, Martínez-Abraín A (2013) Ecological and evolutionary implications of food subsidies from humans. *Ecology Letters* **16**, 1501–1514. doi:10.1111/ele.12187
- Palmer-Allen M, Beynon F, Georges A (1991) Hatchling sex ratios are independent of temperature in field nests of the long-necked turtle, *Chelodina longicollis* (Testudinata: Chelidae). *Wildlife Research* **18**, 225–231. doi:10.1071/WR9910225
- Parmenter CJ (1985) Reproduction and survivorship of *Chelodina longicollis* (Testudinata: Chelidae). In 'Biology of Australasian frogs and reptiles'. (Eds G Grigg, R Shine, H Ehmann) pp. 53–61. (Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales: Sydney)
- Petokas PJ, Alexander MM (1980) The nesting of *Chelydra serpentina* in northern New York. *Journal of Herpetology* **14**, 239–244. doi:10.2307/1563545
- Petrov K, Stricker H, Van Dyke JU, Stockfeld G, West P, Spencer R-J (2018) Nesting habitat of the broad-shelled turtle (*Chelodina expansa*). *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 4–14. doi:10.1071/ZO17061
- Refsnider JM, Reedy AM, Warner DA, Janzen FJ (2015) Do trade-offs between predation pressures on females versus nests drive nest-site choice in painted turtles? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **116**, 847–855. doi:10.1111/bj.12671
- Reid BN, Thiel RP, Peery MZ (2016) Population dynamics of endangered Blanding's turtles in a restored area. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* **80**, 553–562. doi:10.1002/jwmg.1024
- Rhodin AGJ, Stanford CB, van Dijk PP, et al. (2018) Global conservation status of turtles and tortoises (Order Testudines). *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **17**, 135–161. doi:10.2744/CCB-1348.1
- Riley JL, Litzgus JD (2013) Evaluation of predator-exclusion cages used in turtle conservation: cost analysis and effects on nest environment and proxies of hatchling fitness. *Wildlife Research* **40**, 499–511. doi:10.1071/WR13090
- Robinson C, Bider JR (1988) Nesting synchrony – a strategy to decrease predation of snapping turtle (*Chelydra serpentina*) nests. *Journal of Herpetology* **22**, 470–473. doi:10.2307/1564342
- Robley A, Howard K, Lindeman M, Cameron R, Jardine A, Hiscock D (2016) The effectiveness of short-term fox control in protecting a seasonally vulnerable species, the eastern long-necked turtle (*Chelodina longicollis*). *Ecological Management & Restoration* **17**, 63–69. doi:10.1111/emr.12199
- Rollinson N, Brooks RJ (2007) Marking nests increases the frequency of nest depredation in a northern population of painted turtles (*Chrysemys picta*). *Journal of Herpetology* **41**, 174–176. doi:10.1670/0022-1511(2007)41[174:MNITFO]2.0.CO;2
- Ross DA, Anderson RK (1990) Habitat use, movements, and nesting of *Emydoidea blandingi* in central Wisconsin. *Journal of Herpetology* **24**, 6–12. doi:10.2307/1564283
- Rowe JW, Coval KA, Dugan MR (2005) Nest placement, nest-site fidelity and nesting movements in midland painted turtles (*Chrysemys picta marginata*) on Beaver Island, Michigan. *American Midland Naturalist* **154**, 383–397. doi:10.1674/0003-0031(2005)154[0383:NPNNFAN]2.0.CO;2
- Ruzicka VA (2006) The influence of predation on the nesting ecology of diamondback terrapins (*Malaclemys terrapin*) in the Lower Chesapeake Bay. MSc thesis, College of William & Mary, Williamsburg.
- Saunders GR, Gentle MN, Dickman CR (2010) The impacts and management of foxes *Vulpes vulpes* in Australia. *Mammal Review* **40**, 181–211. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2907.2010.00159.x
- Schwanz LE, Spencer R-J, Bowden RM, Janzen FJ (2010) Climate and predation dominate juvenile and adult recruitment in a turtle with temperature-dependent sex determination. *Ecology* **91**, 3016–3026. doi:10.1890/09-1149.1
- Snow JE (1982) Predation on painted turtle (*Chrysemys picta*) nests: nest survival as a function of nest age. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **60**, 3290–3292. doi:10.1139/z82-416
- Spencer R-J (2002) Experimentally testing nest site selection: fitness trade-offs and predation risk in turtles. *Ecology* **83**, 2136–2144. doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[2136:ETNSSF]2.0.CO;2
- Spencer R-J (2018) How much long-term data are required to effectively manage a wide-spread freshwater turtle? *Australian Zoologist* **39**, 568–575. doi:10.7882/AZ.2018.017
- Spencer R-J, Thompson MB (2005) Experimental analysis of the impact of foxes on freshwater turtle populations. *Conservation Biology* **19**, 845–854. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00487.x
- Spencer R-J, van Dyke JU, Thompson MB (2016) The ethological trap: functional and numerical responses of highly efficient invasive predators driving prey extinctions. *Ecological Applications* **26**, 1969–1983. doi:10.1002/eap.1375
- Spencer R-J, van Dyke JU, Thompson MB (2017) Critically evaluating best management practices for preventing freshwater turtle extinctions. *Conservation Biology* **31**, 1340–1349. doi:10.1111/cobi.12930
- Standing KL, Herman TB, Shallow M, Power T, Morrison IP (2000) Results of the nest protection program for Blanding's turtles in Kejimikujik National Park, Canada: 1987–1997. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **3**, 637–642.
- Stanford CB, Iverson JB, Rhodin AGJ, et al. (2020) Turtles and tortoises are in trouble. *Current Biology* **30**, R721–R735. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.04.088
- Strickland JT, Janzen FJ (2010) Impacts of anthropogenic structures on predation of painted turtle (*Chrysemys picta*) nests. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **9**, 131–135. doi:10.2744/CCB-0795.1
- Strickland J, Colbert P, Janzen FJ (2010) Experimental analysis of effects of markers and habitat structure on predation of turtle nests. *Journal of Herpetology* **44**, 467–470. doi:10.1670/08-323.1
- Thompson MB (1983) Populations of the Murray River tortoise, *Emydura* (Chelodina): the effect of egg predation by the red fox, *Vulpes vulpes*. *Australian Wildlife Research* **10**, 363–371. doi:10.1071/WR9830363
- Thompson MM, Coe BH, Congdon JD, Stauffer DF, Hopkins WA (2017) Nesting ecology and habitat use of *Chelydra serpentina* in an area modified by agricultural and industrial activity. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* **12**, 292–306.
- Tinkle DW, Congdon JD, Rosen PC (1981) Nesting frequency and success: implications for the demography of painted turtles. *Ecology* **62**, 1426–1432. doi:10.2307/1941498
- Tuberville TD, Burke VJ (1994) Do flag markers attract turtle nest predators? *Journal of Herpetology* **28**, 514–516. doi:10.2307/1564971
- Tuma MW (2006) Range, habitat use, and seasonal activity of the yellow mud turtle (*Kinosternon flavescens*) in northwestern Illinois: implications for site-specific conservation and management. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **5**, 108–120. doi:10.2744/1071-8443(2006)5[108:RHUASA]2.0.CO;2
- Turner G (2004) Nesting behaviour in the white-throated snapping turtle *Elseya* sp. aff. *dentata* from the Johnstone River, north Queensland. *Herpetofauna* **34**, 48–58.
- Van Dyke JU, Ferronato BO, Spencer R-J (2018) Current conservation status of Australian freshwater turtles. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 1–3. doi:10.1071/ZOv66n1_IN

