

Supplementary Material

Re-analysis of plant CO₂ responses during the exponential growth phase: interactions with light, temperature, nutrients and water availability

Miko U. F. Kirschbaum^{A,C} and Suzanne M. Lambie^B

^ALandcare Research, Private Bag 11 052, Palmerston North 4442, New Zealand.

^BLandcare Research, Private Bag 3127, Hamilton 3240, New Zealand.

^CCorresponding author. Email: kirschbaumm@landcareresearch.co.nz

GENERAL INFORMATION

On the following pages, a summary of the key data are given in four different tables.

Each data set gives the publication, species name, both the scientific name, and where appropriate, a common name.

The low and high CO₂ concentrations used were mostly explicitly given by the authors.

But on some occasions, the CO₂ concentration had to be estimated from graphs, and on a few occasions, 'ambient' was estimated from the atmospheric concentration at the time when experiments were conducted.

The next column gives the temperature used in different experiments. For growth chamber-studies, that was taken as the average temperature over the daytime period.

For experiments conducted without controls of 'ambient' temperature, it was estimated as the mean temperature.

The temperature was often explicitly stated by the authors or read from supplied graphs or obtained from companion papers.

In a few instances, we had to use the mean temperature of the city where the experiments were conducted was used.

Where that was required, data were not used for assessing temperature or CO₂ dependencies of RERC.

The next four columns list the four key parameters obtained through the parameter fitting as outlined in the accompanying scientific paper. Model efficiency is the Nash-Sutcliffe model efficiency, calculated from the log transformed data. It explains the fraction of variability in the data explained through the employed model.

Where appropriate, some extra explanations have been added for some data sets in the "comments" column.

For the data sets used to compare PAR, nutrient and water-stress effects, all data sets consisted of one data set obtained under non-limiting conditions and one or more data set(s) under limiting conditions.

DATA FOR INTERACTION WITH TEMPERATURE												
Author	Form	Species full name	Coloq name	CO ₂ low μmol mol ⁻¹	CO ₂ high μmol mol ⁻¹	Temp °C	RGR ₃₅₀	C _{enh}	B ₀	k ₂	Model	Comments
												Efficiency
Baker <i>et al.</i> (1989)	Herbaceous	Glycine max L.	Soy bean	330	660	26	0.1042	0.2858	0.0311	0.1322	0.9514	
				330	660	31	0.1133	0.3224	0.0311	0.1322	0.9803	
				330	660	36	0.1170	0.1661	0.0311	0.1322	0.9715	
Baker <i>et al.</i> (1992)	Herbaceous	Oryza sativa L.	Rice	330	660	28	0.1143	-0.0278	0.0150	0.4251	0.9851	
				330	660	34	0.1083	0.1003	0.0296	0.3430	0.9882	
				330	660	40	0.1539	0.1155	0.0124	0.8867	0.9877	
Bannayan <i>et al.</i> (2009)	Herbaceous	Arachis hypogaea L. Pronto	Peanut	400	700	33	0.0800	0.1000	10.0000	0.0000	0.9806	
				400	700	35.5	0.0829	0.0340	30.0732	0.0000	0.9811	
				400	700	38	0.0785	0.1666	33.9399	0.0000	0.9603	
Bruhn <i>et al.</i> (2000)	Herbaceous	Arachis hypogaea L. Georgia Green	Peanut	400	700	33	0.0803	0.0221	30.0092	0.0000	0.9494	
				400	700	35.5	0.0706	0.0668	57.8958	0.0000	0.9644	
				400	700	38	0.0773	0.1796	31.7707	0.0000	0.9730	
Coleman & Bazazz (1992)	Woody	Fagus sylvatica		400	740	14.9	0.0516	0.1621	0.1315	0.3362	0.9789	Temperature given as 2.9° below ambient temperature
				400	740	17.8	0.0594	0.1300	0.1333	0.3985	0.9778	The ambient temperature was calculated as the average of the 5 data points graphed in the paper over the time of the incubation.
				400	740	20.1	0.0593	0.2717	0.1320	0.3145	0.9844	temperature given as 2.3° above ambient temperature
				400	740	22.6	0.0596	0.4291	0.1323	0.3964	0.9563	Temperature given as 4.8° above ambient temperature
Cowling & Sage (1998)	Herbaceous	Abutilon theophrasti Medic.		400	700	28	0.1610	0.1855	0.0304	0.1592	0.9753	
				400	700	38	0.1668	0.0762	0.0304	0.1528	0.9781	
Demmer-Derks <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Herbaceous	Phaseolus vulgaris cv Black Turtle	Navy bean	200	380	36	0.2288	0.3409	0.0056	0.2278	0.9767	
				200	380	25	0.2983	0.1066	0.0056	0.2278	0.9696	
Duan <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Herbaceous	Beta vulgaris L.	Sugar beet	372	592	14	0.0567	0.0278	30.0638	0.0004	0.9994	
				372	592	17	0.0557	0.0590	30.0642	0.0004	0.9993	
				377	709	16	0.0434	0.0339	36.0130	0.0000	0.9963	
				377	709	19	0.0419	0.0613	42.1612	0.0000	0.9855	
Idso & Kimball (1989)	Herbaceous	Eucalyptus globulus Labill	Tasmanian blue gum	400	640	22.5	0.0100	-0.0111	16.5383	0.0013	0.9759	
				400	640	26.5	0.0205	-0.0946	16.5383	0.0202	0.9397	
Imai & Murata (1979)	Herbaceous	Raphanus sativa L. cv. Cherry Belle	Radish	340	640	15	0.1417	0.0932	0.0030	0.2549	0.9489	
				340	640	16	0.1585	0.0225	0.0030	0.8044	0.9874	
				340	640	17	0.1604	0.0679	0.0030	0.6878	0.9733	
				340	640	20	0.2491	0.1367	0.0030	5.6683	0.9289	
				340	640	21	0.1728	0.0862	0.0030	0.9929	0.8567	
				340	640	24	0.2224	0.1249	0.0030	0.9929	0.9816	
				340	640	25	0.3153	0.0938	0.0030	1.9144	0.9092	
				340	640	26	0.2491	0.1810	0.0030	0.9929	0.9703	
				340	640	30	0.2479	0.2186	0.0030	1.0723	0.9880	
				340	640	31	0.2493	0.2560	0.0030	1.9574	0.9634	
				340	640	34	0.2228	0.1733	0.0030	3.0713	0.9952	
	Herbaceous	Daucus carota L. var sativa cv. Red Cc Carrot		340	640	14	0.0669	0.1731	0.0050	1.1840	0.8464	
				340	640	15	0.0767	0.0934	0.0050	1.1840	0.9139	
Jifon & Wolfe (2005)	Herbaceous	Oryza sativa L. cv Kinmaze	Rice (low light)	300	1000	23	0.0971	0.3718	56.0	0.0000	1.0000	
				300	1000	28	0.1081	0.6618	41.0	0.0000	1.0000	
	Herbaceous	Oryza sativa L. cv Kinmaze	Rice (high light)	300	1000	23	0.1269	0.3844	56.0	0.0000	1.0000	
				300	1000	28	0.1572	0.4466	41.0	0.0000	1.0000	
	Herbaceous	Glycine max Merr cv Bonminori	Soy bean (low light)	300	1000	23	0.1244	0.3293	255.0	0.0000	1.0000	
Kellomaki & Wang (2001)	Herbaceous	Glycine max Merr cv Bonminori	Soy bean (high light)	300	1000	28	0.1670	0.4075	162.5	0.0000	1.0000	
	Herbaceous	Phaseolus vulgaris	Red kidney bean	350	700	26	0.1872	0.1399	0.2207	0.5722	0.9990	Used only the first three data points as deviations from exponential growth became too marked during later growth stages.
				350	700	35	0.2061	0.1517	0.1959	0.5722	0.9938	
Ojala <i>et al.</i> (2002)	Woody	Betula pendula Roth	Birch	350	700	18	0.2208	0.1391	0.3130	0.1057	0.9966	Ambient temperature was estimated from their Figure 1; ambient CO ₂ was not explicitly given, but assumed to be 350 ppm.
				350	700	21	0.2114	0.0942	0.3130	0.1012	0.9955	
Otera <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Herbaceous	Equisetum fluviatile	Water horsetail	420	600	16	0.1129	0.1060	3.0000	0.0110	0.9410	Estimated from their Figure 1.
				420	600	13	0.0845	-0.0055	3.0000	0.0260	0.9659	
	Herbaceous	Glycine max L. En 1282	Soy bean	389	583	22.9	0.1054	0.0442	0.1845	0.0140	1.0000	
				389	583	25.2	0.1406	0.0716	0.1845	0.0271	0.9997	
				390	586	23.1	0.1134	0.0338	0.2500	0.0173	0.9998	
				390	586	25.2	0.1256	0.0573	0.2500	0.0210	0.9691	
	Herbaceous	Glycine max L. Enrei	Soy bean	389	583	22.9	0.0758	0.0636	0.4714	0.0000	0.9997	
				389	583	25.2	0.1085	0.0767	0.4714	0.0207	0.9989	
				390	586	23.1	0.1085	0.0685	0.2500	0.0079	1.0000	
				390	586	25.2	0.1249	0.0187	0.2500	0.0120	0.9998	
	Herbaceous	Glycine max L. En-b0-1	Soy bean	389	583	22.9	0.1124	0.0796	0.1121	0.0088	0.9999	
				389	583	25.2	0.1461	0.0747	0.1121	0.0143	0.9991	

