### Supplementary material for

#### Spatial correlates of forest and land fires in Indonesia

# Z. D. Tan<sup>A,C</sup>, L. R. Carrasco<sup>B</sup>, and D. Taylor<sup>A</sup>

<sup>A</sup>Department of Geography, National University of Singapore, 1 Arts Link, Kent Ridge, Singapore 117570.

<sup>B</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, 16 Science Drive 4, Singapore 117558.

<sup>C</sup>Corresponding author: tzdienle@u.nus.edu.



Figure S1. Fire hotspot number (thousands) per month for 2002, 2005, 2011, and 2015.



Figure S2. Results of the GLMMs with the detection confidence for fire hotspot set to 80%.



**Figure S3.** Comparisons of the ORs of predictor variables between the 30% and 80% detection confidence GLMMs.



**Figure S4.** Intercepts for the random effects of the ZINB models across all four years. Red bars referred to coefficient values less than zero and turquoise bars referred to values greater than zero.



Figure S5. Model estimates from the GLMMs using the DC as the meteorological variable.



Figure S6. Model estimates from the GLMMs using the FFMC as the meteorological variable.

Geographic location	Province
Sumatra island	Aceh
	Bangka Belitung
	Bengkulu
	Jambi
	Lampung
	North Sumatra
	Riau
	Riau Islands
	South Sumatra
	West Sumatra
Kalimantan (Indonesian Borneo)	Central Kalimantan
	East Kalimantan
	South Kalimantan
	West Kalimantan
Papua (Western New Guinea)	Papua Province
	West Papua

Table S1. Geographical locations included in the study

Variable	Source	Resolution	Attribute	Data availability
Fire	NASA Fire Information for Resource	1 km	Presence-absence (binary)	2002, 2005, 2011
	Management System (FIRMS) MODIS		or fire hotspot count per	and 2015
	MCD14ML collection 6		pixel	
	Predisposing conditions			
Land cover	Insular Southeast Asia maps created by the	250 m	Water, mangrove, forest,	2000, 2010 and
	Centre for Remote Imagine, Sensing and		plantation/regrowth, mosaic,	2015
	Processing (CRISP) of Southeast Asia		open area and urban classes	
	(Miettinen et al. 2012b, 2016)			
Aboveground live	Pan-tropical biomass map; GEOCARBON	1 km	Mg ha <sup>-1</sup>	2000-2010
woody vegetation	(Avitabile et al. 2016)			
biomass				
Peat depth	Wetlands International (Wahyunto et al.	N.A.	cm	2000-2002
	2003, 2004, 2006)			

Elevation	Centre for International Tropical	$0.000833333^{\circ} \approx 90 \text{ m}$	m	2000
	Agriculture, SRTM Digital Elevation			
	Model (Jarvis et al. 2008)			
Slope	Centre for International Tropical	$0.000833333^{\circ}\approx90~m$	degree	2000
	Agriculture, SRTM Digital Elevation			
	Model (Jarvis et al. 2008)			
River density	USGS HydroSHEDs river network (Lehner	N.A.	River line segments/5 km x	2006
	2013)		5 km pixel	
	Climatic factors			
Fire weather index	NASA Goddard Institute for Space	$0.5^{\circ} \times 2/3^{\circ} \approx 55.5 \text{ x}$	N.A.	2002, 2005, 2011,
(annual and June-	Studies, Global Fire WEather Database	74 km (MERRA-2		2015
November)	(GFWED) MERRA-2 (2019)	and MERRA-2		
		Corrected)		
		$0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ} \approx 55.5 \text{ x}$		
		55.5 km (CPC)		

Drought code	NASA Goddard Institute for Space	$0.5^{\circ} \times 2/3^{\circ} \approx 55.5 \text{ x}$	N.A.	2002, 2005, 2011,
(annual and June-	Studies, Global Fire WEather Database	74 km (MERRA-2		2015
November)	(GFWED) MERRA-2 (2019)	and MERRA-2		
		Corrected)		
		$0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ} \approx 55.5 \text{ x}$		
		55.5 km (CPC)		
Fine fuel moisture	NASA Goddard Institute for Space	$0.5^{\circ} \times 2/3^{\circ} \approx 55.5 \text{ x}$	N.A.	2002, 2005, 2011,
code (annual and	Studies, Global Fire WEather Database	74 km (MERRA-2		2015
June-November)	(GFWED) MERRA-2 (2019)	and MERRA-2		
		Corrected)		
		$0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ} \approx 55.5 \text{ x}$		
		55.5 km (CPC)		
	Ignition factors			

AccessibilityNelson (2008) $0.00833333^\circ \approx 900 \text{ m}$ Time taken to travel through20001 km pixels to the nearest

			cities with a population of	
			greater than 50,000 people	
Accessibility	The Malaria Atlas Project, Weiss et al.	1 km	Travel time to the nearest	2015
	(2018)		urban area with a population	
			of at least 1,500 inhabitants	
			per square kilometer or	
			more than 50,000	
			inhabitants	
Logging	Greenpeace (2014), digitized from maps	N.A.	Presence-absence	2002, 2005, 2011,
concessions	provided by the Ministry of Forestry,			2014
	Indonesia			
Pulpwood	Greenpeace (2014), digitized from maps	N.A.	Presence-absence	2002, 2005, 2011,
concessions	provided by the Ministry of Forestry,			2014
	Indonesia			
Oil palm	Greenpeace (2014), digitized from	N.A.	Presence-absence	2014
concessions	agriculture plantation maps provided by the			

	Planning Department of the Ministry of			
	Forestry, Indonesia			
Primary forest data	Margono et al. (2014)	30 m	Primary degraded forest,	2000, 2005, 2010,
			primary intact forest, cleared	2012
			forest, non-primary forest	
Population density	Global Population World Grid ver. 4.0	$0.0416667^{\circ} \approx 5 \text{ km}$	People/km <sup>2</sup>	2000, 2005, 2010,
	(Center for International Earth Science			2015
	Information Network 2018)			
	Other			
Island boundary	Database of Global Administrative Areas		N.A.	N.A.
	3.4 (GADM 2018)			

### Table S3. Land-cover classification

Original and reclassified values for the land-cover data produced by Miettinen *et al.* (2012*b*, 2016). Lowlands referred to locations with elevation <750 meter above sea level, lower montanes between 750 and 1,500 meter above sea level, and upper montanes >1,500 meter above sea level. Mosaic, open area and plantation/regrowth classes varied in the degree of canopy cover and vegetation types.

2000 and 2005		2010 ar	nd 2015
Original values	Reclassified values	Original values	Reclassified values
Water	Water	Water	Water
Mangrove	Mangrove (Forest)	Mangrove	Mangrove (Forest)
Peat Swamp Forest	Forest	Peat Swamp Forest	Forest
Lowland Forest		Lowland Forest	
Lower Montane		Lower Montane	
Forest		(Evergreen) Forest	
Upper Montane		Upper Montane	
Forest		(Evergreen) Forest	
Plantation/regrowth	Plantation/regrowth	Plantation/regrowth	Plantation/regrowth

# Large-scale palm

# plantation

Lowland Mosaic	Mosaic	Lowland Mosaic	Mosaic
Montane Mosaic		Montane Mosaic	
Lowland Open	Open area	Lowland Open	Open area
Montane Open		Montane Open	
Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban

## Table S4. Forest cover loss classification

Original and reclassified values for forest cover loss (Margono *et al.* 2014). Primary forest was defined as forest with at least 30% cover per Landsat pixel, had not been disturbed in the last 30 years and were at least 5 ha. Intact primary forests covered an area of at least 50,000 ha with no visible signs of anthropogenic disturbances. Degraded primary forest contained signs of selective logging, fragmentation and other human disturbances in addition to partially open canopy.

Original	2000	2005	2010	2012
classification				
No change of	Primary degraded	Primary	Primary	Primary
primary degraded	forest	degraded forest	degraded forest	degraded forest
forest from 2000-				
2012				
No change of	Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary intact
primary intact forest	forest	forest	forest	forest
from 2000-2012				
No change of non-	Non-primary	Non-primary	Non-primary	Non-primary
primary forest from	forest	forest	forest	forest
2000-2012				
Primary intact,	Primary intact	Cleared forest	Cleared forest	Cleared forest
cleared 2005	forest			
Primary intact,	Primary intact	Primary intact	Cleared forest	Cleared forest
cleared 2010	forest	forest		
Primary intact,	Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary intact	Cleared forest
cleared 2012	forest	forest	forest	

Primary intact,	Primary intact	Primary	Primary	Primary
degraded 2005	forest	degraded forest	degraded forest	degraded forest
Primary intact,	Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary	Primary
degraded 2010	forest	forest	degraded forest	degraded forest
Primary intact,	Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary
degraded 2012	forest	forest	forest	degraded forest
Primary degraded,	Primary degraded	Cleared forest	Cleared forest	Cleared forest
cleared 2005	forest			
Primary degraded,	Primary degraded	Primary	Cleared forest	Cleared forest
cleared 2010	forest	degraded forest		
Primary degraded,	Primary degraded	Primary	Primary	Cleared forest
cleared 2012	forest	degraded forest	degraded forest	
Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary	Cleared forest	Cleared forest
degraded 2005,	forest	degraded forest		
cleared 2010				
Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary	Primary	Cleared forest
degraded 2005,	forest	degraded forest	degraded forest	
cleared 2012				
Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary intact	Primary	Cleared forest
degraded 2010,	forest	forest	degraded forest	
cleared 2012				

# Table S5. Spatial characteristics of fire pixels

Spatial characteristics of fire pixels grouped by geographic location for the categorical predictor variables. Percentage of total fire pixels for each factor level was calculated by dividing the number of pixels in that factor level by the total fire pixels in the island.

Environmental variable	Sumatra	(2002)	Kalimanta	n (2002)	Papua (	2002)
	Fire pixel count	% of total	Fire pixel count	% of total	Fire pixel count	% of total
		pixels		pixels		pixels
Total fire pixels	5,854	36.07	8,871	54.65	1,506	9.28
(n = 16,231)						
Companyion						
Concession						
Pulpwood	344	5.88	416	4.69	39	2.59
Logging	38	0.65	394	4.44	36	2.39
Oil palm	562	9.60	2,706	30.50	83	5.51
Forest cover						
Primary degraded forest	754	12.88	2,239	25.24	531	35.26
Primary intact forest	166	2.84	399	4.50	124	8.23

Non-primary forest	4,864	83.09	6,138	69.19	813	53.98
Cleared forest	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land-cover						
Water	29	0.50	50	0.56	24	1.59
Mangrove	40	0.68	85	0.96	7	0.46
Forest	894	15.27	2,414	27.21	569	37.78
Plantation/regrowth	2,687	45.90	3,437	38.74	74	4.91
Mosaic	1,375	23.49	1,875	21.14	636	42.23
Open area	790	13.50	976	11.00	151	10.03
Urban	6	0.10	5	0.06	0	0
	Sumatra (200	)5)	Kalimantan (2	005)	<b>Papua (200</b>	5)
Total fire pixels	5,667	47.23	5,732	47.77	599	4.99
(n = 11,998)						
Concession						
Pulpwood	493	8.70	326	5.69	12	2.00

Logging	32	0.56	366	6.39	4	0.67
Oil palm	687	12.12	1835	32.01	42	7.01
Forest cover						
Primary degraded forest	899	15.86	1,519	27.02	202	33.72
Primary intact forest	168	2.96	237	4.13	46	7.68
Non-primary forest	4,381	77.31	3,863	67.39	351	58.60
Cleared forest	219	3.86	113	1.97	0	0
Land-cover						
Water	24	0.42	34	0.59	5	0.83
Mangrove	26	0.46	37	0.65	4	0.67
Forest	1162	20.50	1,585	27.65	163	27.21
Plantation/regrowth	2498	44.08	2,492	43.48	44	7.35
Mosaic	1338	23.61	1,118	19.50	286	47.75
Open area	614	10.83	464	8.09	97	16.19
Urban	5	0.09	2	0.03	0	0

	Sumatra (202	11)	Kalimantan (2	011)	<b>Papua (201</b> )	l)
Total fire pixels	5,919	46.25	6,200	48.45	679	5.31
(n = 12,798)						
Concession						
Pulpwood	897	15.15	748	12.06	59	8.69
Logging	88	1.49	628	10.13	7	1.03
Oil palm	519	8.77	1,857	29.95	40	5.89
Forest cover						
Primary degraded forest	860	14.53	1,453	46.87	191	28.13
Primary intact forest	169	2.86	182	2.94	33	4.86
Non-primary forest	4,331	73.17	4,195	67.66	434	63.92
Cleared forest	495	8.36	306	4.94	1	0.15

## Land-cover

Water	35	0.59	33	0.53	5	0.74
Mangrove	28	0.47	32	0.52	0	0
Forest	692	11.69	1,286	20.74	141	20.77
Plantation/regrowth	3,083	52.09	2,990	48.23	72	10.60
Mosaic	1,183	19.99	1,062	17.13	290	42.71
Open area	903	15.26	779	12.56	139	20.47
Urban	8	0.14	2	0.03	0	0

	Sumatra (201	Sumatra (2015)		Kalimantan (2015)		<b>Papua (2015)</b>	
Total fire pixels	6,651	36.59	9,097	50.05	2,427	13.35	
(n = 18,175)							
Concession							
Pulpwood	1,128	16.96	1,348	14.82	233	9.60	
Logging	115	1.73	1,254	13.78	149	6.14	
Oil palm	456	6.86	2,407	26.46	177	7.29	

#### Forest cover

Primary degraded forest	1,011	15.20	2,478	27.24	894	36.84
Primary intact forest	139	2.09	227	2.50	343	14.13
Non-primary forest	4,785	71.94	5,733	63.02	1,098	45.24
Cleared forest	636	9.56	549	6.03	29	1.19
Land-cover						
Water	53	0.80	113	1.24	73	3.01
Mangrove	39	0.59	93	1.02	39	1.61
Forest	637	9.58	2,146	23.59	1,034	42.60
Plantation/regrowth	4,127	62.05	4,683	51.48	222	9.15
Mosaic	1,019	15.32	1,151	12.65	732	30.16
Open area	703	10.57	864	9.50	278	11.45
Urban	21	0.32	8	0.10	0	0

## Table S6. GLMM models evaluation

Three different metrics were calculated, the AUC, Brier Score and  $R^2$  performed on the full dataset.  $R^2m$  refers to the marginal  $R^2$ , that is, the percentage of variance explained by the fixed effects.  $R^2c$  refers to the conditional  $R^2$ , or the variance explained by the overall model.

Years	AUC	Brier	Delta		Theoretic	cal		
		Score	R <sup>2</sup> m	R <sup>2</sup> c	R <sup>2</sup> m	R <sup>2</sup> c		
30% fire hotspot confidence detection models								
2002	0.86	0.15	0.29	0.40	0.32	0.45		
2005	0.83	0.16	0.21	0.42	0.24	0.47		
2011	0.83	0.16	0.30	0.39	0.34	0.44		
2015	0.84	0.16	0.33	0.42	0.37	0.47		
80% fire l	notspot confi	dence detection	n models					
2002	0.85	0.16	0.25	0.37	0.28	0.42		
2005	0.82	0.17	0.20	0.43	0.23	0.50		
2011	0.82	0.17	0.25	0.43	0.29	0.50		
2015	0.82	0.17	0.27	0.37	0.31	0.42		

	RMSE	MAE	MSE
2002	5.53	1.87	30.57
2005	7.15	1.47	51.17
2011	3.40	1.17	11.57
2015	9.51	3.05	90.40

 Table S7. ZINB models evaluation