

Photochemical energy use in Sorghum plants grown under drought and elevated CO₂.

AB Cousins¹, NR Adam^{1,2}, GW Wall², BA Kimball², AN Webber¹

¹*Department of Plant Biology and Center for the Study of Early Events in Photosynthesis, Arizona State University, PO Box 871601, Tempe, AZ, 85287-1601, USA. cousins@asu.edu, nadam@imap4.asu.edu, andrew.webber@asu.edu.*

²*USDA, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Water Conservation Laboratory, Phoenix, AZ, 85040, USA. gwall@uswcl.ars.ag.gov, bkimball@uswcl.ars.ag.gov.*

Keywords: C₄ photosynthesis, NPQ, xanthophyll, FACE, drought.

Introduction

Decreased CO₂ assimilation induced by water-stress, diurnal fluctuations in light intensity, temperature and leaf-to-air vapor pressure deficits (VPD) can lead to over excitation of the photosynthetic apparatus inhibiting photosynthesis and reducing crop productivity. The absorption of a photon of light by the light harvest complexes can return to the ground state by either transfer of the excitation energy to a reaction center to drive photochemistry, be remitted as Chl fluorescence, decay via the triplet state or by thermal dissipation as non-photochemical quenching (NPQ). The pH-dependent (qE) energy dissipation is the most significant component of NPQ and is primarily controlled by the thylakoid ΔpH and a decreased lumenal pH generated during electron transport. Additionally, qE and low lumenal pH are strongly correlated with the conversion of violaxanthin to zeaxanthin during the xanthophyll cycle (Demmig-Adams, 1990). Our study was conducted to examine how growth under elevated CO₂ and water-stress conditions affects PSII photochemistry, carbon assimilation (A) and photoprotection in Sorghum plants grown under a FACE experiment. We have further characterized the effect of water-stress and CO₂ availability on the relationship of NPQ and the xanthophyll cycle under laboratory and field conditions.

Materials and methods

Free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments were conducted at the University of Arizona Maricopa Agricultural Center (MAC), Maricopa, AZ, USA 1999 to determine the interactive effects of elevated CO₂ and drought on *Sorghum bicolor* (L.). The FACE plots were enriched to a target 200 μL L⁻¹ above Control and each plot was split, with each half receiving either an ample (wet) or a water-limited (dry) irrigation regime.

A modulated chlorophyll fluorimeter and leaf clip (PAM 2000, Walz) was used to measure variation in Fo', Fm' and Fs. The apparent quantum yield of Photosystem II, φPSII (Fm'-Fs/Fm') was determined as described by Genty *et al.*, (1989). Fv/Fm was measured following dark adaptation for 15 min. Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) was determined as (Fm-Fm')/Fm'. Pigment extracts were fractionated by HPLC and identified by retention time and spectrophotometric properties. On 08/06/99 excised uppermost fully expanded leaf were placed into a 6400-06 PAM2000 adapter cuvette (LiCor, Inc. Lincoln, NE). Steady state photosynthetic measurements (~800 μmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹) were made at several CO₂

CO₂ in both water treatments (Table 1). Pigment analysis on the same leaf tissue showed no CO₂ effect, however, the DPS of the xanthophylls was approximately 57% higher ($p \leq 0.01$) in the dry versus the wet plants. Regression analysis of ϕ PSII against ϕ CO₂, measured at various Ca, on mature leaves showed no significant effect of

Table 1. Mean (SE) A, ϕ PSII, NPQ, carotenoid composition, Rubisco and Pepc full activities measured under laboratory conditions on 08/06/99. Measurements were made at growth CO₂ concentrations on the upper most fully expanded leaves excised under water. The effects of CO₂ (F_{1,4}) and water treatment (F_{1,2}) and their interaction (F_{1,4}) were tested for significance by two way ANOVA. *** $p \leq 0.01$, ** $p \leq 0.05$, * $p \leq 0.1$, ns not significant.

Parameters	DRY		WET		ANOVA		
	control	FACE	control	FACE	CO ₂	H ₂ O	CO ₂ *H ₂ O
A $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	22.6 (3.6)	25.6 (3.7)	27.4 (1.3)	31.1 (1.5)	*	ns	ns
ϕ PSII	0.31 (0.07)	0.37 (0.04)	0.34 (0.05)	0.42 (0.02)	ns	ns	ns
NPQ	2.6 (0.5)	1.6 (0.3)	2.3 (0.2)	1.5 (0.1)	***	ns	ns
ZA/ZAV nmol nmol^{-1}	0.35 (0.07)	0.44 (0.09)	0.16 (0.06)	0.28 (0.1)	ns	*	ns
Rubisco $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	23.9 (4.0)	20.2 (2.7)	17.7 (1.8)	18.5 (0.9)	ns	ns	ns
Pepc $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	530 (33)	450 (69)	366 (5.0)	361.7 (42)	ns	***	ns

elevated CO₂ or water treatment on either the slope or the intercept. The total Rubisco activity to total activity PEPC was 17% lower in the water stressed plants due to increased activity of PEPC and unchanged levels of Rubisco but unaffected by CO₂ treatment (Table 1).