			390	586	23.1	0.1052	0.0832	0.2500	0.0080	0.9997	
			390	586	25.2	0.1268	0.0551	0.2500	0.0143	0.9901	
Rowland-Bamford <i>et al.</i> (1996)	Herbaceous	Oryza sativa L. cv. IR-30	Rice	330	660	28	0.0363	0.0628	0.2297	0.0000	0.9994
				330	660	34	0.0483	0.2565	0.2297	0.1331	0.9984
				330	660	40	0.0522	0.3271	0.2297	0.4724	0.9948
Sionit <i>et al.</i> (1987)	Herbaceous	Glycine max L.	Soy bean	350	675	18	0.0964	0.1450	0.0310	0.0153	0.9993
				350	1000	18	0.0964	0.2439	0.0310	0.0153	0.9995
				350	675	22	0.1176	0.0876	0.0374	0.0204	0.9960
				350	1000	22	0.1176	0.1310	0.0374	0.0204	0.9952
				350	675	26	0.1330	0.1887	0.0618	0.0425	0.9932
				350	1000	26	0.1330	0.2802	0.0618	0.0425	0.9808
Stirling <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Herbaceous	Poa annua L.		340	680	20	0.1464	0.0280	0.0034	0.2750	0.9970
				340	680	23	0.1502	0.0398	0.0034	0.1491	0.9938
	Herbaceous	Chenopodium album L.		340	680	20	0.1877	0.1181	0.0011	0.4594	0.9961
				340	680	23	0.2080	0.0621	0.0011	0.4616	0.9984
	Herbaceous	Bellis perennis L.		340	680	20	0.1106	0.1336	0.0080	0.2056	0.9939
				340	680	23	0.1342	-0.0296	0.0080	0.2034	0.9976
	Herbaceous	Bromus sterilis L.		340	680	20	0.1260	0.0295	0.0115	0.1070	0.9990
				340	680	23	0.1431	0.0941	0.0115	0.2017	0.9995
	Herbaceous	Senecio vulgaris L.		340	680	20	0.1703	0.1367	0.0030	0.2579	0.9987
				340	680	23	0.1974	0.0355	0.0030	0.3566	0.9904
Tjoelker <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Woody	Populus tremuloides		370	580	18	0.2191	-0.0475	0.0011	0.4234	0.9881
				370	580	21	0.2285	0.2562	0.0004	0.1773	0.9711
				370	580	24	0.2721	0.2079	0.0006	0.3147	0.9489
				370	580	27	0.2490	0.0660	0.0016	0.1375	0.9937
				370	580	30	0.2342	0.0923	0.0018	0.1314	0.9886
	Woody	Picea mariana		370	580	18	0.0593	0.1656	0.0043	0.5969	0.9844
				370	580	21	0.0611	0.2922	0.0043	0.3249	0.9920
				370	580	24	0.0601	0.1724	0.0061	0.2111	0.9818
				370	580	27	0.0646	0.1865	0.0046	0.6720	0.9919
				370	580	30	0.0569	-0.0860	0.0063	2.6833	0.9573
	Woody	Larix laricina		370	580	18	0.0746	0.1073	0.0059	0.5384	0.9934
				370	580	21	0.0718	0.2336	0.0078	0.1539	0.9884
				370	580	24	0.0848	0.1559	0.0051	0.2858	0.9810
				370	580	27	0.0732	0.1818	0.0094	0.2222	0.9933
				370	580	30	0.0853	-0.0311	0.0056	0.7329	0.9668
	Woody	Pinus banksiana		370	580	18	0.0444	-0.0611	0.0185	0.2891	0.9720
				370	580	21	0.0556	0.2046	0.0134	1.2246	0.8375
				370	580	24	0.0610	0.0019	0.0137	0.7349	0.9507
				370	580	27	0.0544	0.2437	0.0191	0.1610	0.9630
				370	580	30	0.0425	0.2744	0.0130	0.0000	0.9669
	Woody	Betula papryrifera		370	580	18	0.1616	-0.0725	0.0016	0.5800	0.9677
				370	580	21	0.1915	-0.0788	0.0009	0.1968	0.9945
				370	580	24	0.1522	0.2162	0.0026	0.4040	0.9546
				370	580	27	0.1925	0.1363	0.0011	0.2338	0.9918
				370	580	30	0.1959	0.0155	0.0014	0.3810	0.9612
Tremmel & Patterson (1993)	Herbaceous	Cassia obtusifolia L.	Sicklepod	350	700	26	0.1580	0.0893	0.0163	0.1219	0.9992
				350	700	30	0.2139	0.0961	0.0163	0.0863	0.9919
	Herbaceous	Glycine max L.	Soy bean	350	700	26	0.2864	0.1415	0.0002	0.2669	0.9980
				350	700	30	0.3645	0.0903	0.0002	0.0966	0.9829
	Herbaceous	Abutilon theophrasti Medic.	Velvetleaf	350	700	26	0.2849	0.1418	0.0002	0.2669	0.9973
				350	700	30	0.3625	0.0918	0.0002	0.0944	0.9861
	Herbaceous	Elytrigia repens L. Nevski	Quack grass	350	700	26	0.2770	0.0945	0.0004	0.2741	0.9834
				350	700	30	0.2652	0.0603	0.0004	0.6359	0.9937
Wayne <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Woody	Betula alleghaniensis	Yellow birch	400	800	26	0.1426	0.0589	0.0006	0.0000	0.9962
				400	800	31	0.1234	0.1606	0.0005	0.0000	0.9999
Yoon <i>et al.</i> (2009)	Herbaceous	Gossypium hirsutum L.	Cotton	400	600	25	0.0727	0.0378	0.7050	0.0010	0.9688
				400	600	35	0.0825	0.0146	2.4999	0.0005	0.9707
				400	800	25	0.0727	0.2499	0.7050	0.0010	0.9505
				400	800	35	0.0825	0.0853	2.4999	0.0005	0.9609
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Herbaceous	Phalaris arundinacea L.	Reed canary grass	395	700	13.5	0.0112	0.2461	415.9	0.0000	0.9883
				395	700	16.5	0.0178	0.0182	415.9	0.0005	0.9742
				395	700	13.5	0.0195	0.2010	1122.3	0.0006	0.9875
				395	700	16.5	0.0385	0.0390	1122.3	0.0009	0.9832
Ziska <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Herbaceous	Oryza sativa L. cv Kinmaze	Rice (wet season)	369	571	25.6	0.1145	0.0338	1.0000	0.0022	0.9676
				369	665	25.6	0.1144	0.0983	1.0000	0.0022	0.9234
				369	571	29.5	0.1112	0.0836	1.0000	0.0019	0.9838
				369	665	29.5	0.1112	0.0513	1.0000	0.0019	0.9709
	Herbaceous	Oryza sativa L. cv Kinmaze	Rice (dry season)	364	566	25.6	0.1012	-0.0143	1.0000	0.0014	0.9831
				364	661	25.6	0.1012	0.1082	1.0000	0.0014	0.9863
				364	566	29.5	0.1021	0.0777	1.0000	0.0013	0.9854
				364	661	29.5	0.1021	0.0738	1.0000	0.0013	0.9820

Ambient temperature read from their Figure 1a.
Temperature difference read from their Figure 1b.

DATA FOR INTERACTION WITH PHOTOSYNTHETICALLY ACTIVE RADIATION (PAR)												
Authors	Scientific name	Colloquial name	CO ₂ low μmol mol ⁻¹	CO ₂ high μmol mol ⁻¹	Temp. °C	PAR	RGR ₃₅₀	C _{enh}	B ₀	k ₂	Model	Efficiency
Cavender-Bares <i>et al.</i> (2000)	<i>Querus rubra</i>	Red oak	380	700	9	low	0.0418	0.1604	0.1250	0.0066	0.9916	
			380	700	9	high	0.0565	0.1214	0.1250	0.0066	0.9970	
Frantz & Ling (2011)	<i>Petunia x hybrida</i> Vilm.	Petunia	400	800	23	low	0.1341	0.0015	0.4078	0.0361	0.9990	
			400	800	23	high	0.1362	-0.0058	0.4078	0.0289	0.9996	
			400	800	23	low	0.1341	0.0155	0.4078	0.0630	0.9993	
			400	800	23	high	0.1341	0.0151	0.4078	0.0557	0.9988	
Imai & Murata (1979)	<i>Oryza sativa</i> L. cv Kirmaze	Rice	300	1000	23	low	0.0971	0.3718	56.0	0.0000	1.0000	
			300	1000	23	high	0.1269	0.3844	56.0	0.0000	1.0000	
	<i>Glycine max</i> Merr cv Bonminori	Soy bean	300	1000	23	low	0.1244	0.3293	255.0	0.0000	1.0000	
			300	1000	23	high	0.1426	0.3646	255.0	0.0000	1.0000	
	<i>Oryza sativa</i> L. cv Kinmaze	Rice	300	1000	28	low	0.1081	0.6618	41.0	0.0000	1.0000	
			300	1000	28	high	0.1572	0.4466	41.0	0.0000	1.0000	
	<i>Glycine max</i> Merr cv Bonminori	Soy bean	300	1000	28	low	0.1670	0.4075	162.5	0.0000	1.0000	
			300	1000	28	high	0.1834	0.4534	162.5	0.0000	1.0000	
Leishman <i>et al.</i> (1999)	<i>Cardamine hirsuta</i>	Hairy bittercress	375	575	16	low	0.1307	0.0768	0.0001	0.0000	1.0000	CO ₂ concentration not explicitly stated in the paper, but other authors who used the same facility
			375	575	16	high	0.2045	-0.0481	0.0001	0.0000	1.0000	at about the same time reported ambient concentration fluctuated between 350 and 400 ppm
	<i>Spergula arvensis</i>	Corn Spurrey	375	575	16	low	0.0181	0.0852	0.3991	0.0000	1.0000	- therefore 375 ppm chosen (see Jones <i>et al</i> 1998 - Science 280: 441-443
			375	575	16	high	0.0287	-0.1214	0.5056	0.0000	1.0000	Temperature taken as the average of day and night time temps 20/12
	<i>Poa annua</i>	Poa	375	575	16	low	0.1206	0.2110	0.0001	0.0000	1.0000	
			375	575	16	high	0.2045	0.0960	0.0001	0.0000	1.0000	
	<i>Senecio vulgaris</i>	Common groundsel	375	575	16	low	0.1487	0.0284	0.0001	0.0000	1.0000	
			375	575	16	high	0.2166	0.1019	0.0000	0.0000	0.9999	
Louche-Tessandier <i>et al.</i> (1999)	<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. cv. Lp.	Potato	350	10000	23	low	0.0474	0.5391	11.39	0.0000	1.0000	Only the first observation together with the initial weight were used for fitting parameters because by the second measurements, plant growth in the high-PAR treatment had already fallen far below exponential growth; and only the non-mycorrhizal treatment was used.
			350	10000	23	high	0.1171	0.2640	11.39	0.0000	1.0000	
Rufit <i>et al.</i> (1994)	<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L. cv. Coker I15	Cotton	350	700	28	low	0.1656	0.2451	0.1040	0.0190	0.9835	8-hour light period
			350	700	28	low	0.1295	0.2875	0.1040	0.0209	0.9953	
			350	700	28	high	0.1640	0.2784	0.1040	0.0105	0.9902	
			350	700	28	low	0.2249	0.2221	0.0773	0.0144	0.9912	16-hour light period
			350	700	28	low	0.2117	0.2019	0.0773	0.0155	0.9864	
			350	700	28	high	0.2614	0.2044	0.0773	0.0181	0.9872	
Sionit <i>et al.</i> (1982)	<i>Glycine max</i> L.	Soybean	350	675	26	low	0.0756	0.2786	0.9159	0.0155	0.9842	
			350	675	26	high	0.0791	0.2779	0.9159	0.0124	0.9970	
	<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.	Radish	350	675	26	low	0.2537	0.1832	0.6056	0.0208	0.9928	
			350	675	26	high	0.2648	0.2250	0.6056	0.0146	0.9923	
	<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L.	Sugarbeet	350	675	26	low						Data could not be reliably read from the paper. Data set was not used.
			350	675	26	high						
Tolley & Strain (1984)	<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i> L.	Sweetgum	350	675	26	low	0.0722	0.1654	0.0071	0.4545	0.9971	
			350	675	26	high	0.0777	0.2005	0.0067	0.4490	0.9969	
			350	1000	26	low	0.0722	0.1466	0.0071	0.4545	0.9976	
			350	1000	26	high	0.0777	0.1860	0.0067	0.4490	0.9976	
	<i>Pinus taeda</i> L.	Loblolly pine	350	675	26	low	0.0563	0.0834	0.0142	1.6666	0.9920	
			350	1000	26	high	0.0742	-0.0140	0.0125	1.6999	0.9917	
			350	1000	26	low	0.0563	0.1342	0.0142	1.6666	0.9970	
			350	1000	26	high	0.0742	0.0757	0.0125	1.6999	0.9928	
Usuda (2006)	<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.	Radish	400	750	25	medium	0.3392	0.1457	0.0025	0.1949	0.9986	Temperature was not recorded in this experiment - used 25 degrees as a default temperature.
			400	750	25	high	0.4233	0.0613	0.0025	0.1842	0.9943	

DATA FOR INTERACTION WITH NUTRITION												
Authors	Scientific name	Coloquial name	CO ₂ low μmol mol ⁻¹	CO ₂ high μmol mol ⁻¹	Temp. °C	Nutrients	RGR ₃₅₀	C _{enh}	B ₀	k ₂	Model	Efficiency
Amone & Gordon (1990)	<i>Alnus rubra</i> Bong.	Red Alder	350	650	26	low	0.0943	0.0530	20.3375	0.0000	0.9296	
			350	650	26	high	0.1194	0.0885	20.3375	0.0000	0.9967	
Atwell <i>et al.</i> (2009)	<i>Eucalyptus pauciflora</i> Sieb. Ex. Spreng.	Snow gum	370	700	24	low	0.0242	0.2056	0.4592	0.0283	0.9993	
			370	700	24	high	0.0265	0.2404	0.4592	0.0283	0.9897	
Barrett & Gifford (1995)		Cotton	363	746	24	low	0.2328	0.2071	0.0255	2.2070	0.9887	
			363	746	24	intermediate	0.2328	0.1566	0.0255	1.3051	0.9863	
			363	746	24	high	0.2328	0.0942	0.0255	0.8825	0.9953	
Bassow <i>et al.</i> (1994)	<i>Betula populifolia</i>	Gray birch	350	700	28	low	0.0724	-0.0241	0.4121	0.1700	0.8589	Slow-growing plants. RGR is expressed here per week rather than per day
			350	700	28	high	0.2303	0.3828	0.4121	0.1700	0.9841	We used only stem and root weights; leaves were not included due to senesence
	<i>Acer pensylvanicum</i>	Striped maple	350	700	28	low	0.0780	0.0447	0.3049	0.1710	0.7350	
			350	700	28	high	0.2325	0.2152	0.3049	0.1683	0.8704	
	<i>Betula alleghaniensis</i>	Yellow birch	350	700	28	low	0.0551	-0.0756	0.5855	0.2585	0.9835	
			350	700	28	high	0.2408	0.5160	0.5855	0.2585	0.9613	
Bernacchi <i>et al.</i> (2000)	<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i>		350	700	28	low	0.1279	0.0937	0.0759	0.1583	0.9871	
			350	700	28	high	0.1559	0.1370	0.0759	0.1225	0.9555	
Bowler & Press (1993)	<i>Agrostis capillaris</i> L.		340	550	20	low	0.2749	0.0810	0.0044	0.0056	0.9891	
			340	550	20	high	0.3023	0.0385	0.0044	0.0018	0.9938	
	<i>Nardus stricta</i> L.		340	550	20	low	0.1335	-0.0317	0.2163	0.0099	0.9909	
			340	550	20	high	0.1401	0.0300	0.2163	0.0028	0.9925	
Brown & Higginbotham (1986)	<i>Populus tremuloides</i> Michx	Aspen	350	750	22	low	0.0935	0.2532	0.0006	3.3842	0.9620	
			350	750	22	high	0.1589	0.0777	0.0006	0.4089	0.9666	
			350	750	22	low	0.2047	0.1365	0.0006	0.4089	0.9759	
	<i>Picea glauca</i> (Moench) Voss.	White spruce	350	750	22	high	0.0744	0.2109	0.0012	13.1165	0.9820	
			350	750	22	low	0.0909	0.0923	0.0012	1.2963	0.9914	
			350	750	22	high	0.0980	0.0631	0.0012	1.2963	0.9855	
Coleman <i>et al.</i> (1993)	<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i>		350	700	28	low	0.1782	0.1514	0.0206	0.2069	0.9342	
			350	700	28	high	0.2015	0.1467	0.0206	0.1332	0.9493	
Conroy <i>et al.</i> (1990)	<i>Pinus radiata</i> D.Don Family 10		340	660	27.5	low	0.1247	0.1223	0.1208	0.0146	0.9982	Slow-growing plants. RGR is expressed here per week rather than per day
			340	660	27.5	high	0.1354	0.1469	0.1208	0.0031	0.9971	
	<i>Pinus radiata</i> D.Don Family 62		340	660	27.5	low	0.1255	0.0464	0.1246	0.0125	0.9930	
			340	660	27.5	high	0.1382	0.1079	0.1246	0.0028	0.9982	
Cure <i>et al.</i> (1988)	<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr. Cv. Lee.	Soy bean	350	700	28	very low						No exponential growth phase identified, data not used
			350	700	28	low	0.1813	0.1668	0.0305	0.0937	0.9972	
			350	700	28	intermediate	0.1978	0.2100	0.0305	0.0489	0.9976	
			350	700	28	high	0.2145	0.1207	0.0305	0.0418	0.9992	
			350	700	28	very high	0.2145	0.1636	0.0305	0.0321	0.9992	
Demmer-Derks <i>et al.</i> (1998)	<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L.	Sugar beet	372	592	14	low	0.0440	0.0138	30.0638	0.0006	0.9993	Results from their 1993 experiment
			372	592	14	high	0.0567	0.0278	30.0638	0.0004	0.9994	
			372	592	17	low	0.0447	0.0120	30.0642	0.0009	0.9993	
			372	592	17	high	0.0557	0.0590	30.0642	0.0004	0.9993	
			377	709	16	low	0.0332	0.0472	36.0130	0.0000	0.9952	Results from their 1995 experiment
			377	709	16	high	0.0434	0.0339	36.0130	0.0000	0.9963	
			377	709	19	low	0.0307	0.0062	42.1612	0.0000	0.9838	
			377	709	19	high	0.0419	0.0613	42.1612	0.0000	0.9855	
Frantz & Ling (2011)	<i>Petunia x hybrida</i> Vilm.	Petunia (high light)	400	800	23	low	0.1341	0.0151	0.4078	0.0557	0.9988	
			400	800	23	high	0.1362	-0.0058	0.4078	0.0289	0.9996	
			400	800	23	low	0.1341	0.0155	0.4078	0.0630	0.9993	
			400	800	23	high	0.1341	0.0015	0.4078	0.0361	0.9990	
Franzaring <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Spring oilseed rape cultivar cv. Mozart	Rape	380	550	15	low	0.0736	0.0202	0.0130	0.0286	0.9815	Used above-ground data
			380	550	15	intermediate	0.0804	0.0204	0.0130	0.0205	0.9927	
			380	550	15	high	0.0864	0.0195	0.0130	0.0205	0.9949	
Gebauer <i>et al.</i> (1996)	<i>Pinus taeda</i> L.	Loblolly pine	350	700	15	very low	0.0627	0.0570	0.1002	0.0091	0.9947	Mean annual temperature for Durham County, North Carolina
			350	700	15	low	0.0672	0.0606	0.1104	0.0091	0.9963	
			350	700	15	moderate	0.0701	0.0676	0.0363	0.0091	0.9960	
			350	700	15	high	0.0787	0.0758	0.0380	0.0091	0.9981	
Griffin <i>et al.</i> (1993)	<i>Pinus taeda</i> L.	Loblolly pine	350	500	24	low	0.0448	0.0161	0.0219	0.0462	0.9831	
			350	500	24	high	0.0648	0.0028	0.0219	0.0462	0.9894	
			350	650	24	low	0.0448	0.0407	0.0219	0.0462	0.9823	
			350	650	24	high	0.0648	0.0354	0.0219	0.0462	0.9912	
Griffin <i>et al.</i> (1995)	<i>Pinus taeda</i> L.	Loblolly pine	350	700	27	low	0.0519	0.0806	0.0081	0.1249	0.9765	
			350	700	27	high	0.0574	0.2761	0.0081	0.1209	0.9658	
Harmens <i>et al.</i> (2000)	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>		360	680	20	very low	0.2311	0.1937	0.0028	3.8911	0.8835	These data were not used as they appeared to be anomalous.
			360	680	20	low	0.2311	0.0917	0.0028	0.7837	0.9814	
			360	680	20	moderate	0.2311	0.0657	0.0028	0.1307	0.9848	
			360	680	20	high	0.2348	0.0522	0.0028	0.1307	0.9981	

Hunt <i>et al.</i> (1995)	<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i> (L.) Beauv		350	700	18	low	0.1558	0.1305	0.0088	1.4806	0.9906	
			350	700	18	high	0.2348	0.0330	0.0088	0.2725	0.9972	
	<i>Festuca avina</i> L.		350	700	18	low	0.1427	0.0442	0.0016	1.5739	0.9977	
			350	700	18	high	0.1623	0.0890	0.0016	0.4435	0.9983	
	<i>Festuca rubra</i> L.		350	700	18	low	0.1387	0.0812	0.0033	1.4613	0.9924	
			350	700	18	high	0.1917	0.1118	0.0033	0.3258	0.9976	
	<i>Poa annua</i> L.		350	700	18	low	0.2148	0.0305	0.0014	2.3666	0.9962	
			350	700	18	high	0.3039	0.0591	0.0014	0.4490	0.9990	
Johnsen (1993)	<i>Picea mariana</i> (Mill) B.S.P.	Black spruce	350	700	20	low	0.0222	0.0549	0.0756	0.0000	0.9992	
			350	700	20	high	0.0255	0.0689	0.0756	0.0000	0.9995	
Kerstiens & Hawes (1994)	<i>Prunus avium</i>	Cherry trees	350	600	14	low	0.0264	0.1105	1.0015	0.0320	0.9738	Same experimental facility as used by Townend - use the temperature obtained from the Townend (1995)
			350	600	14	high	0.0267	-0.0116	1.0015	0.0152	0.9805	
Kim <i>et al.</i> (2003)	<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.	Rice (1998 data)	365	643	19.7	low	0.0811	0.0828	5.0000	0.0016	0.9964	
			365	643	19.7	high	0.0846	0.1540	5.0000	0.0016	0.9481	
	<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.	Rice (1999 data)	365	643	21.1	low	0.0854	0.1207	3.8408	0.0015	0.9859	
			365	643	21.1	medium	0.0885	0.1497	3.8408	0.0015	0.9889	
	<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.	Rice (1999 data)	365	643	21.1	high	0.0889	0.1685	3.8408	0.0015	0.9688	
			365	643	21.1	low	0.0844	0.0510	8.3707	0.0016	0.9628	
	<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.	Rice (2000 data)	365	643	21.1	medium	0.0912	0.1340	8.3707	0.0016	0.9864	
			365	643	21.1	high	0.0967	0.1006	8.3707	0.0016	0.9957	
Manderscheid <i>et al.</i> (2009)	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.	Barley	375	550	13.8	low	0.0444	0.0475	0.1079	0.6249	0.9767	Data from experiment in 2000
			375	550	13.8	high	0.0520	0.1210	0.1079	0.6249	0.9695	
			375	550	13.6	low	0.0603	0.0585	0.0146	0.7651	0.9984	Data from experiment in 2003
			375	550	13.6	high	0.0636	0.0665	0.0146	0.7651	0.9990	
Manderscheid <i>et al.</i> (2010)	<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L.	Sugar beet	375	550	15.8	low	0.0450	0.0470	0.0577	0.3253	0.9987	
			375	550	15.8	high	0.0493	0.0163	0.0577	0.3253	0.9988	
			375	550	15.4	low	0.0653	0.1271	0.0577	1.1960	0.9965	
			375	550	15.4	high	0.0738	0.0397	0.0577	1.0880	0.9970	
McConaughay <i>et al.</i> (1993)	<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i> Medic		400	700	27	low	0.0423	0.0043	1.4807	0.0284	0.9881	
			400	700	27	high	0.0511	0.0790	1.4807	0.0284	0.9246	
			400	700	27	low	0.0423	0.0043	1.4807	0.0284	0.9881	
			400	700	27	high	0.0511	0.0790	1.4807	0.0284	0.9246	
Meier & Fuhrer (1997)	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.	Grass/ clover mix	400	780	16.25	low	0.0422	0.1517	19.9965	0.0040	0.9672	
			400	780	16.25	high	0.0471	0.2348	19.9965	0.0040	0.9694	
Norby & O'Neill (1991)	<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i> L.	Yellow poplar	371	493	26	low	0.0476	-0.0557	0.0150	0.2729	0.9581	
			371	493	26	high	0.0722	0.0626	0.0150	0.0340	0.9865	
			371	787	26	low	0.0472	-0.0237	0.0150	0.2540	0.9649	
			371	787	26	high	0.0723	0.0289	0.0150	0.0353	0.9860	
Pal <i>et al.</i> (2005)	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. cv. HD-2285	Wheat	350	600	25	low	0.0534	0.4804	0.0569	0.5031	0.9736	Temperature not reported in this study. Used a guess of 25 C for the CO2 normalisation used in the nutrient data, but because of the temperature uncertainty, the data were not used for testing the RERC response to different [CO2]
			350	600	25	high	0.0649	0.5038	0.0569	0.3603	0.9889	
Prior <i>et al.</i> (1997)	<i>Pinus palustris</i> Mill.	Longleaf pine	365	720	29.9	low	0.0020	-0.0124	33.0358	0.0015	0.8540	Slow-growing plants. RGR is expressed here per week rather than per day
			365	720	29.9	high	0.0047	0.2853	33.0358	0.0015	0.9462	
Shenglei & Ferris (2006)	<i>Medicago truncatula</i>		370	520	22	low	0.1157	0.1295	0.0014	0.6851	0.9807	
			370	520	22	high	0.1479	0.0460	0.0014	0.6851	0.9593	
	<i>Avena sativa</i>		370	520	22	low	0.0992	0.0430	0.0232	0.3517	0.9948	
			370	520	22	high	0.1090	0.0604	0.0232	0.3517	0.9868	
Thomas <i>et al.</i> (1991)	<i>Girardinia sepium</i> (Jacq.) Walp		350	650	26	low	0.0941	0.0920	0.1240	0.1204	0.9998	
			350	650	26	high	0.1366	0.2032	0.1240	0.1033	1.0000	
Townend (1995)	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> (Bong.) Carr.	Sitka spruce	350	600	14	low	0.0353	0.1075	0.3709	0.0316	0.9969	High water treatment
			350	600	14	high	0.0372	0.1943	0.3709	0.0182	0.9937	
			350	600	14	low	0.0353	0.1244	0.3709	0.0342	0.9923	Low water treatment
			350	600	14	high	0.0372	0.3314	0.3709	0.0568	0.9956	
Wong <i>et al.</i> (1992)	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> Dehnh.		330	660	28	low	0.0986	0.0709	0.0013	0.0293	0.9935	
			330	660	28	high	0.1134	0.1179	0.0013	0.0293	0.9951	
	<i>Eucalyptus cypellocarpa</i> L.Johnson.		330	660	28	low	0.0785	0.1421	0.0031	0.0085	0.9885	
			330	660	28	high	0.0951	0.1847	0.0031	0.0085	0.9914	
	<i>Eucalyptus pauciflora</i> Sieb. Ex. Spreng.		330	660	28	low	0.0695	0.2068	0.0020	0.0513	0.9945	
			330	660	28	high	0.0877	0.1510	0.0020	0.0513	0.9971	
	<i>Eucalyptus pulverulenta</i> Sims.		330	660	28	low	0.0796	0.1811	0.0012	0.0483	0.9943	
			330	660	28	high	0.0955	0.1985	0.0012	0.0483	0.9935	

DATA FOR INTERACTION WITH WATER STRESS												
Authors	Scientific name	Coloquial name	CO ₂ low μmol mol ⁻¹	CO ₂ high μmol mol ⁻¹	Temp. °C	Water avail.	RGR ₃₅₀	C _{enh}	B ₀	k ₂	Model Efficiency	
Aranjuelo <i>et al.</i> (2006)	<i>M. sativa</i> L. cv. Aragon	Alfalfa	400	720	19	low	0.1248	0.0496	30.3000	0.0000	0.9951	
			400	720	19	high	0.1431	0.0099	30.3000	0.0000	0.9270	
			400	720	23	low	0.1293	0.0504	30.3000	0.0000	0.9799	
			400	720	23	high	0.1495	0.0111	30.3000	0.0000	0.9361	
Atwell <i>et al.</i> (2007)	<i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> Sm.	Forest red gum	360	700	28	low	0.0669	0.0663	0.2704	0.0244	0.9942	
			360	700	28	high	0.0798	0.0549	0.2704	0.1307	0.9999	
Centritto <i>et al.</i> (1999)	<i>Prunus avium</i>	Cherry	350	700	20.25	low	0.0335	0.2229	0.4792	0.0104	0.9076	
			350	700	20.25	high	0.0366	0.1783	0.4792	0.0070	0.9783	
Conroy <i>et al.</i> (1986a)	<i>Pinus radiata</i> D.Don		330	660	25	low	0.0058	0.4063	2.2552	0.0000	0.9880	
			330	660	25	medium	0.0094	0.2041	2.2552	0.0000	0.9997	
			330	660	25	high	0.0103	0.1720	2.2552	0.0000	0.9997	
Conroy <i>et al.</i> (1986b)	<i>Pinus radiata</i> D.Don	Pines	330	660	25	low	0.0059	0.3652	2.2279	0.0000	0.9924	
			330	660	25	high	0.0104	0.1632	2.2279	0.0000	0.9997	
			330	660	25	low	0.0053	0.3965	1.7352	0.0000	0.9924	
			330	660	25	high	0.0088	0.0380	1.7352	0.0000	0.9997	
Ge <i>et al.</i> (2012)	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> L.	Reed canary grass	370	700	15.8	low	0.0264	0.1296	0.1551	0.0000	0.9937	
			370	700	15.8	medium	0.0290	0.1156	0.1551	0.0000	0.9969	
			370	700	15.8	high	0.0304	0.1072	0.1551	0.0000	0.9955	
Hibbs <i>et al.</i> (1995)	<i>Alnus rubra</i> Bong.	Red Alder	350	700	23	low	0.0162	0.1730	0.5797	0.0000	0.8511	
			350	700	23	high	0.0171	0.1557	0.5797	0.0000	0.9548	
Johnsen (1993)	<i>Picea mariana</i> (Mill) B.S.P.	Black spruce	350	700	20	low	0.0494	0.1865	0.0073	2.0990	0.9924	
			350	700	20	high	0.0545	0.1195	0.0073	1.6939	0.9928	
Jones <i>et al.</i> (1985)	<i>Glycine max</i> L. Meer cv Bragg	Soy bean	330	660	31	early drought	0.0433	0.0425	0.0206	5.4507	0.0000	
			330	660	31	late draught	0.0439	0.0408	0.0754	5.4507	0.0000	
			330	660	31	high water	0.0469	0.0427	0.0975	5.4507	0.0000	
Ko <i>et al.</i> (2010)	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. cv. Yecora Rojo	Wheat	370	550	11.9	low	0.0482	0.0548	4.7153	0.0003	0.9846	
			370	550	11.9	high	0.0483	0.0561	4.7153	0.0002	0.9891	
Mauney <i>et al.</i> (1994)	<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L. cv. Coker I15	Cotton	350	550	24.2	medium	0.1166	0.1317	0.1815	0.0020	0.9945	
			350	550	24.2	high	0.1180	0.0956	0.1815	0.0019	0.9942	
			350	550	24.2	low	0.0998	0.0925	0.1735	0.0026	0.9956	
			350	550	24.2	high	0.1022	0.0602	0.1735	0.0019	0.9958	
Meier & Fuhrer (1997)	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> / <i>Trifolium pratense</i> L.	Mixture	400	780	16.25	low	0.0656	0.5693	19.9965	0.0110	0.9948	
			400	780	16.25	high	0.0802	0.2542	19.9965	0.0091	0.9868	
Nicolas <i>et al.</i> (1993)	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> cv. Matong	Wheat	330	660	20.5	saline	0.1724	0.3442	0.1095	0.1476	0.9971	
			330	660	20.5	fresh water	0.2601	0.2458	0.1095	0.1415	0.9980	
Pardos <i>et al.</i> (2006)	<i>Querus suber</i> L.	Cork oak	360	700	25	low	0.2820	0.0000	0.0000	1.5131	0.8180	
			360	700	25	high	0.2579	0.0903	0.0000	0.7997	0.8734	
Prior <i>et al.</i> (1997)	<i>Pinus palustris</i> Mill.	Longleaf pine	365	720	25	low	0.0021	0.4435	38.0808	0.0000	0.9716	
			365	720	25	high	0.0036	0.0889	38.0808	0.0000	0.9085	
Retuerto & Woodward (1993)	<i>Sinapis alba</i> L.	White mustard	350	700	25.6	low	0.1290	0.4339	0.0083	4.8336	0.9645	
			350	700	25.6	high	0.1359	0.2670	0.0083	3.8172	0.9553	
Townend (1995)	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> (Bong.) Carr.	Sitka spruce	350	600	14	low	0.0372	0.3314	0.3709	0.0568	0.9956	
			350	600	14	high	0.0372	0.1943	0.3709	0.0182	0.9937	
			350	600	14	low	0.0353	0.1244	0.3709	0.0342	0.9923	
			350	600	14	high	0.0353	0.1075	0.3709	0.0316	0.9969	
Wall <i>et al.</i> (2006)	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. cv. Yecora Rojo	Spring wheat	370	550	16.75	low	0.0850	0.0430	3.9740	0.0013	0.9981	
			370	550	16.75	high	0.0860	0.0430	3.9740	0.0013	0.9981	
Wray & Strain (1986)	<i>Aster pilosus</i> Willd	Aster	350	560	26	low	0.1150	0.2299	0.0903	2.0000	0.7658	
			350	560	26	high	0.1584	0.0302	0.0903	2.0000	0.9979	
			350	650	26	low	0.1150	0.4956	0.0903	2.0000	0.9883	
			350	650	26	high	0.1584	0.0377	0.0903	2.0000	0.8282	