- Vestjens WJM (1977) Status, habitats and food of vertebrates at Lake Cowal, N.S.W. CSIRO Division of Wildlife Research, Technical Memorandum No. 12, Canberra.
- Voves KC, Mitchell TS, Janzen FJ (2016) Does natural visual camouflage reduce turtle nest predation? *American Midland Naturalist* **176**, 166–172. doi:[10.1674/0003-0031-176.1.166](https://doi.org/10.1674/0003-0031-176.1.166)
- Wallach AD, Johnson CN, Ritchie EG, O'Neill AJ (2010) Predator control promotes invasive dominated ecological states. *Ecology Letters* **13**, 1008–1018. doi:[10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01492.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01492.x)
- Washington AC (2008) Site selection and survival of *Pseudemys texana* and *Trachemys scripta elegans* nests at Spring Lake in San Marcos, Texas. MSc thesis, Texas State University, San Marcos.
- Wilson DS, Mushinsky HR, McCoy ED (1999) Nesting behavior of the striped mud turtle, *Kinosternon baurii* (Testudines: Kinosternidae). *Copeia* **1999**, 958–968. doi:[10.2307/1447971](https://doi.org/10.2307/1447971)
- Wirsing AJ, Phillips JR, Obbard ME, Murray DL (2012) Incidental nest predation in freshwater turtles: inter- and intraspecific differences in vulnerability are explained by relative crypsis. *Oecologia* **168**, 977–988. doi:[10.1007/s00442-011-2158-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-011-2158-y)
- Zappalorti RT, Tutterow AM, Pittman SE, Lovich JE (2017) Hatching success and predation of bog turtle (*Glyptemys muhlenbergii*) eggs in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **16**, 194–202. doi:[10.2744/CCB-1237.1](https://doi.org/10.2744/CCB-1237.1)

Data availability. The data analysed are available in the cited works.

Conflicts of interest. The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of funding. This research did not receive any specific funding.

Acknowledgements. The anonymous reviewers are thanked for their helpful comments on the initial submission of this paper.

Author affiliation

^ACentre for Ecosystem Science, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia.