

Supplementary Material

On the induction of injury in tomato under continuous light: circadian asynchrony as the main triggering factor

Aaron I. Velez-Ramirez^{A,B,E,H}, Gabriela Dünner-Planella^{A,F}, Dick Vreugdenhil^{B,C}, Frank F. Millenaar^{D,G} and Wim van Ieperen^A

^AHorticulture and Product Physiology, Wageningen University, PO Box 630, 6700 AP Wageningen, The Netherlands.

^BLaboratory of Plant Physiology, Wageningen University, PO Box 658, 6700 AR Wageningen, The Netherlands.

^CCentre for Bio Systems Genomics, PO Box 98, 6700 AB Wageningen, The Netherlands.

^DMonsanto Holland B.V., PO Box 1050, 2660 BB Bergschenhoek, The Netherlands.

^EPresent address: Laboratory of Functional Plant Biology, Ghent University, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, 9000 Ghent, Belgium.

^FPresent address: Sociedad Agrícola Quilin Ltda., Avenuenida Vespucio Sur 4100, Santiago de Chile, Chile.

^GPresent address: Bayer CropScience Vegetable Seeds, PO Box 4005, 6080 AA Haelen, The Netherlands.

^HCorresponding author. Email: ai.velezramirez@gmail.com




Fig. S1. Raw maximum quantum efficiency of PSII data (F_v/F_m) of A131 and CLT tomato young leaves showing no correlation between the F_v/F_m value and the calendar date or time. Panel (a) shows the data as it was collected; that is during two days of intensive measuring during working hours. Panel (b) shows all the data pooled and displayed according to the time of measurement.