Discussion

PSII photochemistry

Midday levels of ϕ PSII was higher in plants grown under elevated CO₂ regardless of water treatment, suggesting that elevated CO₂ enhances photosynthesis in Sorghum leaves even in well-watered plants. The relatively moderate midday CO₂ enhancement of photosynthesis in the wet plots was not great enough to significantly increase plant growth or yield. However, during water stress conditions, the large diurnal enhancement of photosynthesis by growth under elevated CO₂ likely contributed to the increased crop yield seen in the FACE-dry plants compared to the Control-dry plants.

Photoprotection

The fact that rates of photosynthesis recovered to prestressed levels upon reirrigation indicates that limited CO₂ fixation and high light associated with drought conditions did not have a long-term effect on the photosynthetic apparatus. Additionally, prior water treatments had no effect on the rates of ϕ PSII, Fv/Fm or A measured under non-stress conditions on leaves of plants removed from the field on 08/06/99. ϕ PSII and ϕ CO₂ measured simultaneously at various levels of CO₂ in a control laboratory environment maintained a constant relationship. This suggests that in mature Sorghum leaves A is the primary electron sink and photorespiration and/or electron flux to O₂ has a minimal role in photoprotection even at low [Ci]. Reduced levels of ϕ PSII in the field were primarily attributed to increased rates of NPQ as well as an increased reduction state of PSII. Drought conditions resulted in an increase in the total xanthophyll cycle pool size, however, under midday field conditions there was no significant treatment effect on the DPS. This lack of treatment effect on the DPS is inconsistent with the large differences in NPQ seen in the same leaves. Similar to the field conditions NPQ was lower under elevated CO₂ and DPS was not responsive to CO₂ treatments, however, DPS was significantly higher in the plants removed from the water-stress treatment. It has been recently suggested that the luminal pH has an additional role in regulating NPQ in addition to the xanthophyll cycle (Muller *et al.*, 2001). It is possible that increased photosynthetic rates under elevated CO₂ alleviated Δ pH sufficient to reduce rates of NPQ but was insufficient to reverse the xanthophyll cycle in the direction of violaxanthin.

Rubisco and PEPC activity.

Our experiment with mature FACE grown plants showed no CO₂ effect on the total amount of Rubisco and PEPC activity per leaf area (Table 1). However, drought conditions significantly decreased the ratio of Rubisco to PEPC as previously seen in other experiments. Under field conditions, increases of atmospheric CO₂ to levels predicted to occur within the next 50 years (ambient +200ppm) was insufficient to alter key components of the C₃ and C₄ cycle even when atmospheric CO₂ availability was further limited by drought conditions. It is likely that changes in C₄ photosynthetic enzymes will be more sensitive to climatic changes other than increased Ca.

Conclusions

Growth under elevated CO₂ enhanced C₄ photosynthesis when carbon assimilation was limited during drought and/or midday conditions. Midday rates of ϕ PSII in Sorghum leaves were higher under elevated CO₂ in both water treatments, however, midmorning and late afternoon rates of ϕ PSII were increased only in the dry treatment. NPQ was higher in the water-stressed plants but was reduced by elevated CO₂ in both water treatments. Additionally, NPQ and pigment compositions were affected differently by elevated CO₂ and water-stress. Low NPQ under high CO₂ did not correlate with DPS which maybe attributed to a reduced thylakoid Δ pH sufficient to alleviate NPQ but not DPS. The enhanced diurnal rates of ϕ PSII in the FACE-dry treatment likely contributed to the enhanced grain and total yields relative to the Control-dry treatment.

Acknowledgments

Asaph Cousins acknowledges support from a NSF GRT Grant (DGE-9553456). The research was supported by Interagency Agreement No. DE-AI03-97ER62461 between the DOE, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Environmental Sciences Division and the USDA, ARS; by Grant No. 97-35109-5065 from the USDA, and by the USDA, ARS as part of the DOE/NSF/NASA/USDA/EPA Joint Program on Terrestrial Ecology and Global Change (TECO III).

References

- Cousins A.B., Adam N. R., Wall G.W., Kimball B.A., Pinter Jr. P.J., Leavitt S.W., LaMorte R.L., Matthias A.D., Ottman M.J., Thompson T.L. & Webber A.N. (2001) *New Phytologist* **150**, 275-284.
- Demmig-Adams B (1990) *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1020**, 1-24.
- Genty B., Briantais J.M. & Baker N.R. (1989) *Biochim Biophys Acta* **990**, 87-92.
- Muller P., Xiao-Ping L. & Niyogi K.K. (2001) *Plant Physiology* **125**, 1558-1566.