References

- Aranjuelo I, Irigoyen JJ, Perez P, Martinez-Carrasco R, Sanchez-Diaz, M (2006) Response of nodulated alfalfa to water supply, temperature and elevated CO₂: productivity and water relations. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **55**, 130-141.
- Arnone JA, Gordon JC (1990) Effect of nodulation, nitrogen-fixation and CO₂ enrichment on the physiology, growth and dry mass allocation of seedlings of *Alnus rubra* Bong. *New Phytologist* **116**, 55-66.
- Atwell BJ, Henery ML, Rogers GS, Seneweera SP, Treadwell M, Conroy JP (2007) Canopy development and hydraulic function in *Eucalyptus tereticornis* grown in drought in CO₂-enriched atmospheres. *Functional Plant Biology* **34**, 1137-1149.
- Atwell BJ, Henery ML, Ball MC (2009) Does soil nitrogen influence growth, water transport and survival of snow gum (*Eucalyptus pauciflora* Sieber ex Sprengel.) under CO₂ enrichment? *Plant, Cell and Environment* **32**, 553-566.
- Baker JT, Allen LH, Boote KJ, Jones P, Jones JW (1989) Response of soybean to air-temperature and carbon-dioxide concentration. *Crop Science* **29**, 98-105
- Baker JT, Allen LH, Boote KJ (1992) Response of rice to carbon-dioxide and temperature. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **60**, 153-166.
- Bannayan M, Soler CM, Garcia AG, Guerra LC, Hoogenboom G (2009) Interactive effects of elevated [CO₂] and temperature on growth and development of a short- and long-season peanut cultivar. *Climatic Change* **93**, 389-406.
- Barrett DJ, Gifford RM (1995) Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ in relation to biomass allocation in cotton. *Journal of Biogeography* **22**, 331-339.
- Bassow SL, McConaughay KDM, Bazzaz FA (1994) The response of temperate tree seedlings grown in elevated CO₂ to extreme temperature events. *Ecological Applications* **4**, 593-603.
- Bernacchi CJ, Coleman JS, Bazzaz FA, McConaughay KDM (2000) Biomass allocation in old-field annual species grown in elevated CO₂ environments: no evidence for optimal partitioning. *Global Change Biology* **6**, 855-863.
- Bowler JM, Press MC (1993) Growth-responses of 2 contrasting upland grass species to elevated CO₂ and nitrogen concentration. *New Phytologist* **124**, 515-522.
- Brown K, Higginbotham KO (1986) Effects of carbon dioxide enrichment and nitrogen supply on growth of boreal tree seedlings. *Tree Physiology* **2**, 223-232.

- Bruhn D, Leverenz JW, Saxe H (2000) Effects of tree size and temperature on relative growth rate and its components of *Fagus sylvatica* seedlings exposed to two partial pressures of atmospheric [CO₂]. *New Phytologist* **146**, 415-425.
- Cavender-Bares J, Potts M, Zacharias E, Bazzaz, FA (2000) Consequences of CO₂ and light interactions for leaf phenology, growth, and senescence in *Quercus rubra*. *Global Change Biology* **6**, 877-887.
- Centritto M, Lee HSJ, Jarvis PG (1999) Interactive effects of elevated [CO₂] and drought on cherry (*Prunus avium*) seedlings - I. Growth, whole-plant water use efficiency and water loss. *New Phytologist* **141**, 129-140.
- Coleman JS, Bazzaz, FA (1992) Effects of CO₂ and temperature on growth and resource use of co-occurring C₃ and C₄ annuals. *Ecology* **73**, 1244-1259.
- Coleman JS, McConnaughay KDM, Bazzaz FA (1993) Elevated CO₂ and plant nitrogen-use: is reduced tissue nitrogen concentration size-dependent? *Oecologia* **93**, 195-200.
- Conroy J, Barlow EWR, Bevege DI (1986a) Response of *Pinus radiata* seedlings to carbon-dioxide enrichment at different levels of water and phosphorus: growth, morphology and anatomy. *Annals of Botany* **57**, 165-177.
- Conroy JP, Smillie RM, Küppers M, Bevege DI, Barlow EW (1986b) Chlorophyll-alpha fluorescence and photosynthetic and growth-responses of *Pinus radiata* to phosphorus deficiency, drought stress, and high CO₂. *Plant Physiology* **81**, 423-429.
- Conroy JP, Milham PJ, Mazur M, Barlow EWR (1990) Growth, dry-weight partitioning and wood properties of *Pinus radiata* D Don after 2 years of CO₂ enrichment. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **13**, 329-337.
- Cowling SA, Sage RF (1998) Interactive effects of low atmospheric CO₂ and elevated temperature on growth, photosynthesis and respiration in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **21**, 427-435.
- Cure JD, Israel DW, Rufty TW (1988) Nitrogen stress effects on growth and seed yield of nonnodulated soybean exposed to elevated carbon-dioxide. *Crop Science* **28**, 671-677.
- Demmers-Derks H, Mitchell RAC, Mitchell VJ, Lawlor DW (1998) Response of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) yield and biochemical composition to elevated CO₂ and temperature at two nitrogen applications. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **21**, 829-836.
- Duan HL, Amthor JS, Duursma RA, O'Grady AP, Choat B, Tissue DT (2013) Carbon dynamics of eucalypt seedlings exposed to progressive drought in elevated [CO₂] and elevated temperature. *Tree Physiology* **33**, 779-792.
- Frantz JM, Ling P (2011) Growth, partitioning, and nutrient and carbohydrate concentration of *Petunia xhybrida* Vilm. are influenced by altering light, CO₂, and fertility. *Hortscience* **46**, 228-235

- Franzaring J, Weller S, Schmid I, Fangmeier A (2011) Growth, senescence and water use efficiency of spring oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L. cv. Mozart) grown in a factorial combination of nitrogen supply and elevated CO₂. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **72**, 284-296.
- Ge ZM, Zhou X, Kellomäki S, Peltola H, Biasi C, Shurpali N, Martikainen PJ, Wang KY (2012) Measured and modeled biomass growth in relation to photosynthesis acclimation of a bioenergy crop (Reed canary grass) under elevated temperature, CO₂ enrichment and different water regimes. *Biomass & Bioenergy* **46**, 251-262.
- Gebauer RLE, Reynolds JF, Strain BR (1996) Allometric relations and growth in *Pinus taeda*: The effect of elevated CO₂ and changing N availability. *New Phytologist* **134**, 85-93.
- Griffin KL, Thomas RB, Strain BR (1993) Effects of nitrogen supply and elevated carbon-dioxide on construction cost in leaves of *Pinus taeda* L seedlings. *Oecologia* **95**, 575-580.
- Griffin KL, Winner WE, Strain BR (1995) Growth and dry-matter partitioning in loblolly and ponderosa pine-seedlings in response to carbon and nitrogen availability. *New Phytologist* **129**, 547-556.
- Harmens H, Stirling CM, Marshall C, Farrar JF (2000) Is partitioning of dry weight and leaf area within *Dactylis glomerata* affected by N and CO₂ enrichment? *Annals of Botany* **86**, 833-839.
- Hibbs DE, Chan SS, Castellano M, Niu CH (1995) Response of red alder seedlings to CO₂ enrichment and water-stress. *New Phytologist* **129**, 569-577.
- Hunt R, Hand DW, Hannah MA, Neal, AM (1995) Temporal and nutritional influences on the response to elevated CO₂ in selected British grasses. *Annals of Botany* **75**, 207-216.
- Idso SB, Kimball BA (1989) Growth-response of carrot and radish to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **29**, 135-139.
- Imai K, Murata Y (1979) Effect of carbon-dioxide concentration on growth and dry-matter production of crop plants. VII. Influence of light-intensity and temperature on the effect of carbon dioxide- enrichment in some C₃- and C₄-species. *Japanese Journal of Crop Science* **48**, 409-417.
- Jifon JL, Wolfe DW (2005) High temperature-induced sink limitation alters growth and photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **130**, 515-520.
- Johnsen KH (1993) Growth and ecophysiological responses of black spruce seedlings to elevated CO₂ under varied water and nutrient additions. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **23**, 1033-1042.

- Jones P, Jones JW, Allen LH (1985) Seasonal carbon and water balances of soybeans grown under stress treatments in sunlit chambers. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers* **28**, 2021-2028.
- Kellomäki S, Wang KY (2001) Growth and resource use of birch seedlings under elevated carbon dioxide and temperature. *Annals of Botany* **87**, 669-682.
- Kerstiens G, Hawes CV (1994) Response of growth and carbon allocation to elevated CO₂ in young cherry (*Prunus avium* L) saplings in relation to root environment. *New Phytologist* **128**, 607-614.
- Kim HY, Lieffering M, Kobayashi K, Okada M, Miura S (2003) Seasonal changes in the effects of elevated CO₂ on rice at three levels of nitrogen supply: a free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiment. *Global Change Biology* **9**, 826-837.
- Ko J, Ahuja L, Kimball B, Anapalli S, Ma LW, Green TR, Ruane AC, Wall GW, Pinter P, Bader DA (2010) Simulation of free air CO₂ enriched wheat growth and interactions with water, nitrogen, and temperature. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **150**, 1331-1346.
- Leishman MR, Sanbrooke KJ, Woodfin RM (1999) The effects of elevated CO₂ and light environment on growth and reproductive performance of four annual species. *New Phytologist* **144**, 455-462.
- Louche-Tessandier D, Samson G, Hernandez-Sebastia C, Chagvardieff P, Desjardins Y (1999) Importance of light and CO₂ on the effects of endomycorrhizal colonization on growth and photosynthesis of potato plantlets (*Solanum tuberosum*) in an in vitro tripartite system. *New Phytologist* **142**, 539-550.
- Manderscheid R, Pacholski A, Frühauf C, Weigel, H-J (2009) Effects of free air carbon dioxide enrichment and nitrogen supply on growth and yield of winter barley cultivated in a crop rotation. *Field Crops Research* **110**, 185-196.
- Manderscheid R, Pacholski A, Weigel HJ (2010) Effect of free air carbon dioxide enrichment combined with two nitrogen levels on growth, yield and yield quality of sugar beet: Evidence for a sink limitation of beet growth under elevated CO₂. *European Journal of Agronomy* **32**, 228-239.
- Mauney JR, Kimball BA, Pinter PJ, Lamorte RL, Lewin KF, Nagy J, Hendrey GR (1994) Growth and yield of cotton in response to a free-air carbon-dioxide enrichment (FACE) environment. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **70**, 49-67.
- McConaughay KDM, Berntson GM, Bazzaz FA (1993) Limitations to CO₂-induced growth enhancement in pot studies. *Oecologia* **94**, 550-557.
- Meier M, Fuhrer J (1997) Effect of elevated CO₂ on orchard grass and red clover grown in mixture at two levels of nitrogen or water supply. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **38**, 251-262.

- Nicolas ME, Munns R, Samarakoon AB, Gifford RM (1993) Elevated CO₂ improves the growth of wheat under salinity. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **20**, 349-360
- Norby RJ, O'Neill EG (1991) Leaf area compensation and nutrient interactions in CO₂-enriched seedlings of yellow-poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera* L.). *New Phytologist* **117**, 515-528.
- Ojala A, Kankaala P, Tulonen T (2002) Growth response of *Equisetum fluviatile* to elevated CO₂ and temperature. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **47**, 157-171.
- Otera M, Kokubun M, Tabei H, Matsunami T, Maekawa T, Okada M (2011) Is yield enhancement by CO₂ enrichment greater in genotypes with a higher capacity for nitrogen fixation? *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **151**, 1385-1393.
- Pal M, Rao LS, Jain V, Srivastava AC, Pandey R, Raj A, Singh KP (2005) Effects of elevated CO₂ and nitrogen on wheat growth and photosynthesis. *Biologia Plantarum* **49**, 467-470.
- Pardos M, Puertolas J, Aranda I, Pardos JA (2006) Can CO₂ enrichment modify the effect of water and high light stress on biomass allocation and relative growth rate of cork oak seedlings? *Trees-Structure and Function* **20**, 713-724.
- Prior SA, Runion GB, Mitchell RJ, Rogers HH, Amthor JS (1997) Effects of atmospheric CO₂ on longleaf pine: Productivity and allocation as influenced by nitrogen and water. *Tree Physiology* **17**, 397-405.
- Retuerto R, Woodward FI (1993) The influences of increased CO₂ and water-supply on growth, biomass allocation and water-use efficiency of *Sinapis alba* L grown under different wind speeds. *Oecologia* **94**, 415-427.
- Rowland-Bamford AJ, Baker JT, Allen LH, Bowes G (1996) Interactions of CO₂ enrichment and temperature on carbohydrate accumulation and partitioning in rice. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **36**, 111-124.
- Rufy TW, Thomas RB, Cure JD, Cure WW (1994) Growth-response of cotton to CO₂ enrichment in differing light environments. *Physiologia Plantarum* **91**, 503-509.
- Shenglei FU, Ferris H (2006) Plant species, atmospheric CO₂ and soil N interactively or additively control C allocation within plant-soil systems. *Science in China: Series C-Life Sciences* **49**, 1-10.
- Sionit N, Hellmers H, Strain BR (1982) Interaction of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment and irradiance on plant-growth. *Agronomy Journal* **74**, 721-725.
- Sionit N, Strain BR, Flint EP (1987) Interaction of temperature and CO₂ enrichment on soybean - growth and dry-matter partitioning. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **67**, 59-67.

- Stirling CM, Heddell-Cowie M, Jones ML, Ashenden TW, Sparks TH (1998) Effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on growth and allometry of five native fast-growing annual species. *New Phytologist* **140**, 343-354.
- Thomas RB, Richter DD, Ye H, Heine PR, Strain BR (1991) Nitrogen dynamics and growth of seedlings of an N-fixing tree (*Gliricidia-sepium* (Jacq.) Walp.) exposed to elevated atmospheric carbon-dioxide. *Oecologia* **88**, 415-421.
- Tjoelker MG, Oleksyn J, Reich PB (1998) Temperature and ontogeny mediate growth response to elevated CO₂ in seedlings of five boreal tree species. *New Phytologist* **140**, 197-210.
- Tolley LC, Strain BR (1984) Effects of CO₂ enrichment on growth of *Liquidambar styraciflua* and *Pinus taeda* seedlings under different irradiance levels. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **14**, 343-350.
- Townend J (1995) Effects of elevated CO₂, water and nutrients on *Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr. seedlings. *New Phytologist* **130**, 193-206.
- Tremmel DC, Patterson DT (1993) Responses of soybean and 5 weeds to CO₂ enrichment under 2 temperature regimes. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **73**, 1249-1260.
- Usuda, H (2006) Effects of elevated CO₂ on the capacity for photosynthesis of a single leaf and a whole plant, and on growth in a radish. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **47**, 262-269.
- Wall GW, Garcia RL, Kimball BA, Hunsaker DJ, Pinter PJ, Long SP, Osborne CP, Hendrix DL, Wechsung F, Wechsung G, Leavitt SW, LaMorte RL, Idso SB (2006) Interactive effects of elevated carbon dioxide and drought on wheat. *Agronomy Journal* **98**, 354-381.
- Wayne PM, Reekie EG, Bazzaz FA (1998) Elevated CO₂ ameliorates birch response to high temperature and frost stress: implications for modeling climate-induced geographic range shifts. *Oecologia* **114**, 335-342.
- Wong SC, Kriedemann PE, Farquhar GD (1992) CO₂ x nitrogen interaction on seedling growth of 4 species of eucalypt. *Australian Journal of Botany* **40**, 457-472.
- Wray SM, Strain BR (1986) Response of 2 old field perennials to interactions of CO₂ enrichment and drought stress. *American Journal of Botany* **73**, 1486-1491.
- Yoon ST, Hoogenboom G, Flitcroft I, Bannayan M (2009) Growth and development of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in response to CO₂ enrichment under two different temperature regimes. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, **67**, 178-187.
- Zhang C, Ge ZM, Kellomäki S, Wang KY, Gong JN, Zhou X (2013) Effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on biomass growth and allocation in a boreal bioenergy crop (*Phalaris arundinacea* L.) from young and old cultivations. *Bioenergy Research* **6**, 651-662.

Ziska LH, Namuco O, Moya T, Quilang J (1997) Growth and yield response of field-grown tropical rice to increasing carbon dioxide and air temperature. *Agronomy Journal* **89**, 45-53.